Applied Soft Computing xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Soft Computing



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/asoc

Stochastic partially optimized cyclic shift crossover for multi-objective genetic algorithms for the vehicle routing problem with time-windows

Djamalladine Mahamat Pierre*, Nordin Zakaria 4 Q1

High Performance Computing Center, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, 32610 Tronoh, Perak, Malaysia 5 02

ARTICLE INFO 20

Article history:

Received 15 March 2016 10

Received in revised form 11

12 22 September 2016

Accepted 23 September 2016 13

Available online xxx 14

15 Keywords: 16

Multi-objective vehicle routing problems 17

Multi-objective genetic algorithm

18

19 Crossover

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a stochastic partially optimized cyclic shift crossover operator for the optimization of the multi-objective vehicle routing problem with time windows using genetic algorithms. The aim of the paper is to show how the combination of simple stochastic rules and sequential appendage policies addresses a common limitation of the traditional genetic algorithm when optimizing complex combinatorial problems. The limitation, in question, is the inability of the traditional genetic algorithm to perform local optimization. A series of tests based on the Solomon benchmark instances show the level of competitiveness of the newly introduced crossover operator.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

1. Introduction 21

The vehicle routing problem with time windows (VRPTW) [1] 2205 is a combinatorial optimization problem which deals with time-23 constrained service provision. The problem consists of a set of 24 trucks which leave a centralized depot, and service a set of geo-25 graphically dispersed customers. Each customer has a demand of 26 commodities which ought to be satisfied by the servicing truck 27 within predefined time-windows. The problem is also subject to 28 the restriction that each customer must be visited exactly once and 29 that the cumulative demands of the serviced customers must not 30 exceed the capacity of the servicing truck. The objective of the prob-31 lem is to minimize the total travel cost (distance, time, number of 32 trucks, etc.). 33

As an extension of the capacitated vehicle routing problem 34 (CVRP), and a generalization of the travelling salesman problem 35 with time windows (TSPTW), the applications of VRPTW range 36 from modeling real-life logistical problems which involve time and 37 capacity restrictions [2] to schedule sequential jobs when there 38 exist dependencies between the jobs on each machine [3]. The 39 multi-objective VRPTW (MOVRPTW) arise from the fact that many 40 real life problems require the simultaneous optimization of two 41 or more objectives [4]. The problem is of particular interest among 42 researchers because of its classification as an NP-complete problem 43

Corresponding author. 03 E-mail address: djamal2810@gmail.com (D.M. Pierre).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.asoc.2016.09.039 1568-4946/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

[5]. Thus, the problem is intractable; which forces researchers to resort to specialized heuristics and meta-heuristics to solve practical size problem instances.

Early attempts to solve VRPTW instances rely on heuristics based on deterministic methods. Such heuristics include the timeoriented nearest neighbor heuristics and the insertion heuristics presented in [1]. Aside from their inability to support multiobjective optimization (MO), deterministic methods are inefficient with large-scale problem instances. Multi-objective genetic algorithms (MOGAs) [6,7] are prime candidates for solving MOVRPTWs for two main reasons. Firstly, their ability to maintain a population of candidate solutions [7] makes them suitable to approximate the pareto-optimal set of the multi-objective problems. Secondly, as meta-heuristics, they are proven to provide near optimal solutions to complex optimization problems in acceptable time. Nevertheless, genetic algorithms, and by extensions MOGAs, are liable to the lack of accuracy and efficiency when optimizing complex problems [8]. In order to surmount those weaknesses, the traditional GA operators are modified to incorporate local optimization techniques. Among those operators is the crossover operator. The insertion based-crossover operators such as the Best Cost Route Crossover (BCRC) [2,7], or the construction algorithm presented in [9] have been successfully used in MOGAs for the optimization of VRPTWs. But, one must note that regardless of the quality of the solutions found, those crossover operators employ exhaustive techniques to find the best cost node positions.

The partially optimized cyclic-shift crossover (POCSX) incorporates the hill-climbing mechanism by sequentially appending the

Please cite this article in press as: D.M. Pierre, N. Zakaria, Stochastic partially optimized cyclic shift crossover for multi-objective genetic algorithms for the vehicle routing problem with time-windows, Appl. Soft Comput. J. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2016.09.039

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

D.M. Pierre, N. Zakaria / Applied Soft Computing xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Nomenclature		
Sets		
С	set of customer nodes	
N	set of all nodes	
V	set of vehicles	
Variables		
b _{ik}	time at which the service begins at <i>i</i>	
d _{ij}	distance between nodes <i>i</i> and <i>j</i>	
d_i	demand of customer <i>i</i>	
e _i	earliest allowable service time for customer <i>i</i>	
i, j	indices of nodes	
Κ	a very big number	
k	index of vehicle	
l _i	latest allowable service time for customer <i>i</i>	
m _i	service completion time at customer <i>i</i>	
q	uniform capacity of all the vehicles	
s _{ik}	time needed for vehicle k to service customer i	
t _{ii}	travel time between nodes <i>i</i> and <i>j</i>	
w _{ik}	wait time of vehicle <i>k</i> at node <i>i</i>	
x_{ijk}	variable set to 1 if the vehicle <i>k</i> travelled from node	
	<i>i</i> to node <i>j</i>	
Other symbols		
α_{ij}	cost of edge (<i>i</i> , <i>j</i>)	
p_av_1	sequence of available customer nodes in parent 1	
$p_{-}u$ nas	a boolean array which keeps track of nodes not yet appended	
p_1, p_2	parent chromosomes	
$p_1[i]$	ith gene of parent 1	
X 11.1		

low-cost gene (nodes) of the two mating parents at each gene position of the child chromosome. Though the crossover has low time complexity (compared to the insertion based crossovers), its application to VRPTW have yielded disappointing solutions in [10]. In this paper, we introduce the stochastic POCSX (SPOCXS) which is the improvement of the POCSX. The contributions in this paper include:

