



Sufficient conditions on the zeroth-order general Randić index for maximally edge-connected graphs

Zhibing Chen^a, Guifu Su^{b,*}, Lutz Volkmann^c

^a College of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Guangdong 518060, PR China

^b School of Science, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing, 100029, PR China

^c Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 November 2015

Received in revised form 14 August 2016

Accepted 3 November 2016

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Edge-connectivity

Maximal edge-connected graphs

Zeroth-order general Randić index

ABSTRACT

Let G be a connected graph with vertex set V , minimum degree δ and edge-connectivity λ . If α is a real number, then the zeroth-order general Randić index is defined by $\sum_{x \in V} \deg^\alpha(x)$, where $\deg(x)$ denotes the degree of the vertex x . A graph is maximally edge-connected if $\lambda = \delta$. In this paper, we present sufficient conditions for connected graphs (resp. connected triangle-free graphs) to be maximally edge-connected in terms of the zeroth-order general Randić index, the order and the minimum degree when $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0)$ or $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ (resp. $\alpha \in [-1, 0) \cup (1, 2]$).

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite and simple graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$ and edge set $E = E(G)$. Then the order and size of G are $n = |V|$ and $m = |E|$, respectively. The *degree* of a vertex $u \in V$ is the number of edges incident to u in G , denoted by $\deg(u) = \deg_G(u)$. The minimum value of these numbers is the *minimum degree* of G , denoted by $\delta = \delta(G)$. We write K_n for the complete graph of order n . An *edge-cut* of a connected graph G is a set of edges whose removal disconnects G . The edge connectivity $\lambda = \lambda(G)$ of a connected graph G is defined as the minimum cardinality of an edge-cut over all edge-cuts of G . An edge-cut S is a *minimum edge-cut* or a λ -cut if $|S| = \lambda(G)$. The inequality $\lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$ is immediate. We call a connected graph *maximally edge-connected*, if $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$. Sufficient conditions for graphs to be maximally edge-connected were given by several authors, see for example the survey paper by Hellwig and Volkmann [11].

In this paper, we are concerned with the *zeroth-order general Randić index* which is defined for a connected graph G of order $n \geq 2$ by

$$R_\alpha^0(G) = \sum_{u \in V} \deg^\alpha(u),$$

where α is a real number. In 2005, Li and Zheng [15] proposed this index and named it the first general Zagreb index, we encourage the interested reader to consult [8,7] for more information. But nowadays, most authors refer to it as the zeroth-order general Randić index. At this point it is worth mentioning that R_2^0 and $R_{-0.5}^0$ correspond to the first Zagreb index, introduced by Gutman and co-workers [10,9], and the zeroth-order Randić index, defined by Kier and Hall [14], respectively. Some nice results on the zeroth-order general Randić index can be found, for example in [1,12,13]. The special case $\alpha = -1$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: chenzb@szu.edu.cn (Z. Chen), gfs1983@126.com (G. Su), volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de (L. Volkmann).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2016.11.002>

0166-218X/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

is the known *inverse degree* of graphs. The inverse degree first attracted attention through conjectures of the computer program Graffiti [6]. It has since been studied by several authors, see for example [3,5]. In [2], the authors present sufficient conditions for connected graphs to be maximally edge-connected in terms of the inverse degree, the order and the minimum degree.

Inspired by the results in [2], we give in this paper sufficient conditions for connected graphs (resp. connected triangle-free graphs) to be maximally edge-connected in terms of the zeroth-order general Randić index, the order and the minimum degree when $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0)$ or $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ (resp. $\alpha \in [-1, 0) \cup (1, 2]$). Examples will show that these conditions are best possible. Similar results for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1]$ can be found in [17,18].

2. Preliminary lemmas

To obtain our main results, we first give some lemmas as necessary preliminaries. The first one is easy to prove and can be found in [16].

