



Multidimensional method-of-lines transport for atmospheric flows over steep terrain using arbitrary meshes



James Shaw^{a,*}, Hilary Weller^a, John Methven^a, Terry Davies^b

^a Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

^b Met Office, Exeter, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 February 2017

Received in revised form 21 April 2017

Accepted 23 April 2017

Available online 2 May 2017

Keywords:

Finite volume

Unstructured mesh

Atmospheric modelling

Least-squares approximation

von Neumann stability analysis

ABSTRACT

Including terrain in atmospheric models gives rise to mesh distortions near the lower boundary that can degrade accuracy and challenge the stability of transport schemes. Multidimensional transport schemes avoid splitting errors on distorted, arbitrary meshes, and method-of-lines schemes have a low computational cost because they perform reconstructions at fixed points.

This paper presents a multidimensional method-of-lines finite volume transport scheme, “cubicFit”, which is designed to be numerically stable on arbitrary meshes. Stability conditions derived from a von Neumann stability analysis are imposed during model initialisation to obtain stability and improve accuracy in distorted regions of the mesh, and near steeply-sloping lower boundaries. Reconstruction calculations depend upon the mesh only, needing just one vector multiply per face per time-stage irrespective of the velocity field.

The cubicFit scheme is evaluated using three, idealised numerical tests. The first is a variant of a standard horizontal transport test on severely distorted terrain-following meshes. The second is a new test case that assesses accuracy near the ground by transporting a tracer at the lower boundary over steep terrain on terrain-following meshes, cut-cell meshes, and new, slanted-cell meshes that do not suffer from severe time-step constraints associated with cut cells. The third, standard test deforms a tracer in a vortical flow on hexagonal-icosahedral meshes and cubed-sphere meshes. In all tests, cubicFit is stable and largely insensitive to mesh distortions, and cubicFit results are more accurate than those obtained using a multidimensional linear upwind transport scheme. The cubicFit scheme is second-order convergent regardless of mesh distortions.

Crown Copyright © 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Numerical simulations of atmospheric flows solve equations of motion that result in the transport of momentum, temperature, water species and trace gases. The numerical representation of Earth’s terrain complicates the transport problem because the mesh is necessarily distorted next to the lower boundary. As new atmospheric models use increasingly fine mesh spacing, meshes are able to resolve steep, small-scale slopes. Numerical schemes in operational weather forecast models can perform poorly over large mountain ranges, exhibiting small-scale numerical noise in momentum [1], temperature,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: js102@zepler.net (J. Shaw).

humidity [2] and potential vorticity fields [3], or even violating the Courant–Friedrich–Lewy stability constraint resulting in so-called ‘grid-point storms’ [4]. A transport scheme is desired that yields stable and accurate solutions, particularly near the surface where the weather affects us directly. We present a new transport scheme which is numerically stable on arbitrary meshes and which is generally insensitive to mesh distortions created by steep slopes. It has a low computational cost since most calculations are not repeated every time-step because they depend upon the mesh geometry only.

There are two main methods for representing terrain in atmospheric models: terrain-following layers and cut cells. Both methods modify regular meshes to produce distorted meshes with cells that are aligned in columns. Most operational models use terrain-following layers in which horizontal mesh surfaces are moved upwards to accommodate the terrain. A vertical decay function is chosen so that mesh surfaces slope less steeply with increasing height. The most straightforward is the linear decay function used by the basic terrain-following transform [5] (also called the sigma coordinate), but many atmospheric models suffer from large numerical errors on such meshes [2,6,7]. To reduce such errors, more complex decay functions have been developed so that mesh surfaces are smoother [8,2,9,6].

An alternative to terrain-following layers is the cut cell method. Cut cell meshes are constructed by ‘cutting’ a regular mesh with a piecewise-linear representation of the terrain. New vertices are created where the terrain intersects mesh edges, and cell volumes that lie beneath the ground are removed. Cut cell meshes can have arbitrarily small cells that impose severe time-step constraints on explicit transport schemes. Several techniques have been developed to alleviate this problem, known as the ‘small-cell problem’: small cells can be merged with adjacent cells [10], cell volumes can be artificially increased [11], or an implicit scheme can be used near the ground with an explicit scheme used aloft [12].

