Accepted Manuscript

On two-stage Monte Carlo tests of composite hypotheses

Adrian Baddeley, Andrew Hardegen, Thomas Lawrence, Robin K. Milne, Gopalan Nair, Suman Rakshit

PII:	\$0167-9473(17)30076-2
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2017.04.003
Reference:	COMSTA 6451

To appear in: Computational Statistics and Data Analysis

Received date: 22 November 2016 Revised date: 2 April 2017 Accepted date: 5 April 2017



Please cite this article as: Baddeley, A., Hardegen, A., Lawrence, T., Milne, R.K., Nair, G., Rakshit, S., On two-stage Monte Carlo tests of composite hypotheses. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2017.04.003

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

On two-stage Monte Carlo tests of composite hypotheses

Adrian Baddeley^{a,c}, Andrew Hardegen^b, Thomas Lawrence^b, Robin K. Milne^b, Gopalan Nair^{b,c}, Suman Rakshit^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth WA 6845, Australia. ^bSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Hwy, Crawley WA 6009, Australia. ^cData61, CSIRO, Leeuwin Centre, 65 Brockway Rd, Floreat WA 6014, Australia.

Abstract

A major weakness of the classical Monte Carlo test is that it is biased when the null hypothesis is composite. This problem persists even when the number of simulations tends to infinity. A standard remedy is to perform a double bootstrap test involving two stages of Monte Carlo simulation: under suitable conditions, this test is asymptotically exact for any fixed significance level. However, the two-stage test is shown to perform poorly in some common applications: for a given number of simulations, the test with the smallest achievable significance level can be strongly biased. A 'balanced' version of the two-stage test is proposed, which is exact, for all achievable significance levels, when the null hypothesis is simple, and which performs well for composite null hypotheses.

Keywords: Conservative test, Dao–Genton test, Double bootstrap, Nested bootstrap, *p*-value.

1 1. Introduction

The classical Monte Carlo test is widely used in science, because it requires only the ability to simulate from the null hypothesis; it needs only a few simulations; and its rationale is easy to grasp. However, important subtleties are often overlooked in practice. Monte Carlo tests are strictly invalid when the null hypothesis is composite, i.e., when parameters must be estimated (Ripley, 1977, p. 181; Davison & Hinkley, 1997, p. 140; Conover, 1999, pp. 443, 448; Armitage et al., 2001, p. 373; Diggle, 2003, p. 89).

Email address: adrian.baddeley@curtin.edu.au(Adrian Baddeley)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4949258

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4949258

Daneshyari.com