Lemma 2.1 ([16]). *If $x_1 - 2 \geq x_2 \geq 1$, then*

- (i) $(x_1 - 1)^\alpha + (x_2 + 1)^\alpha < x_1^\alpha + x_2^\alpha$ if $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0)$ or $\alpha \in (1, +\infty)$;
- (ii) $(x_1 - 1)^\alpha + (x_2 + 1)^\alpha > x_1^\alpha + x_2^\alpha$ if $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha < 0$ be a real number, and let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$ and A be positive reals such that $\sum_{i=1}^p x_i \leq A$, then $\sum_{i=1}^p x_i^\alpha \geq p^{1-\alpha} A^\alpha$. If, in addition $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p, A$ are positive integers, and a, b are integers with $A = ap + b$ and $0 \leq b < p$, then $\sum_{i=1}^p x_i^\alpha \geq (p-b)a^\alpha + b(a+1)^\alpha$.*

Proof. The first part follows by applying Jensen's Inequality. In the following we only give the proof of the rest part. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$ and A be positive integers with $\sum_{i=1}^p x_i \leq A$. We assume that $\{x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_p\}$ are chosen such that $\sum_{i=1}^p x_i^\alpha$ is minimum. If none pair of elements in $\{x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_p\}$ differ by more than 1, then $p-b$ of the x'_i equal a and the remaining b of the x'_i equal $a+1$, and the inequality follows. So assume that two elements of $\{x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_p\}$, say x'_1 and x'_2 , differ by more than 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x'_1 > x'_2$. Let $y_1 = x'_1 - 1$, $y_2 = x'_2 + 1$ and $y_i = x'_i$ for $i \geq 3$. Then by Lemma 2.1(i), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^p y_i^\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^p x_i^\alpha = (x'_1 - 1)^\alpha + (x'_2 + 1)^\alpha - (x'_1)^\alpha - (x'_2)^\alpha < 0,$$

which contradicts the choice of the $\{x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_p\}$. This implies the desired result. ■

The next lemma follows from the definition of convex functions and can be found in [2].

Lemma 2.3 ([2]). *Let $\Phi(x)$ be a convex function on an interval $[L, R]$. If $l, r \in [L, R]$ and $l + r = L + R$, then $\Phi(L) + \Phi(R) \geq \Phi(l) + \Phi(r)$.*

Lemma 2.4 ([20]). *Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \leq t$ be positive reals such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = s \leq 2t$. If $f(x)$ is a strictly convex function on $(0, t)$, then $F(\frac{s}{n}, \frac{s}{n}, \dots, \frac{s}{n}) \leq F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq F(t, s-t, 0, \dots, 0)$, where $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$.*

A complete r -partite graph, denoted by $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r}$, is a graph whose vertices can be partitioned into $r (r \geq 2)$ sets so that each pair of vertices are connected by an edge if and only if they belong to different sets of the partition.

The Turán graph $T_{n,r}$ is the complete r -partite graph with b partite sets of size $a+1$ and $r-b$ partite sets of size a , where $a = \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$ and $b = n - ra$.

The following is a famous result due to Turán [19].

Lemma 2.5 ([19]). (i) *Among all the n -vertex graphs with no $(r+1)$ -clique, $T_{n,r}$ has the maximum number of edges.*
(ii) $|E(T_{n,r})| \leq \lfloor (1 - \frac{1}{r}) \cdot \frac{n^2}{2} \rfloor$.

For two subsets X and Y of V , let $[X, Y]$ be the set of edges with one endpoint in X and the other one in Y , and $|[X, Y]|$ denotes the cardinality of $[X, Y]$.

The following lemma was proved by Dankelmann and Volkmann in [4].

Lemma 2.6 ([4]). *Let G be a connected graph. If there exist two disjoint, nonempty sets $X, Y \subset V(G)$ with $X \cup Y = V(G)$ and $|[X, Y]| < \delta$, then $|X| \geq \delta + 1$ and $|Y| \geq \delta + 1$.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let x and α be real numbers. Then*

- (i) $x^\alpha + (x + \frac{1}{2})^\alpha \leq (x+1)^\alpha + (x - \frac{1}{2})^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0]$ or $\alpha \in [1, +\infty)$.
- (ii) $x^\alpha + (x + \frac{1}{2})^\alpha \geq (x+1)^\alpha + (x - \frac{1}{2})^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $f(t) = t^\alpha - (t - \frac{1}{2})^\alpha$. It is easy to see that $f'(t) > 0$ for $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0]$ or $\alpha \in [1, +\infty)$, and so $f(t)$ is increasing. Hence, $f(x+1) \geq f(x)$, consequently, $(x+1)^\alpha - (x + \frac{1}{2})^\alpha \geq x^\alpha - (x - \frac{1}{2})^\alpha$. This completes the proof of (i). Since the proof of (ii) is analogous to that of (i), we omit the details here. ■

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4949821>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4949821>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)