## ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Safety Research xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Journal of Safety Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jsr

# Conomic and statistical perspectives on traffic safety in Louisiana, 2005–2015

#### Q4 Q3 Onyumbe Enumbe B. Lukongo

Q5 Southern University and A & M College, United States

```
6 ARTICLE INFO
```

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17 18 26

27

28

29

30

31 33 34

#### Article history: Received 5 January 2017 Accepted 4 May 2017 Available online xxxx JEL classification: C12 C52 J18 Keywords: Traffic safety Economic analysis Louisiana

#### ABSTRACT

This study examines and applies recent empirical evidence from Mississippi and Alabama on fatal crashes and its Q6 relationship with gasoline prices and alcohol consumption using the Louisiana Crash Data Reports between 20 January 2005 and December 2015. Results suggest that higher gasoline prices reduce fatalities among young 21 drivers. A fewer number of young drivers on the roads are believed to reduce the likelihood of fatal crashes. 22 Alcohol consumption is strongly associated with all types of fatal crashes. Underage drinking is still prominent 23 in Louisiana. Extreme temperatures are positively associated with youth and other types of fatal crashes. 24 © 2017 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. 25

#### 36 1. Introduction

Motor vehicle Negative binomial model

The study provides for the first time both an economic and a statis-37 tical analysis of the Louisiana Crash Data Reports maintained and 38 made publicly available by the Louisiana Department of Transportation 39 and Development. The economic analysis focuses on the costs of fatal 40 crashes to society and the statistical analysis aims to apply and evaluate 41 recent empirical evidence on the effects of gasoline prices and the 42 43 alcohol consumption on the fatal crashes. This study contributes to the awareness of the drunk-driving and young driver's deaths, which take 44 a huge toll on society in terms of social and economic costs, wealth 45destruction, and unfulfilled potential of the deceased or incapacitated. 46 47 The estimated social costs are staggering. Although the over impact of fatal crashes among young drivers on the economic growth and devel-48 opment is still unknown, it may be substantial due to premature deaths 49 50(15–24 years) and unfulfilled youth drivers' lifetime potential. Ideas, new discoveries, innovations, inventions, entrepreneurial spirit, and 51 social cooperation are one of the fundamentals of the economic 5253development, which are made possible by the greatest asset above all-people, such as Thomas Edison, Blaise Pascal, Philo Farnsworth, 5455Steve Jobs, Bill Gates, Benjamin Franklin, Alexander Graham Bell, 56Sandford Fleming, Marie Curie, Galileo Galilei, and Mark Zuckerberg. 57Premature deaths, as a result of fatal crashes, deprive the humanity of the benefits from the gifts and potentials of young drivers and others 58 prematurely killed in motor vehicle accidents in the country where 59 the life expectancy at birth is about 78.8 years, as documented by the 60 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2016). Motor vehicle fatal- 61 ities remain the leading cause of premature deaths among young 62 drivers (Hoyert & Jiaquan, 2012). 63

According to the 2010 U.S. Department of Transportation, the 64 National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) estimates, 65 the harm of traffic crashes to society is evaluated at \$836 billion, drunk 66 driving crashes cost about \$52.5 billion, and crashes resulting from 67 speeding or driving too fast than the legal speed limits cost \$52 billion, 68 and crashes resulting from the lack of seat belts usage cost \$10.43 billion 69 to the United States (Blincoe, Miller, Ziloshnja, & Lawrence, 2015). The 70 death toll is high, tapping 32,999 people killed based on the NHTSA 71 estimates. The data from the Louisiana Department of Transportation 72 (2005-2015) suggest the annual average total cost of crashes of about 73 \$6.76 billion and the average annual cost of crashes per licensed driver 74 of \$2336.91. The reduction in youth alcoholic beverage consumption 75 should remain a public policy priority according to the 2013 Louisiana 76 epidemiological profile because Louisiana's children get more familiar-77 ized with alcoholic beverage since their high school senior year and 78 drink more in college. According to the same report, Louisiana middle 79 and high school students (8-12th grade) are 11% more likely to drink 80 alcoholic beverage within the last 30 days compared with their peers na- 81 tionwide. In Louisiana, alcohol-attributable crashes represent 43.14% of 82 the overall fatal crashes whereas youth fatal crashes represent 34.07% 83

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.05.001 0022-4375/© 2017 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Lukongo, O.E.B., Economic and statistical perspectives on traffic safety in Louisiana, 2005–2015, *Journal of Safety Research* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.05.001

E-mail address: onyumbe\_lukongo@subr.edu.