Another method for avoiding the small-cell problem was proposed by Shaw and Weller [13] in which cell vertices are moved vertically so that they are positioned at the terrain surface. We refer to this alternative method as the slanted cell method in order to distinguish it from the traditional cut cell method. Slanted cell meshes do not suffer from arbitrarily small cells because the horizontal cell dimensions are not modified by the presence of terrain.

Smoothed terrain-following layers, cut cells and slanted cell methods all reduce the amount of mesh distortion but any mesh that represents sloping terrain must necessarily be distorted, at least near the ground. Even when distortions are minimal, transport across mesh surfaces tends to be more common near steep slopes, and this misalignment between the flow and mesh surfaces increases numerical errors [14,2,13]. A huge variety of transport schemes have been developed for atmospheric models, but few are able to account for distortions associated with steep terrain because they treat horizontal and vertical transport separately [15], resulting in numerical errors called ‘splitting errors’. Such errors can be reduced by explicitly accounting for transverse fluxes when combining fluxes [16], but splitting errors are still apparent in flows over steep terrain where meshes are highly distorted and metric terms in a terrain-following coordinate transform are large [17].

Transport schemes are often classified as dimensionally-split or multidimensional. Dimensionally-split schemes such as [18,19] calculate transport in each dimension separately before the flux contributions are combined. Such schemes are computationally efficient and allow existing one-dimensional high-order methods to be used. When dimensionally-split schemes are used for horizontal transport, quadrilateral meshes are needed because the mesh dimensions are inherently separable. Special treatment is required at the corners of cubed-sphere panels where local coordinates differ [20,19]. Dimensional splitting is often used for vertical transport and, for similar reasons, dimensionally-split schemes have only been used with terrain-following coordinate transforms and not cut cells. Perhaps confusingly, dimensionally-split schemes are sometimes called multidimensional, too, because they use one-dimensional techniques for multidimensional transport.

Unlike dimensionally-split schemes, multidimensional schemes consider transport in two or three dimensions together. There are several subclasses of multidimensional schemes that include semi-Lagrangian finite volume schemes (also called conservative mesh remapping), swept-area schemes (also called flux-form semi-Lagrangian, incremental remapping, or forward-in-time), and method-of-lines schemes (also called Eulerian schemes). Two-dimensional semi-Lagrangian finite volume schemes such as [21,22] integrate over departure cells that are found by tracing backward the trajectories of cell vertices. These schemes are conservative because departure cells are constructed so that there are no overlaps or gaps, which requires that cell areas are simply-connected domains [23]. SLICE-3D is a three-dimensional semi-Lagrangian finite volume scheme for latitude–longitude meshes that applies separate conservative remappings in each dimension [24]. Swept area schemes such as [25–28] calculate the flux through a cell face by integrating over the upstream area that is swept out over one time-step. Such schemes differ in their choice of area approximation, sub-grid reconstruction, and spatial integration method. Because swept area schemes integrate over the reconstructed field, they typically require a matrix–vector multiply per face per time-stage [28,26]. Method-of-lines schemes such as [29,30] use a spatial discretisation to reduce the transport PDE to an ODE that is typically solved using a multi-stage time-stepping method. A method-of-lines scheme using a spectral element reconstruction was recently developed to achieve accurate solutions near the surface of cut cell meshes [31]. Unlike semi-Lagrangian finite volume schemes, swept-area and method-of-lines schemes achieve conservation for small-scale rotational flows. Such flows can twist the departure domain to such an extent that the domain intersects itself [27]. In two dimensions, a self-intersecting departure domain has a bowtie or hourglass shape. There are many more types of atmospheric transport schemes, but all can be classified according to their treatment of the three spatial dimensions. A more comprehensive overview is presented by Lauritzen et al. [32].

For transport schemes that are ordinarily classified as ‘multidimensional’, a further distinction ought to be made between horizontally-multidimensional and three-dimensional schemes. Most multidimensional schemes are only horizontally-multidimensional because, while the two horizontal dimensions are considered together, horizontal and vertical transport are still treated separately. This separate treatment becomes less justifiable as atmospheric models are using increasingly fine

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4967446>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4967446>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)