## ARTICLE IN PRESS

Digital Signal Processing ••• (••••) •••-•••



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Digital Signal Processing



www.elsevier.com/locate/dsp

# Sea surface target detection based on complex ARMA-GARCH processes

Yunjian Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Yixiong Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhenmiao Deng<sup>a,1</sup>, Xiao-Ping Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Hui Liu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Information Science and Engineering, Xiamen University, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Ryerson University, Canada

### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Available online xxxx Keywords: Radar Sea clutter GARCH ARMA Detection

#### ABSTRACT

In financial applications, it is common practice to fit return series by AutoRegressive Moving-Average (ARMA) models with Generalized AutoRegressive Conditional Heteroscedastic (GARCH) errors. In this paper, we develop a complex-valued ARMA-GARCH model for the sea clutter modeling application. Compared with the AR-GARCH model, the additionally introduced MA terms make the proposed model capable of considering the dependence of conditional variances of adjacent echo measurements as model coefficients, improving the modeling precision by taking advantage of the strong correlations between adjacent measurements. Based on the complex-valued ARMA-GARCH process for sea clutter modeling, we further develop a sea surface target detection algorithm. By analyzing a large number of the practical sea clutter data, we evaluate its performance and show that the proposed sea surface target detector offers a noticeable improvement for the probability of detection, comparing with the state-of-the-art AR-GARCH detector.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Accurate modeling of sea clutter is of great importance in remote sensing and radar applications, and it benefits optimum detection algorithm design and performance prediction [1–9]. To comprehensively investigate the statistical characteristics of practical clutter under different environmental conditions, a variety of experiments have been carried out, and the databases are available to the international research community, e.g., the McMaster Intelligent PIXel Processing Radar (IPIX) database [10] and the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) database [11]. At times, however, the data necessary for the statistical description of the amplitude and the power of clutter are either not available or scarce for various sea states, grazing angles, polarizations and wind directions. Alternatively, the computer simulation program provides an inexpensive and reliable environment to obtain the synthetic clutter data at various frequency bands [12,13].

For low grazing angles, sea clutter is generally characterized by Weibull [14], K [15], log-normal [16], Pareto [17], and Compound-Gaussian (CG) [18] Probability Density Functions (PDFs), etc. It is noted that the Pareto distribution is also a good model for high grazing angle sea clutter [19]. Traditional statistics-based detection

E-mail address: zyx@xmu.edu.cn (Y. Zhang).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsp.2017.07.017

1051-2004/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

methods, however, cannot obtain the expected results when the model of sea clutter and target does not fit the practical complicated environment [20]. Moreover, these traditional distributions cannot precisely model the possible temporal dependencies in the return series.

The CG process can be expressed as a product model [18]

$$c_t = \sqrt{\tau_t} g_t$$

where the fast-changing component  $g_t$ , which accounts for local scattering, is referred to as speckle. The nonnegative real stochastic variable  $\tau_t$ , which represents the variation of the local power of the clutter due to the tilting of the illuminated area, is referred to as texture. The product model describes the scattering mechanism for observation time intervals on the order of the Coherent Processing Interval (CPI) of the radar system [21]. Therefore, the CG model is widely used to characterize the heavy-tailed clutter distributions in radar applications, especially sea clutter modeling [22]. The texture of the CG process, which controls the variance of the compound process, is commonly assumed to follow certain distribution, e.g., Gamma [23], inverse Gamma [23,24], and inverse Gaussian [25]. However, in practice, it is difficult to determine which texture distribution is optimal.

The introduction of Generalized AutoregRessive Conditional Heteroscedastic (GARCH) processes [26] for sea clutter modeling [27] provides a novel method to model the amplitude of clutter echo as a time series. Here, the term "heteroscedastic" means that the variance is not constant but time-varying. In [28], the authors

(1)

Please cite this article in press as: Y. Zhang et al., Sea surface target detection based on complex ARMA-GARCH processes, Digit. Signal Process. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsp.2017.07.017

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EURASIP member.

