Invited Review

# The Benders decomposition algorithm: A literature review 

Ragheb Rahmaniani ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}$, Teodor Gabriel Crainic ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, *}$, Michel Gendreau ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}$, Walter Rei ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$<br>${ }^{\text {a CIRRELT - Interuniversity Research Centre on Enterprise Networks, Logistics and Transportation, Université de Montréal, P.O. Box 6128, Station Centre-Ville, }}$ Montréal H3C 3J7, Canada<br>${ }^{\text {b }}$ School of Management, Université du Québec à Montréal, P.O. Box 8888, Station Centre-Ville, Montréal H3C 3P8, Canada<br>${ }^{\text {c }}$ Department of Mathematics and Industrial Engineering, École Polytechnique de Montréal, P.O. Box 6079, Station Centre-ville, Montréal H3C 3A7, Canada

## A R T I C L E I N F O

## Article history:

Received 21 June 2016
Accepted 1 December 2016
Available online 9 December 2016

## Keywords:

Combinatorial optimization
Benders decomposition
Acceleration techniques
Literature review


#### Abstract

The Benders decomposition algorithm has been successfully applied to a wide range of difficult optimization problems. This paper presents a state-of-the-art survey of this algorithm, emphasizing its use in combinatorial optimization. We discuss the classical algorithm, the impact of the problem formulation on its convergence, and the relationship to other decomposition methods. We introduce a taxonomy of algorithmic enhancements and acceleration strategies based on the main components of the algorithm. The taxonomy provides the framework to synthesize the literature, and to identify shortcomings, trends and potential research directions. We also discuss the use of the Benders Decomposition to develop efficient (meta-)heuristics, describe the limitations of the classical algorithm, and present extensions enabling its application to a broader range of problems.
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## 1. Introduction

It has been more than five decades since the Benders Decomposition (BD) algorithm was proposed by Benders (1962), with the main objective of tackling problems with complicating variables, which, when temporarily fixed, yield a problem significantly easier to handle. The BD method (also referred to as variable partitioning, Zaourar and Malick (2014), and outer linearization, Trukhanov, Ntaimo, and Schaefer (2010)) has become one of the most widely used exact algorithms, because it exploits the structure of the problem and decentralizes the overall computational burden. Successful applications are found in many divers fields, including planning and scheduling (Canto, 2008; Hooker, 2007), health care (Luong, 2015), transportation and telecommunications (Costa, 2005), energy and resource management (Cai, McKinney, Lasdon, \& Watkins, 2001; Zhang \& Ponnambalam, 2006), and chemical process design (Zhu \& Kuno, 2003), as illustrated in Table 1.

The BD method is based on a sequence of projection, outer linearization, and relaxation (Geoffrion, 1970a, 1970b). The model is first projected onto the subspace defined by the set of complicating variables. The resulting formulation is then dualized, and the associated extreme rays and points respectively define the feasibility

[^0]requirements (feasibility cuts) and the projected costs (optimality cuts) of the complicating variables. Thus, an equivalent formulation can be built by enumerating all the extreme points and rays. However, performing this enumeration and, then, solving the resulting formulation is generally computationally exhausting, if not impossible. Hence, one solves the equivalent model by applying a relaxation strategy to the feasibility and optimality cuts, yielding a Master Problem (MP) and a subproblem, which are iteratively solved to respectively guide the search process and generate the violated cuts.

The BD algorithm was initially proposed for a class of mixedinteger linear programming (MILP) problems. When the integer variables are fixed, the resulting problem is a continuous linear program ( $L P$ ) for which we can use standard duality theory to develop cuts. Many extensions have since been developed to apply the algorithm to a broader range of problems (e.g., Geoffrion, 1972; Hooker \& Ottosson, 2003). Other developments were proposed to increase the algorithm's efficiency on certain optimization classes (e.g., Costa, Cordeau, Gendron, \& Laporte, 2012; Crainic, Hewitt, \& Rei, 2014). In addition, BD often provides a basis for the design of effective heuristics for problems that would otherwise be intractable (Côté \& Laughton, 1984; Raidl, 2015). The BD approach has thus become widely used for linear, nonlinear, integer, stochastic, multi-stage, bilevel, and other optimization problems, as illustrated in Table 2.

Fig. 1 depicts the increasing interest in the BD algorithm over the years. Despite this level of interest, there has been no comprehensive survey of the method in terms of its numerical and the-

Table 1
Some applications of the Benders decomposition method.

|  | Reference | Application | Reference | Application |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Behnamian (2014) | Production planning | 17 | Jiang et al. (2009) | Distribution planning |
| 2 | Adulyasak et al. (2015) | Production routing | 18 | Wheatley et al. (2015) | Inventory control |
| 3 | Boland et al. (2016) | Facility location | 19 | Laporte, Louveaux, and Mercure (1994) | Traveling salesman |
| 4 | Boschetti and Maniezzo (2009) | Project scheduling | 20 | Luong (2015) | Healthcare planning |
| 5 | Botton et al. (2013) | Survivable network design | 21 | Maravelias and Grossmann (2004) | Chemical process design |
| 6 | Cai et al. (2001) | Water resource management | 22 | Moreno-Centeno and Karp (2013) | Implicit hitting sets |
| 7 | Canto (2008) | Maintenance scheduling | 23 | Oliveira et al. (2014) | Investment planning |
| 8 | Codato and Fischetti (2006) | Map labeling | 24 | Osman and Baki (2014) | Transfer line balancing |
| 9 | Cordeau et al. (2006) | Logistics network design | 25 | Pérez-Galarce et al. (2014) | Spanning tree |
| 10 | Cordeau et al. (2001a) | Locomotive assignment | 26 | Pishvaee et al. (2014) | Supply chain network design |
| 11 | Cordeau et al. (2001b) | Airline scheduling | 27 | Rubiales et al. (2013) | Hydrothermal coordination |
| 12 | Corréa et al. (2007) | Vehicle routing | 28 | Saharidis et al. (2011) | Refinery system network planning |
| 13 | Côté et al. (2014) | Strip packing | 29 | Sen et al. (2015) | Segment allocation |
| 14 | Fortz and Poss (2009) | Network design | 30 | Bloom (1983) | Capacity expansion |
| 15 | Gelareh et al. (2015) | Transportation | 31 | Wang et al. (2016) | Optimal power flow |
| 16 | Jenabi et al. (2015) | Power management | 32 | Errico, Crainic, Malucelli, and Nonato (2016) | Public transit |

Table 2
Examples of optimization problems handled via Benders method.
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\hline & \text { Reference } & \text { Model } & \text { Reference } & \text { Model } \\ \hline 1 & \text { Adulyasak et al. (2015) } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Multi-period stochastic problem } \\ \text { Multi-objective MILP } \\ \text { Multi-objective nonconvex nonlinear } \\ \text { problem }\end{array} & 10 & 16\end{array}\right)$


Fig. 1. Annual number of mentions of the Benders decomposition according to https://scholar.google.com/.

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4959733 

Download Persian Version:
https://daneshyari.com/article/4959733

## Daneshyari.com


[^0]:    * Corresponding author at: CIRRELT - Interuniversity Research Centre on Enterprise Networks, Logistics and Transportation, Université de Montréal, P.O. Box 6128, Station Centre-Ville, Montréal H3C 3J7, Canada.

    E-mail address: TeodorGabriel.Crainic@cirrelt.net (T.G. Crainic).

