## ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Safety Research xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Journal of Safety Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jsr

# Using interactive virtual presence to support accurate installation of child restraints: Efficacy and parental perceptions

Q3 Q2 David C. Schwebel, <sup>a,\*</sup> M. Alison Tillman, <sup>b</sup> Marie Crew, <sup>c</sup> Matthew Muller, <sup>b</sup> Anna Johnston <sup>a</sup>

Q4 <sup>a</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, USA

5 <sup>b</sup> College of Engineering, University of Florida, USA

6 <sup>c</sup> SafeKids Alabama, Children's of Alabama, USA

#### 8 ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 6 January 2017
Received in revised form 26 May 2017
Accepted 27 June 2017

13 Available online xxxx

15 \_\_\_\_\_

41 Keywords:

42 Smartphone app43 M-health

43 M-health44 Motor vehicle safety

45 Child restraint systems, child passenger safety

#### ABSTRACT

Introduction: Child restraint systems (car seats) reduce injury risk for young children involved in motor-vehicle 19 crashes, but parents experience significant difficulty installing child restraints correctly. Installation by certified 20 child passenger safety (CPS) technicians yields more accurate installation, but is impractical for broad distribu- 21 tion. A potential solution is use of interactive virtual presence via smartphone application (app), which permits 22 "hands on" teaching through simultaneous and remote joint exposure to 3-dimensional images. Method: In two 23 studies, we examined the efficacy of remote communication via interactive virtual presence to help parents in- 24 stall child restraints. Study 1 was conducted at existing car seat checkpoints and Study 2 at preschools/daycare 25 centers. In both cases, existing installations were assessed by certified CPS technicians using an objective coding 26 scheme. Participants then communicated with remotely-located certified CPS technicians via a smartphone app 27 offering interactive virtual presence. Technicians instructed participants to install child restraints and then the in- 28 stallation was inspected by on-site technicians. Both before and after the remote interaction, participants com- 29 pleted questionnaires concerning perception of child restraints and child restraint installation, self-efficacy to 30 install child restraints, and perceived risk of injury to children if they were in a crash. Results: In both studies, ac- 31 curacy of child restraint installations improved following the remote interaction between participants and certified CPS technicians. Together, the two samples achieved a weighted average of 90% correct installations across a 33 multi-point inspection. Both samples reported increased self-efficacy to install child restraints and altered per- 34 ceptions about the accuracy of the child restraint installations in their vehicles. Conclusions: Findings support 35 use of interactive virtual presence as a strategy to realize accurate installation of child restraints. Practical 36 applications: Interactive virtual presence between certified CPS technicians and the public via smartphone app 37 has potential to improve proper child restraint installations broadly, including to vulnerable and underserved 38 rural populations. 39

© 2017 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. 40

### 48

40

### 50 1. Introduction

Motor-vehicle crashes are a leading cause of death among American 5152children (National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, 2017). For the youngest children, child restraint systems (car seats; henceforth, 53"child restraints") reduce risk of serious injury and death (Berg, Cook, 5455Comeli, Vernon, & Dean, 2000; Lane, Liu, & Newlin, 2000; Tessier, 2010). Unfortunately, parents experience significant difficulty installing 56 child restraints correctly, with inaccurate installation rates typically 5758ranging between 70% and 90% (Blair et al., 2008; Brown, Hatfield, Du, 59Finch, & Bilston, 2010; Duchossois, Nance, & Wiebe, 2008; Koppel & 60 Charlton, 2009). Although incorrect installation is generally preferred 61 over non-use, improving the accuracy of installation will reduce

E-mail address: schwebel@uab.edu (D.C. Schwebel).

pediatric injury and death rates, and is encouraged by experts as the 62 most effective strategy to preserve child safety in motor-vehicle crashes 63 (Beringer-Brown, Pearce, & Rush, 2005; Lesire, Cuny, Alonzo, & Cataldi, 64 2007). 65

