Accepted Manuscript

Title: Comparison of biomass from integrated fixed-film activated sludge (IFAS), moving bed biofilm reactor (MBR) and membrane bioreactor (MBR) treating recalcitrant organics: importance of attached biomass

Author: Chunkai Huang Yijing Shi Jinkai Xue Yanyan Zhang

Mohamed Gamal El-Din Yang Liu

PII: \$0304-3894(16)31147-5

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.12.015

Reference: HAZMAT 18247

To appear in: Journal of Hazardous Materials

Received date: 5-9-2016 Revised date: 6-12-2016 Accepted date: 7-12-2016

Please cite this article as: Chunkai Huang, Yijing Shi, Jinkai Xue, Yanyan Zhang, Mohamed Gamal El-Din, Yang Liu, Comparison of biomass from integrated fixed-film activated sludge (IFAS), moving bed biofilm reactor (MBBR) and membrane bioreactor (MBR) treating recalcitrant organics: importance of attached biomass, Journal of Hazardous Materials http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.12.015

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Highlights

- Five types of biomass from MBBR, IFAS, and MBR were compared for the degradation of OSPW.
- MBBR and IFAS-biofilm showed high AEF and classical NAs removal, MBR-flocs showed high COD removal.
- NAs degradation was highly dependent on the carbon number and NA cyclization number according to UPLC/HRMS analysis.
- Denitrifiers were more abundant in suspended phase of activated sludge flocs.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4979767

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4979767

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>