

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neural Networks

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neunet



Review

Towards solving the hard problem of consciousness: The varieties of brain resonances and the conscious experiences that they support



Stephen Grossberg*

Center for Adaptive Systems, Boston University, 677 Beacon Street, Boston, MA 02215, USA
Graduate Program in Cognitive and Neural Systems, Departments of Mathematics & Statistics, Psychological & Brain Sciences, and Biomedical
Engineering Boston University, 677 Beacon Street, Boston, MA 02215, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 August 2016 Received in revised form 21 October 2016 Accepted 20 November 2016 Available online 6 December 2016

Keywords: Consciousness Adaptive resonance Attention Vision Audition Emotion

ABSTRACT

The hard problem of consciousness is the problem of explaining how we experience qualia or phenomenal experiences, such as seeing, hearing, and feeling, and knowing what they are. To solve this problem, a theory of consciousness needs to link brain to mind by modeling how emergent properties of several brain mechanisms interacting together embody detailed properties of individual conscious psychological experiences. This article summarizes evidence that Adaptive Resonance Theory, or ART, accomplishes this goal. ART is a cognitive and neural theory of how advanced brains autonomously learn to attend, recognize, and predict objects and events in a changing world. ART has predicted that "all conscious states are resonant states" as part of its specification of mechanistic links between processes of consciousness, learning, expectation, attention, resonance, and synchrony. It hereby provides functional and mechanistic explanations of data ranging from individual spikes and their synchronization to the dynamics of conscious perceptual, cognitive, and cognitive-emotional experiences. ART has reached sufficient maturity to begin classifying the brain resonances that support conscious experiences of seeing, hearing, feeling, and knowing. Psychological and neurobiological data in both normal individuals and clinical patients are clarified by this classification. This analysis also explains why not all resonances become conscious, and why not all brain dynamics are resonant. The global organization of the brain into computationally complementary cortical processing streams (complementary computing), and the organization of the cerebral cortex into characteristic layers of cells (laminar computing), figure prominently in these explanations of conscious and unconscious processes. Alternative models of consciousness are also discussed.

© 2016 The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Contents

 1.1. What is the hard problem? 1.2. To what extent can a scientific theory clarify the Hard Problem? 1.3. Establishing a linking hypothesis between resonant brain dynamics and the conscious mind. 1.4. Adaptive Resonance Theory links resonant dynamics and the conscious mind. 2. The stability-plasticity dilemma and rapid learning throughout life. 3. Equations, modules, and modal architectures. 			
	1.1. What is the hard problem?	. 40	
	1.3. Establishing a linking hypothesis between resonant brain dynamics and the conscious mind	. 41	
	1.4. Adaptive Resonance Theory links resonant dynamics and the conscious mind	. 42	
2. The stability-plasticity dilemma and rapid learning throughout life			
1.1. What is the hard problem? 1.2. To what extent can a scientific theory clarify the Hard Problem? 1.3. Establishing a linking hypothesis between resonant brain dynamics and the conscious mind. 1.4. Adaptive Resonance Theory links resonant dynamics and the conscious mind. 2. The stability-plasticity dilemma and rapid learning throughout life. 3. Equations, modules, and modal architectures. 4. Complementary processing streams for perception/cognition and space/action. 5. Laminar neocortical circuits to represent higher-order biological intelligence. 6. An example of complementary computing: Visual boundaries and surfaces. 6.1. Neon color spreading, end gaps, and end cuts. 6.2. Simple cells and hierarchical resolution of uncertainty.		. 44	
4.	1.1. What is the hard problem?		
	1.1. What is the hard problem? 4 1.2. To what extent can a scientific theory clarify the Hard Problem? 4 1.3. Establishing a linking hypothesis between resonant brain dynamics and the conscious mind. 4 1.4. Adaptive Resonance Theory links resonant dynamics and the conscious mind. 4 1.5. The stability-plasticity dilemma and rapid learning throughout life. 4 1. Equations, modules, and modal architectures. 4 2. Complementary processing streams for perception/cognition and space/action. 4 3. Laminar neocortical circuits to represent higher-order biological intelligence. 4 4. An example of complementary computing: Visual boundaries and surfaces. 4 6.1. Neon color spreading, end gaps, and end cuts. 4 6.2. Simple cells and hierarchical resolution of uncertainty. 4		
	6.1. Neon color spreading, end gaps, and end cuts	. 46	
	6.3. Recognizing without seeing: Complex cells imply that all boundaries are invisible	. 47	

^{*} Correspondence to: Center for Adaptive Systems, Boston University, 677 Beacon Street, Boston, MA 02215, USA. Fax: +617 353 7755. E-mail address: steve@bu.edu.

URL: http://cns.bu.edu/~steve.

