Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Information Processing Letters

www.elsevier.com/locate/ipl

Strong chromatic index of *K*₄-minor free graphs

Yiqiao Wang^{a,*,1}, Ping Wang^b, Weifan Wang^{c,2}

^a School of Management, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing 100029, China

^b Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada

^c Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 September 2015 Received in revised form 7 October 2016 Accepted 16 September 2017 Available online 22 September 2017 Communicated by X. Wu

Keywords: K4-minor free graph Strong edge colouring Strong chromatic index Combinatorial problems

ABSTRACT

The strong chromatic index $\chi'_{s}(G)$ of a graph *G* is the smallest integer *k* such that *G* has a proper edge *k*-colouring with the condition that any two edges at distance at most 2 receive distinct colours. In this paper, we prove that if *G* is a K_4 -minor free graph with maximum degree $\Delta \ge 3$, then $\chi'_{s}(G) \le 3\Delta - 2$. The result is best possible in the sense that there exist K_4 -minor free graphs *G* with maximum degree Δ such that $\chi'_{s}(G) = 3\Delta - 2$ for any given integer $\Delta \ge 3$.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Only simple graphs are considered in this paper. Let *G* be a graph with vertex set *V*(*G*), edge set *E*(*G*), minimum degree $\delta(G)$, and maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. A vertex *v* is called a *k*-vertex (*k*⁺-vertex, *k*⁻-vertex, respectively) if the degree $d_G(v)$ of *v* is *k* (at least *k*, at most *k*, respectively). Let $N_G(v)$ and $E_G(v)$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to *v* and the set of edges incident to *v*, respectively. It is easy to see that $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)| = |E_G(v)|$ for any vertex *v* of a simple graph *G*. If no ambiguity arises in the context, $\delta(G)$, $\Delta(G)$, $d_G(v)$, $N_G(v)$, and $E_G(v)$ are written as δ , Δ , d(v), N(v), and E(v), respectively.

A proper edge k-colouring of a graph G is a mapping $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ such that $\phi(e) \neq \phi(e')$ for any two adjacent edges e and e'. The chromatic index $\chi'(G)$ of G is the smallest integer k such that G has a proper edge k-colouring. The colouring ϕ is called strong if any two

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipl.2017.09.007 0020-0190/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. edges at distance at most two get distinct colours. Equivalently, each colour class is an induced matching. The *strong chromatic index*, denoted by $\chi'_{s}(G)$, of *G* is the smallest integer *k* such that *G* has a strong edge *k*-colouring.

Strong edge colouring of graphs was instructed by Fouquet and Jolivet [11]. It holds trivially that $\chi'_{s}(G) \geq \chi'(G) \geq \Delta$ for any graph *G*. In 1985, during a seminar in Prague, Erdős and Nešetřil put forward the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. For every graph *G* with maximum degree Δ ,

$$\chi'_{s}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{5}{4}\Delta^{2}, & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{1}{4}(5\Delta^{2} - 2\Delta + 1), & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Erdős and Nešetřil provided a construction showing that Conjecture 1 is tight if it were true. In 1997, using probabilistic method, Molloy and Reed [17] showed that $\chi'_s(G) \leq 1.998\Delta^2$ for a graph *G* with sufficiently large Δ . The currently best known upper bound for a general graph *G* is $1.93\Delta^2$, due to Bruhn and Joos [4]. Andersen [1] and independently Horák et al. [13] confirmed Conjecture 1 for the case $\Delta = 3$. If $\Delta = 4$, then Conjecture 1 asserts that







Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: yqwang@bucm.edu.cn (Y. Wang), pwang@stfx.ca
(P. Wang), wwf@zjnu.cn (W. Wang).

¹ Research supported by NSFC (No. 11671053).

² Research supported by NSFC (No. 11371328 and No. 11771402).

 $\chi'_{s}(G) \leq 20$. However, the best known upper bound is 22 for this case, see [7].

A graph *G* is called *d*-degenerate if each subgraph of *G* contains a vertex of degree at most *d*. Chang and Narayanan [5] proved that if *G* is a 2-degenerate graph, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 10\Delta - 10$. Recently, Wang [18] strengthened this result to that $\chi'_s(G) \leq 6\Delta - 7$ for any 2-degenerate graph *G*. As a special case, Wang [18] also showed that if all the 3⁺-vertices in a graph *G* induce a forest, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 4\Delta - 3$. Recall that a *chord* in a graph is an edge that joins two nonconsecutive vertices of a cycle. A graph is said to be *chordless* if there is no cycle in the graph that has a chord. Dębski et al. [8] proved that if *G* is a chordless graph, then $\chi'(G) \leq 4\Delta - 3$. More recently, this result was furthermore improved to that $\chi'(G) \leq 3\Delta$ for any chordless graph *G*, see [3].

Suppose that *G* is a planar graph. Using the Four-Colour Theorem [2] and Vizing Theorem [19], Faudree et al. [10] gave an elegant and short proof to the result that $\chi'_s(G) \le 4\Delta + 4$. They also constructed a class of planar graphs *G* with $\Delta \ge 2$ and $\chi'_s(G) = 4\Delta - 4$. Let g(G) denote the *girth* of a planar graph *G* (i.e., the length of a shortest cycle in *G*). It was shown in [14] that (i) $\chi'_s(G) \le 3\Delta$ if $g(G) \ge 7$; (ii) $\chi'_s(G) \le 3\Delta + 5$ if $g(G) \ge 6$; and (iii) $\chi'_s(G) \le 9$ if $\Delta \le 3$ and $g(G) \ge 6$. The above result (iii) has been recently strengthened to that $\chi'_s(G) \le 9$ for any planar subcubic graph *G* by Kostochka et al. [15].