2

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

O.E.B. Lukongo / Journal of Safety Research xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

annually. Among young licensed drivers about 7.07% are arrested for 84 85 driving while intoxicated. The lack of driving experience coupled with alcoholic beverage consumption undermines, in part, young drivers' ca-86 87 pacity to evaluate accident risks and corrupt their perception of control (Leigh & Wilkinson, 1991). The data also reveal that 60.52% of drivers 88 were killed for not wearing the safety seat belt. These risky behaviors 89 have been characterized in the psychological and legal studies literature 90 91 as a poor assessment of accident risk (De Joy, 1989; Fishhoff, Furby, & 07 Gregory, 1987; Groeger & Brown, 1989; Lave, 1987) subsequent to vary-93 ing degrees of enforcement of minimum legal driving and drinking age 94and drunk driving laws (Cook & Tauchen, 1984). To some economists, another explanation is that licensed drivers do not bear the burden of 95the true social costs of accidents. Researchers believe that more enforce-96 97 ment of existing laws and imposition of higher gasoline or beer taxes may alter these behaviors (Dee, 1999; Grabowski & Morrisey, 2006, 98 2011; Kenkel, 1993). Nevertheless, there is a belief that well designed 08 100 and targeted driving education programs may perhaps have a transformational power toward more safer or sober driving. It is our contention 101 that the involvement of teenagers or young drivers in shaping solutions 102to drunk-driving and to fatal, injury, and property damage only crashes 103 may be instrumental. This study is the first step toward that direction by 104 providing empirical evidence on the effects of drunk-driving and the in-105 106 crease in the gasoline prices on the fatal crashes. The next study, which 107 consists of laboratory experiments, will evaluate the effectiveness of new driving education programs compared with tax policy proposals 108 on gasoline and beer to change young drivers' behaviors in a participa-109110 tory approach.

111 This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly presents previous research related to fatal crashes and their relationships to gasoline 112 prices or drunk-driving. Section 3 presents the methods, that is, the 113 data source, the definition of variables, the summary statistics and the 114 115modeling strategy. Section 4 presents the results. Section 5 discusses 116the results and challenges that researchers continue to face in the em-117 pirical settings and provides the conclusion and the direction for future research. 118

#### 119 2. Previous research

This study is built upon the empirical evidence of recent studies in 120the states of Mississippi and Alabama and evaluates these findings 121 using the Louisiana Crash Data Reports. The task is to empirically esti-122123 mate the effects of both alcoholic beverage consumption and gasoline prices on the youth, drunk-driving, and overall fatal crashes. In a 124 burgeoning empirical literature, gasoline prices and alcohol consump-125tion have often been cited as the major determinants of the motor 126 vehicle fatal crashes in the state of Mississippi (Chi, Cosby, Quddus, 127128Gilbert, & Levinson, 2010; Chi, Zhou, McClure, Gilbert, & Cosby, 2011) and Alabama (Chi, McClure, & Brown, 2012). In the same fashion, 129Hyatt, Griffin, RuellI, and McGrwin (2009) investigate the relationship 130between the motorcycle related fatalities and crashes for the entire 131 United States. 132

133The very large amount of research activity on the determinants 134of the motor vehicle fatal crashes has often focused on the gasoline price or the alcohol consumption along with a set of controls related 135to the economic conditions, measured by the state employment rate, 136the weather conditions, measured by the average air temperature and 137138 the rainfall, the drivers' demographic characteristics, measured by age, gender, and ethnicity, the observance of safety measures, mea-139sured by the seat belt usage by the drivers or passengers during the 140 fatal, injury or property damage-only crashes (Chi et al., 2010, 2011, 141 2012; Hyatt et al., 2009; Kenkel, 1993; Leigh & Wilkinson, 1991; 142McGwin & Brown, 1999; Noland, 2005). The results of the burgeoning 09 empirical literature are inconclusive on the expected signs. In this 144 study, the gasoline price and the alcoholic beverage consumption 145per capita are the explanatory variables along with a host of controls 146 147 (see Chi et al., 2012).