Y. Zhang et al. / Digital Signal Processing ••• (••••) •••-•••

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

propose a complex nonlinear ARCH model and the corresponding 2 detector, which achieves higher probability of detection, compar-3 ing with the linear GARCH detector. Both linear and nonlinear 4 GARCH-type processes, however, cannot consider the strong cor-5 relations between adjacent clutter returns. Therefore, in order to 6 have a quantitative idea, it is necessary to first estimate the data 7 autocorrelation functions by means of the average of their tempo-8 ral autocorrelation functions [27]. Subsequently, according to the 9 estimated autocorrelation functions, one can predict that samples 10 from two pulses separated a certain interval are not correlated. For 11 instance, if after six pulses, the autocorrelation function is approx-12 imately zero, then we extract one sample from six successive sam-13 ples. This data extraction method is apparently inefficient, since 14 most of the radar resources are wasted. Hence, a family of GARCH-15 type processes have been investigated for sea clutter modeling and 16 a generalized nonlinear-asymmetric GARCH model, combining with 17 the AutoRegressive (AR) process, is proposed in [29]. Due to the introduction of AR process, the interval of extraction can be mod-18 19 erately reduced

20 It is worth noting that the GARCH process is also a product 21 model, as observed in the next section. Therefore, it naturally in-22 herits the advantages of the CG model. The main difference be-23 tween GARCH and CG processes is that the conditional variances 24 of GARCH processes are time-varying and dependent on historic 25 information. Two primary features of the GARCH process are lep-26 tokurticity and volatility clustering (i.e., large changes tend to fol-27 low large changes and small changes tend to follow small ones), 28 which are exactly shown in sea clutter measurements. The his-29 tory information is used to improve the model characterization 30 at current time and future predictions. While the correlations be-31 tween adjacent clutter measurements are strong, samples from 32 two pulses separated by a time interval become uncorrelated. It 33 means that when using a GARCH process (or a nonlinear GARCH 34 process) for clutter modeling, it is necessary to first analyze the 35 autocorrelation function of clutter to determine the proper time in-36 terval. Apparently, this method is inefficient or even not available 37 when the radar dwell time is relatively short, leading to insuffi-38 cient data length for precise parameter estimation.

39 Subsequently, Pascual et al. [30] propose a complex Two-40 Dimensional AutoRegressive GARCH (AR-GARCH-2D) process for 41 sea clutter modeling. By introducing AR terms, the correlations of 42 adjacent measurements are modeled as coefficients of the condi-43 tional mean. However, not only the clutter measurements but also 44 their conditional variances are dependent, because the conditional 45 variance is composed of past information including clutter mea-46 surements. Therefore, it is natural and reasonable to add Moving 47 Average (MA) terms in conditional mean expression of the model 48 to obtain more precise modeling of practical sea clutter. The new 49 clutter model comes with two advantages: 1) data extraction is no 50 longer necessary and the required radar dwell time can be greatly 51 reduced; 2) the conditional mean of practical clutter is more pre-52 cisely modeled, resulting in smaller conditional variances, which is 53 closely related to the decision threshold of the detector, as shown 54 in Section 4.3.1.

55 In this paper, by using practical sea clutter data, we demon-56 strate that the proposed ARMA-GARCH detector offers a noticeable 57 improvement for the probability of detection, comparing with the 58 AR-GARCH detector. It is worth mentioning that we mainly dis-59 cuss the 1-D situation to expound the advantages of adding MA 60 terms in the conditional mean expression. In other words, the 61 pulse dimension (slow time dimension) clutter is modeled as a 62 complex ARMA-GARCH process. In fact, the ARMA-GARCH process 63 and the corresponding detection algorithm can be conveniently 64 extended to the 2-D case by incorporating range dimension (fast 65 time dimension) measurements and conditional variances of range 66 dimension in the current conditional variance expression. Mean-

