FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Soft Computing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/asoc



Minimizing worst-case regret of makespan on a single machine with uncertain processing and setup times



Chung-Cheng Lu^a, Shih-Wei Lin^{b,*}, Kuo-Ching Ying^a

- ^a Department of Industrial Engineering and Management, National Taipei University of Technology, Taipei, Taiwan
- ^b Department of Information Management, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 April 2013 Received in revised form 4 June 2014 Accepted 5 June 2014 Available online 13 June 2014

Keywords: Single machine scheduling Sequence-dependent setup times Uncertainty modeling

ABSTRACT

This research addresses a single machine scheduling problem with uncertain processing times and sequence-dependent setup times represented by intervals. Our objective is to obtain a robust schedule with the minimum absolute deviation from the optimal makespan in the worst-case scenario. The problem is reformulated as a robust traveling salesman problem (RTSP), whereby a property is utilized to efficiently identify worst-case scenarios. A local search-based heuristic that incorporates this property is proposed to solve the RTSP, along with a simulated annealing-based implementation. The effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed heuristic are compared to those of an exact solution method in the literature.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Uncertainty is a very practical concern for scheduling in various manufacturing and service industries, because many of the parameters associated with scheduling are often subject to unexpected deviations that prevent the execution of schedules exactly as they are developed. For instance, incomplete/inaccurate job information or unexpected/unavoidable stochastic variability in raw material availability and resource reliability gives rise to uncertainty which inevitably leads to infeasibilities and production/service disturbances. This research concerns scheduling jobs on a single machine with uncertain job processing times and sequence-dependent setup times (SDSTs).

To deal with uncertain job data in scheduling problems, a number of previous studies have developed different methods to generate robust schedules that minimize impacts of data uncertainty on production performances [1,2]. Stochastic programming (SP) [3] is among the classical approaches to tackle job data uncertainty in single machine scheduling problems. SP techniques assume the variations of job attributes (e.g., processing times, release data, and due dates) follow given distributions; actual values are realized only after a scheduling decision has been made. Since the outcome of a scheduling decision depends on the specific realization of uncertain job parameters, SP models typically aim at

optimizing expected (or average) system performance, such as flow time [4], weighted number of early and tardy jobs [5], maximum lateness [6], and number of tardy jobs [7].

In the cases when exact probability distributions of random job data are not available (thus SP techniques cannot be applied), an interval representation of uncertain processing times and SDSTs may be more appropriate. For example, in the production line for a new electronic product where jobs are processed for the first time, not much information about the probability distribution of processing and setup times is available. Nevertheless, lower and upper bounds of processing times and SDSTs could be (relatively) easily estimated based on past experiences from similar products and should be utilized in developing production schedules. Lai et al. [8] and Lai and Sotskov [9] dealt with the scheduling problem with interval or bounded processing times. Some other researchers focused on flowshop [10], jobshop [11], and parallel machine [12] scheduling problems with interval processing times and setup times. Although a number of studies addressed flowshop and jobshop scheduling problems with bounded processing times and setup times, the single machine scheduling problem with interval-represented processing time and SDSTs received very little

Robust optimization (RO) is another class of approaches of dealing with single machine scheduling with uncertain job data [13,14]. This class of approaches uses continuous intervals or ranges to represent uncertain job data and aims to optimize worst-case scheduling performances. Daniels and Kouvelis [15] generated robust schedules on a single machine with interval job processing times. Their objective was to minimize the absolute deviation

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 3 211 8800x5811; fax: +886 3 211 8020. E-mail addresses: jasonlu@ntut.edu.tw (C.-C. Lu), swlin@mail.cgu.edu.tw (S.-W. Lin), kcying@ntut.edu.tw (K.-C. Ying).

from the optimal total flow time in the worst-case scenario. They developed a branch-and-bound exact algorithm and two surrogate relaxation heuristics to find robust schedules. Yang and Yu [16] addressed the same problem as Daniels and Kouvelis [15] but with a discrete, finite set of processing time scenarios rather than interval data, Kasperski [17] considered uncertain due dates and processing times in a single machine scheduling problem with precedence constraints. The uncertainty was described by interval data and the performance measure is maximum lateness. The author developed a polynomial time algorithm for constructing robust schedules with a minimum worst-case scenario deviation. RO has also been applied to solve other combinatorial optimization problems with uncertain data. For instance, robust shortest path problems have received significant attention from a number of researchers, such as Yu and Yang [18], Karasan et al. [19], Montemanni et al. [20], and Lu et al. [21]. Sungur et al. [22] proposed a robust optimization model for the capacitated vehicle routing problem with demand uncertainty. Averbakh and Berman [23,24] presented algorithms for the robust *p*-center problem on a network with uncertain demand.

The research presented in this paper adopts the robustness approach to solve the single machine scheduling problem (SMSP) with both job processing times and SDSTs represented by interval data. The objective is to obtain a robust job sequence with minimum worst-case absolute deviation of the makespan from an optimal solution. We reformulate the robust single machine scheduling problem (RSMSP) as a robust (asymmetric) traveling salesman problem (RTSP) whereby a useful property can be utilized to determine a worst-case scenario among a possibly infinite number of scenarios. Because the RTSP problem is NP-hard [14], in order to obtain acceptable solutions within a reasonable time for problem instances with practical sizes, a generic algorithmic framework is presented, together with a specific implementation based on SA. Numerical experiments are conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of the SA-based heuristic, to examine the tradeoff between robustness and optimality, and to explore the impact of varying degrees of data uncertainty on the selected performance measures.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, following the definition of the RSMSP with uncertain processing times and SDSTs, the reformulation of the RSMSP as the RTSP is presented. Then, in Section 3, the proposed SA-based heuristic for solving the RTSP is described. Numerical experiments and results are reported in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 presents concluding remarks with some recommendations for future research.