- A new child construction algorithm based on the sequential appendage of genes.
- A mathematical model which defines the viability of the potential node to ensure that the resulting child encodes a feasible solution.
- A mathematical model for the appendage cost to ensure that the resulting child is an improvement of the parent chromosomes.
- A stochastic appendage rule to overcome potential traps to local minima.

In the following lines, we cover the literature review in Section 2.
Section 3 gives a formal definition of the problem, and Section 4
provides an in-deft description of our MOGA, including the newly
introduced SPOCSX. Section 5 describes the experimental set-up.
The results are discussed in Section 6. Finally, the conclusion is
given in Section 6.

93 **2. Background**

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

Over the years, many attempts have been made to efficiently solve instances of the VRPTW. Early attempts to solve the problems include problem solving strategies which account for a single objective (mainly minimizing the distance cost). Solomon's early works on time-oriented nearest neighbor heuristics and on the insertionbased heuristics [1] are but a few examples of approaches used to solve single objective VRPTW instances. The time-oriented nearest neighbor heuristics sequentially constructs a solution based on the lowest cost of neighboring nodes. The insertion-based heuristics, on the other hand, rely on two cost functions to determine the best insertion positions and the best node to be inserted among a series of unrouted nodes. Solomon's works have proven that the insertion heuristics outperforms the appendage-based heuristics in most cases. Solomon's findings inspired Potvin and Rousseou to further improve the insertion heuristics which resulted on the conception of the parallel construction algorithm described in [11]. Although the insertion-based heuristics have proven effective in finding optimal results, they rely on exhaustive search to identify the best insertion positions.

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is a population-based metaheuristic which arises from the simulation of the social behaviour of swarms (flock of birds, fish schooling, etc.) [12]. PSO is an iterative method which bears a lot of similarities with other evolutionary algorithms (namely genetic algorithm). PSO maintains a population of independent entities or particles which go through changes to achieve a common goal: reaching the optimal or near optimal point of a given objective function. Each particle is represented by an *n*-dimensional position vector and an *n*-dimensional velocity vector. In addition, each particle has the ability to remember its last recorded best position deemed personal best. Moreover, the local best position of selected neighbouring particles is also known to the particle. The best position of all the local best is archived as the global best. Over the course of the iterations, the particle's position vector and velocity vector are updated in such a way that the particle's next position coincide with the best or near best positions with the minimum possible fluctuations over the search space. Originally conceived for continuous optimization, PSO has seen its scope broaden and expanded to various integer programming problems. PSO has been successfully applied to solve small VRPTW instances in [13,14]. It is noteworthy that PSO, as a population-based search method, can be extended to support multi-objective optimization. In [15], Coello and Lechuga laid down the foundations of approximating the pareto-optimal front with PSO by keeping track of all the non-dominated global best in an external archive. The method has been applied to multi-objective VRPTW in [16]. However, the investigations in [17] found that such approach can be detrimental to the performance of PSO as the complexity to update the archive of the global best can, at each iteration, rise up to $O(KN^2)$ with K the number of objectives and N the number of particles. The past few years have seen the multi-objective PSO (MOPSO) reach a high level of maturity in the multi-objective optimization of integer programs. The work by Torabi et al. on multi-objective optimization of unrelated parallel machines scheduling problem [18] is a worthy example of the application of MPSO to a multi-objective integer problem. One of the challenges to approach VRTPW (an integer program) with MOPSO (a continuous method) is the representation of solutions which, in most cases, are left to the researcher's interpretation of the problem resulting in a wide variety of indirect representations such as the $n + 2 \times m$ -dimensional representation of the particle position in [13,14], the *n*-dimensional particle position shown in [16], or the $2 \times n$ -dimensional representation in [19]. Along with each representation, a decoding scheme is deployed prior to the evaluation process.

Genetic algorithm (GA) [20] is a global optimization technique which comprises of interrelated operations which include initialization, evaluation, selection, crossover (mating), and/or the mutation, and truncation (or replacement strategy) [21]. The combination of the evaluation, selection, crossover and/or mutation is subject to an iterative process (evolution) until a stop condition is met. Like PSO, GA maintains a population of potential solutions in the process of finding the optimal or pseudo optimal solutions. Unlike PSO, during the optimization process, GA's search procedure

Please cite this article in press as: D.M. Pierre, N. Zakaria, Stochastic partially optimized cyclic shift crossover for multi-objective genetic algorithms for the vehicle routing problem with time-windows, Appl. Soft Comput. J. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2016.09.039

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4963507

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4963507

Daneshyari.com