67 while, however, the required data size for parameter estimation increases. Moreover, the range resolution of the radar data used 68 69 in this paper is 30 m, which leads to negligible coefficients of the 70 range dimension. As a result, the 2-D and 1-D processes, as well 71 as the corresponding detectors, are essentially the same. This viewpoint is demonstrated by numerical simulations in Section 4. It is 72 inferred that with the improvement of radar resolution, the 2-D 73 74 detector may achieve better performance.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly introduces the complex 1-D and 2-D ARMA-GARCH processes, as well as the parameter estimation algorithm and model order selection criteria. Based on the 1-D model for the sea clutter, a binary hypothesis test detection algorithm is developed in Section 3. In Section 4, numerical simulations are conducted to validate the advantages of the proposed detector.

#### 2. Novel clutter model based on complex ARMA-GARCH process

#### 2.1. A novel clutter model

In the new clutter model, we model sea clutter measurements as a complex ARMA(p,q)-GARCH(m,n) process  $c_t$ , defined by the following

$$\varphi(B)c_t = \psi(B)\varepsilon_t \tag{2}$$

$$\varepsilon_t = \sqrt{h_t} \eta_t \tag{3}$$

$$h_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} |\varepsilon_{t-i}|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} h_{t-i}, \qquad (4)$$

where  $\varphi(B) = 1 - \varphi_1 B - \dots - \varphi_p B^p$  and  $\psi(B) = 1 + \psi_1 B + \dots + \psi_q B^q$  are polynomials of *B* with no common factors; *B* is the backward-shift operator, e.g.,  $B^p y_t = y_{t-p}$ ; positive integers *p*, *q*, *m*, *n* are model orders; and  $\eta_t$  is a sequence of i.i.d. circular normal stochastic variables, i.e.,  $\eta_t \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ ;  $\alpha_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_i \ge 0$ ,  $\beta_i \ge 0$  are coefficients of conditional variance  $h_t$ , while the restrictions on them guarantee the positivity of  $h_t$ . The unconditional variance of the GARCH part is finite if  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i < 1$  [26]. Moreover, suppose that all roots of  $\varphi(B) = 0$  and  $\psi(B) = 0$  lie outside the unit circle, then  $c_t$  is strictly stationary and ergodic [31]. Also note that  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^p$  and  $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^q$  are complex coefficients of the model.

The in-phase and quadrature components of the received radar echoes respectively correspond to the real and imaginary part of c(t). From (2)–(4), it is seen that comparing with the AR-GARCH process, not only the past measurements  $\{y_{t-i}\}_{i=1}^{p}$  but also the past conditional variances  $\{h_{t-i}\}_{i=1}^{q}$  are incorporated in the echo model, resulting in more accurate modeling precision of the current conditional variance, which is essential for the detection performance.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  denote the sigma-field generated by the past information, i.e.,  $h_{\tau}$ ,  $c_{\tau}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\tau}$  for  $\tau < t$ . Then, if we condition  $c_t$  to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ from (2), we see that  $c_{t-i}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{t-i}$ , i = 1, 2, ... are given and only  $\varepsilon_t$ is random; thus

$$c_t | \mathcal{F}_t \sim \mathcal{CN}\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i c_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^q \psi_i \varepsilon_{t-i}, h_t\right).$$
(5)

Incorporating the range dimension measurements and conditional variances of the range dimension in (4), we obtain conditional variance expression of the 2-D ARMA-GARCH model

$$h_{rt} = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i,j\in\Lambda_1} \alpha_{ij} |\varepsilon_{r-i,t-j}|^2 + \sum_{i,j\in\Lambda_2} \beta_{ij} h_{r-i,t-j},$$
(6)

Please cite this article in press as: Y. Zhang et al., Sea surface target detection based on complex ARMA-GARCH processes, Digit. Signal Process. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsp.2017.07.017

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4973755

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4973755

Daneshyari.com