Two major trends have emerged in the empirical literature. The first 148 trend postulates a positive association between the gasoline prices and 149 the number of fatal crashes (Chi et al., 2011; Dee, 1999, 2001; WHO, 150 2014). That is, an increase in gasoline prices reduces the purchasing 151 power of some drivers and is expected to trigger the stress levels of 152 individuals who face hardship. The hardship hypothesis suggests that 153 binge drinking increases during higher unemployment rates (Dee, 154 2001). The hardship coupled with the increase in the gasoline price 155 levels may induce some individuals to consume more alcoholic bev- 156 erages and drive while intoxicated or under the influence of alcohol. 157 This likely leads to more alcohol involved motor vehicle crashes (De Joy, 158 1989; Groeger & Brown, 1989; Leigh & Wilkinson, 1991). The hardship 159 hypothesis is tested in this study using the Louisiana crash data reports. 160 The second trend of hardship hypothesis holds the view that bad eco- 161 nomic conditions alter drivers' behavior and make them more rational 162 and conservative spenders (Grabowski & Morrisey, 2004, 2006; Leigh 163 & Wilkinson, 1991, 2008). Thus, drivers optimize their trip frequency, 010 minimize their travel time and their travel costs through a careful ratio-165 nalization of their trip for business, family, or vacation purposes (see Chi 166 et al., 2010; Grabowski & Morrisey, 2004, 2006; Leigh & Wilkinson, 167 1991, 2008; Wilson, Stimpson, & Hilsenrath, 2009 for more details). 168

Despite the fact that the increase in gasoline prices more likely de- 169 creases the exposure to the fatal crashes, the magnitude of its effects 170 measured by the elasticity is small (Chi et al., 2011). The reason is that 171 there are a fewer fatal accidents compared with the number of injuries 172 and property damage only crashes (Kenkel, 1993; McGwin & Brown, 011 1999). These authors find that the increasing gasoline prices have 174 a stronger effect on the injury and property damage only crashes. The 175 gasoline price increase is understandably stronger on the young drivers 176 and affects male and female drivers similarly (Chi et al., 2012; Kenkel, 177 1993; McGwin & Brown, 1999). Alcohol consumption has been cited 012 as the leading cause of fatal, injury and property damage only crashes 179 compared to other causes of death (Leigh & Wilkinson, 1991; Noland, 180 2005) because it slows reaction times, impairs judgment, corrupts per- 181 ception of accident risk, and induces drunk drivers to overestimate their 182 perception of control over the vehicle and their self-control (De Joy, 183 1989; Groeger & Brown, 1989; Leigh & Wilkinson, 1991). 184

185

186

#### 3. Data and methods

#### 3.1. Data sources, variables and descriptive statistics

Five datasets are used in this study and run from January 2005 to 187 December 2015. The first dataset is composed of the regular unleaded 188 gasoline prices gathered from the U.S. Department of Energy, the 189 Energy Information Administration. The second dataset consists of the 190 state shipment of alcohol measured in gallons collected from the Beer 191 Institute. The third dataset includes the Louisiana population estimates 192 gathered from the U.S. Census Bureau. The fourth dataset is composed of 193 the weather condition indicators including the average air temperature, 194 the maximum and minimum temperatures, extreme temperatures 195 maximum and minimum were obtained from the data maintained 196 by the Louisiana State University, Department of Geography and 197 Anthropology. The last dataset is the Louisiana Crash Data reports 198 made publicly available by the Louisiana Department of Transportation 199 Traffic Safety Office and the Louisiana State University Highway Safety 200 Research Group. 201

The literature review suggests a comprehensive list of motor vehicle 202 fatal crash covariates including the average gasoline prices, alcohol con-203 sumption, seat belt usage, road conditions, average air temperature 204 and precipitation, and state unemployment and other drivers' demo-205 graphic characteristics such as age, gender, and ethnicity/race; however, 206 the publicly available data sets maintained by the Louisiana Department 207 of Transportation and Development, Traffic Safety Office and the 208 Louisiana State University Safety Research Group do not have the drivers' 209 demographic characteristics (gender, age group, and ethnicity/race) 210 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4980525

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4980525

Daneshyari.com