	6.4.	Boundary completion and bipole grouping cells	. 48
	6.5.	Neon color spreading: Boundary-surface interactions and hierarchical resolution of uncertainty	. 48
	6.6.	Why resonance?	
7.		ample of complementary computing: Attention vs. orienting during category learning	50
7.		Object attention by a top-down, modulatory on-center, off-surround network	
	7.1.		
	7.2.	ART hypothesis testing and learning of a predictive recognition category	
	7.3.	Complementary PN and N200 ERPs during attention and memory search	. 52
	7.4.	Combining paradigms to disclose finer details about category learning	. 52
	7.5.	Resonance between distributed features and compressed categories solves symbol grounding	. 53
	7.6.	Feature-category resonances support object attention, learning, and recognition	
0		g brain to behavior: All conscious states are resonant states, but not conversely	
8.			
9.		arieties of brain resonances and the conscious experiences that they support	
10.	Surfac	e-shroud resonances for conscious seeing of visual qualia	. 54
	10.1.	Surface-shroud resonances are generated between V4 and PPC	. 54
	10.2.	V2 and V4: Recognizing occluded objects, seeing unoccluded surfaces, and transparency	. 54
	10.3.	Conscious seeing, orienting, and reaching	55
		A link between object learning, recognition, and seeing	
	10.4.	A fink between object rearring, recognition, and seeing	. 50
	10.5.	Explaining data about visual neglect: Coordinates, competition, grouping, and action	. 57
	10.6.	Explaining data about visual crowding: A unified view of crowding, neglect, and search	. 58
	10.7.	Explaining data about change blindness and motion-induced blindness	. 59
	10.8.	Explaining many data with the same model mechanisms	60
	10.9.	Shrouds have complex internal structure that influences properties of visual search	
11			
11.		view- and position-specific categories to view- and position-invariant categories	. 60
	11.1.	Conscious visual qualia are computed in retinotopic coordinates	. 60
	11.2.	Visual imagery: Basal ganglia volition converts top-down modulation to driving inputs	. 60
12.	Learni	ing invariant object categories using Where-to-What stream interactions	. 60
	12.1.	From complementary consistency to figure-ground separation: Surface contours	
	12.2.	The main problem: Why inhibiting view categories does not inhibit invariant categories	
		The main problem, why minibiting view categories does not minibit invariant categories.	. 02
	12.3.	Attentional shroud inhibits reset of invariant object category during object search	62
	12.4.	Human and monkey data support shroud reset properties: Explanations and predictions	. 62
	12.5.	A surface-shroud resonance enables the eyes to explore multiple object views	. 63
	12.6.	Predictive remapping: Gain fields maintain shroud stability	
	12.7.	Both retinotopic and spatial coordinates are needed during active vision	
	12.8.	Perceptual stability: Binocular fusion during eye movements	. 03
	12.9.	Two types of perceptual stability cooperate during active conscious vision.	64
13.		g and knowing: Synchronous surface-shroud and feature-category resonances	
14.	What	and Where/How streams for audition	64
	14.1.	Ventral sound-to-meaning vs. dorsal sound-to-action: Complementary invariants	
	14.2.	Circular reactions in vision and audition: Babbling to reach and to speak	66
		Motor-equivalent reaching and speech production: Tool use and coarticulation	. 00
	14.3.		
	14.4.	From eating to speaking	
	14.5.	Auditory neglect and speech production deficits	
15.	Strean	n-shroud resonances for conscious hearing of visual qualia	. 68
		Auditory scene analysis: Tracking sound sources through noise and overlapping frequencies	
15.1. Auditory scene analysis: Tracking sound sources through noise and overlapping frequencies15.2. Auditory continuity illusion and spectral-pitch resonances		Auditory continuity illusion and machinal nitch recognitions.	60
	15.3.	ARTSTREAM model and stable learning of pitch, timbre, and voice categories	
	15.4.	Stream-shroud resonances: Spatial attention and neglect in the auditory stream	
16.	Item-l	list resonances for recognition of speech and language	. 71
	16.1.	From streaming to speech: Item-and-Order working memories and list chunks	71
	16.2.	Top-down attentive matching and item-list resonances	
		Phonemic restoration: How the future can influence what is consciously heard in the past	
	16.3.		
	16.4.	A shared design for linguistic, motor, and spatial working memories: LTM Invariance and Normalization	
	16.5.	Phonemic restoration in a laminar cortical model of speech perception	. 73
17.	Learni	ing and storage of speaker-invariant and rate-invariant working memories	. 73
	17.1.	Speaker normalization in the What stream: Circular reactions and imitative maps	
		From auditory streaming to speaker normalization: A shared circuit design	
	17.2.		
	17.3.	From speaker normalization to rate normalization	
	17.4.	Transient and sustained processing steams in audition and speech	
	17.5.	PHONET: Asymmetric T-to-S gain control creates within-syllable rate-invariance	. 76
	17.6.	ARTPHONE: Rate-sensitive gain control creates rate-invariant working memories	
	17.7.	From masked priming to resonance in lexical decision and word frequency tasks	
10			
18.		invariant working memory storage to volitionally-variant productions and percepts	
	18.1.	LIST PARSE: Volitionally-controlled variable-rate sequential performance	
	18.2.	lisTELOS: Basal ganglia volitional control and Item-Order-Rank working memory	
	18.3.	From learning of invariant lists to rhythmic intonated performance	
	18.4.	From speaking to singing	
10			
19.		eling of what happens: Cognitive-emotional resonances for conscious feelings	
	19.1.	Resonance between object, value, and object-value categories: Beyond Chomsky and Skinner	
	19.2.	Reinforcement learning: Conditioned reinforcer and incentive motivational learning	. 81
	19.3.	Interactions between sensory cortices, thalamus, amygdala, and orbitofrontal cortex	
	19.4.	The feeling of what happens, core consciousness, dual competition, and survival circuits	
	19.5.	Breakdowns during mental disorders: Theory of Mind, autism, and schizophrenia	. 03

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4946743

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4946743

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>