2. Problem statement and reformulation

2.1. The RSMSP with uncertain job processing times and SDSTs

Given a set $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of jobs that require to be processed on a single machine, the RSMSP aims to obtain a sequence of the N jobs with minimum absolute deviation from optimal makespan in a worst-case scenario. The nominal processing time of each job j is p_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n), and all jobs are available for processing at the beginning (i.e., time zero) of the planning horizon. The nominal SDST between jobs j and k is denoted as s_{jk} (j, k = 1, 2, ..., n, and $j \neq k$), where $s_{jk} \neq s_{kj}$. Note that s_{0j} , $\forall j$, represents the required setup time when job j is placed in the first position of a sequence.

The uncertainty of processing times and SDSTs is described using intervals or ranges. Specifically, each job j is associated with an interval $[pl_j, pu_j]$, $0 < pl_j \le pu_j$, to represent the uncertainty of its processing time. In addition, an interval $[sl_{jk}, su_{jk}]$, $0 < sl_{jk} \le su_{jk}$, is used to capture the uncertainty of the SDST between two adjacent jobs j and k. Let $p_j(r)$ denote the processing time of job j and $s_{ik}(r)$ the SDST between two jobs j and k in scenario r. Let R be

the set of possible scenarios. A scenario $r \in R$ denotes a realization of the job processing times and SDSTs, with $p_j(r) \in [pl_j, pu_j] \ \forall j$ and $s_{jk}(r) \in [sl_{jk}, su_{jk}] \ \forall j, k$, and $j \neq k$. Let $C(\tau, r)$ denote the makespan of sequence τ under scenario r. The *deviation* between the makespan of sequence τ and that of optimal sequence $\tau^*(r)$ in scenario r is defined as follows:

$$C_{Dev}(\tau, r) = C(\tau, r) - C(\tau * (r), r), \tag{1}$$

The robustness cost of τ is defined as its maximum deviation among all possible scenarios:

$$RCost(\tau) = \max_{r \in R} C_{Dev}(\tau, r)$$
 (2)

The RSMSP intends to find the robust sequence τ_{robust} which has the smallest (among all possible sequences) maximum (among all possible scenarios) absolute deviation; that is

$$RCost(\tau_{robust}) = \min_{\tau \in \Omega} RCost(\tau) = \min_{\tau \in \Omega} \max_{r \in R} C_{Dev}(\tau, r),$$
 (3)

where Ω is the set of feasible sequences. In this definition, the robust sequence can be considered as a sequence that should guarantee reasonably good performance (compared to the optimal solution) under a set of realizations of job processing times and SDSTs.

Based on the above definitions, the RSMSP under consideration can be represented using the classical three-field notation scheme, developed by Graham et al. [25], as $(\alpha|\beta|\gamma) = (1|\text{ST}_{\text{sd}}, p_j \in [pl_j, pu_j], s_{jk} \in [sl_{jk}, su_{jk}]|RCost)$, where two sub-fields $(p_j \in [pl_j, pu_j], s_{jk} \in [sl_{jk}, su_{jk}])$ are introduced in the β field and the objective function RCost in the γ field.

2.2. The robust traveling salesman problem

It was shown that, for a given scenario r, the single machine scheduling problem with SDSTs and the objective of minimizing the makespan can be reformulated as an asymmetric TSP by introducing a dummy job 0 representing the depot of a vehicle [26]. Let G = (N, A) be a connected graph. N is the set consisting of depot node 0 and *n* customer nodes, each of which corresponds to a job in the single machine scheduling problem. A is the set of arcs (i, k)connecting nodes j and k, $\forall j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \neq k$. An arc (j, k) denotes that node *j* is visited immediately before node *k* (i.e., job *j* is scheduled immediately before job k). The arc distance matrix of the corresponding TSP in scenario r is given by $D(r) = \{d_{ik}(r), j, k = 0, ..., n\}$, where $d_{ik}(r)$ is the distance between nodes j and k, which equals the sum of the setup time between jobs j and k and the processing time of job k; that is, $d_{jk}(r) = s_{jk}(r) + p_k(r)$. Because $p_k(r) \in [pl_k, pu_k]$ and $s_{jk}(r) \in [sl_{jk}, su_{jk}]$, the uncertain link distance $d_{jk}(r)$ is in the range $[dl_{jk}, du_{jk}]$, where $dl_{jk} = sl_{jk} + pl_k$, $du_{jk} = su_{jk} + pu_k$, $0 < dl_{jk} \le du_{jk}$. Note that $d_{ik}(r) = 0$, if k = 0 (the depot).

The TSP considers all the jobs as the nodes to be visited and intends to find a Hamiltonian tour (a sequence) with the minimal distance (the makespan) for the vehicle that departs from the depot (job 0), services the n nodes (jobs) exactly once, and returns to the depot. Let Ω be the set of feasible TSP tours of the TSP, and τ be a feasible tour ($\tau \in \Omega$). Let $Z(\tau, r)$ denote the distance of tour τ in scenario r. The robust deviation of tour τ in scenario r is defined as the difference between the distance of tour τ and that of the optimal tour $\tau^*(r)$:

$$Z_{Dev}(\tau, r) = Z(\tau, r) - Z(\tau * (r), r).$$
 (4)

The robustness cost of a tour τ is its maximum robust deviation among all possible scenarios:

$$RCost(\tau) = \max_{r \in R} ZDev(\tau, r)$$
 (5)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/495400

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/495400

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>