In this paper, we focus on studying the strong edge colouring of K_4 -minor free graphs. A graph G has a graph H as a minor if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting edges, and G is called H-minor free if G does not have H as a minor. A planar graph is called outerplanar if it has an embedding in the Euclidean plane such that all the vertices are located on the boundary of the unbounded face. It is shown in [6] that a graph G is an outerplanar graph if and only if G is K_4 -minor free and $K_{2,3}$ -minor free. Thus, the class of K_4 -minor free graphs is a class of planar graphs that contains the class of outerplanar graphs.

Very recently, Hocquard et al. [12] proved that if *G* is an outerplanar graph with $\Delta \ge 3$ then $\chi'_s(G) \le 3\Delta - 3$ and the upper bound $3\Delta - 3$ is tight. On the other hand, it is easy to see that a K_4 -minor free graph *G* is 2-degenerate by the result of [9] and hence $\chi'_s(G) \le 6\Delta - 7$ by the result of [18]. In this paper, we will show that $\chi'_s(G) \le 3\Delta - 2$ for any K_4 -minor free graph *G* with $\Delta \ge 3$ and the upper bound $3\Delta - 2$ is tight.

2. A structural lemma

Let *G* be a K_4 -minor free graph. Then it was proved in [9] that $\delta \leq 2$. For a vertex $u \in V(G)$, let $n_i(u)$ and $n_{i+}(u)$ denote the number of *i*-vertices and *i*⁺-vertices that are adjacent to *u* in *G*, respectively. A vertex of degree 1 is called a *leaf*. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, we define

 $D_G(v) = \{y \mid d(y) \ge 3 \text{ such that } vy \in E(G) \text{ or there is}$ a path vxy with $d(x) = 2\}.$

The following key structural lemma appeared in [16]:

Lemma 1. Let G be a K_4 -minor free graph with $\Delta \ge 3$ and $\delta = 2$. Then G contains the following configurations (A1) or (A2).

- (A1) two adjacent 2-vertices.
- (A2) a vertex v with $d(v) \ge 3$ and $|D_G(v)| \le 2$.

Lemma 2. Let *G* be a K_4 -minor free graph with $\Delta \ge 3$. Then *G* contains one of the following configurations (B1), (B2) and (B3).

- **(B1)** a vertex v with $n_1(v) \ge 1$ and $n_{2^+}(v) \le 2$.
- (B2) two adjacent 2-vertices.
- **(B3)** a vertex v with $d(v) \ge 3$ and $|D_G(v)| \le 2$.

Proof. Let *G* be a connected K_4 -minor free graph with $\Delta \ge 3$ that does not contain (B1). Then no 3⁻-vertex is adjacent to a leaf, and every 3⁺-vertex ν is adjacent to at most $d(\nu) - 3$ leaves.

Let *H* be the graph obtained by removing all leaves from *G*. Then *H* is a connected *K*₄-minor free graph with $1 \le \delta(H) \le 2$. First, assume that $\delta(H) = 1$. Let $v \in V(H)$ with $d_H(v) = 1$. Then $v \in V(G)$ with $d(v) \ge 2$ by the construction of *H*. Thus, *v* is adjacent to d(v) - 1 (≥ 1) leaves in *G*, contradicting the previous discussion.

Second, assume that $\delta(H) = 2$. Since (B1) was excluded from *G*, it follows that $d_H(v) = d(v)$ for any vertex $v \in V(G)$ with $2 \le d(v) \le 3$. Moreover, for each vertex $v \in V(G)$ with $d(v) \ge 4$, we have $n_1(v) \le d(v) - 3$ and so it follows that $d_H(v) = d(v) - n_1(v) \ge 3$. Since $\Delta \ge 3$, it is immediate to derive that $\Delta(H) \ge 3$. By Lemma 1, *H* contains the configurations (A1) or (A2). If *H* contains (A1), i.e., two adjacent 2-vertices *x* and *y*, then since $d(x) = d_H(x) = 2$, $d(y) = d_H(y) = 2$, and $xy \in E(G)$, both *x* and *y* constitute a configuration (B2) in *G*.

Assume that *H* contains (A2), i.e., a vertex $v \in V(H)$ with $d_H(v) \ge 3$ and $|D_H(v)| \le 2$. We will show that (i) $d(v) \ge 3$; and (ii) $|D_G(v)| \le 2$, which implies that the vertex *v* satisfies (B3) in *G*. Obviously, (i) holds automatically since $d(v) = d_H(v) + n_1(v) \ge d_H(v) \ge 3$. Instead of showing (ii), we only need to prove that $D_G(v) \subseteq D_H(v)$, which implies immediately that $|D_G(v)| \le |D_H(v)| \le 2$.

Let *z* be an arbitrary vertex in $D_G(v)$. Then $d(z) \ge 3$ by definition, and $d_H(z) \ge 3$ by the previous discussion. If $vz \in E(G)$, then it is easy to see that $vz \in E(H)$, and so $z \in D_H(v)$ by the definition. Thus suppose that $vz \notin E(G)$. So there exists a 2-vertex $w \in V(G)$ such that $zw, wv \in E(G)$. Noting that $d_H(w) = d(w) = 2$, and $zw, wv \in E(H)$, we deduce that $z \in D_H(v)$. In both cases, we get $z \in D_H(v)$. Therefore, $D_G(v) \subseteq D_H(v)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

3. Strong edge colouring

This section establishes the main result in this paper. The following two propositions can be affirmed easily.

Proposition 3. Let P_n be a path with $n \ge 2$ vertices. Then $\chi'_s(P_n) = 1$ if n = 2, $\chi'_s(P_n) = 2$ if n = 3, and $\chi'_s(P_n) = 3$ if $n \ge 4$.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4950800

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4950800

Daneshyari.com