Experts propose various explanations for why child restraints may 66 be installed incorrectly by parents, but one prominent explanation is 67 the fact that installation is complex and difficult to complete properly. 68 Installation techniques vary widely across vehicles and across child re- 69 straints, require frequent changes as children grow and develop, and in- 70 corporate manipulation of multiple straps and harnesses. For these 71 reasons, individualized assistance and training to install child restraints 72 by certified CPS technicians, such as those holding national child pas- 73 senger safety certifications from Safe Kids Worldwide, yields installation 74 rates that far surpass parental use of a manufacturer's instruction 75 manual alone (Brown, Finch, Hatfield, & Bilston, 2011; Lane et al., 76 2000; Tessier, 2010). In most locales, however, only a small percentage 77 of child restraints are installed with the assistance of certified CPS 78

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.06.018

0022-4375/© 2017 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Schwebel, D.C., et al., Using interactive virtual presence to support accurate installation of child restraints: Efficacy and parental perceptions, *Journal of Safety Research* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.06.018

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1300 University Blvd, CH 415, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA.

2

### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

### D.C. Schwebel et al. / Journal of Safety Research xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

technicians. In the U.S. state of Florida, for example, data from the 79 80 Florida Occupant Protection Resource Center indicates that about 15,000 child restraints were checked or installed in the year 2015 81 82 (Florida Occupant Protection Resource Center, 2016). In that same year, there were over 224,000 live births in Florida (Florida 83 Department of Health, 2016). Barriers to installation education include 84 convenience to families and access to certified technicians given the 85 86 labor and costs for governments or non-profit agencies to administer in-87 stallation programs. Risk of incorrect child restraint installation is par-88 ticularly high in rural areas (Hafner et al., in press).

89 A potential solution to these barriers is use of an interactive virtual 90 presence app that provides augmented and merged reality for certified CPS technicians to work remotely with parents to install child restraints 9192into their vehicles. Interactive virtual presence apps provide joint exposure to 3-dimensional images and simultaneous verbal and visual 93 communication, such that a certified technician located remotely can 94 95 communicate both verbally and visually with a parent to point, explain, 96 instruct, and signify how to install a child restraint properly. Initial testing of such an app as a tool to install child restraints with a group of 97 young adults offered evidence of efficacy. In a randomized experimental 98 design with 39 young adults who had no previous experience installing 99 child restraints, engagement with the app proved more effective in 100 101 vielding accurate child restraint installations than use of an instruction manual alone (Schwebel, Johnston, & Rouse, 2017). 102

The present study evaluates whether interactive virtual presence 103 improves the accuracy of existing installation of child restraints in vehi-104 cles. We sought to accomplish two primary aims, each tested using a 105106 within-subjects design among a sample of parents and other adults who frequently drive with young children in their vehicle. First, we 107hypothesized communication with a remotely-located certified CPS 108 technician using an interactive virtual presence app would increase 109110 the accuracy of existing child restraint installations. Second, we hypoth-111 esized participants would perceive higher safety, greater self-efficacy to install child restraints, and reduced risk for child injury following the re-112 mote virtual interaction with the certified technician. 113

Our hypotheses were tested among two samples. The first sample made appointments at car seat checkpoints and therefore had pre-existing concern about the safety of their installations. The second was recruited from individuals who were dropping off or picking up children at community preschools/day care centers and therefore had made no pre-existing effort to have their child restraint installations checked.

### 121 2. Methods

### 122 2.1. Participants

In Study 1, 20 adults ages 18–72 (mean = 35.90 years, SD = 12.05)
were recruited from community-based car seat checkpoints at multiple
sites in Northern and Central Florida. The Study 1 protocol was
reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board at University
of Florida.

Study 2 participants were recruited from two preschools/daycare cen-128ters in Birmingham, Alabama. Fifty-two adults ages 20–71 (mean = 12935.59 years, SD = 10.59) were recruited during drop-off and pick-up 130131 times at the preschools. In some cases, parents expressed an interest in the study and then were scheduled for an appointment time in the 132coming few days. In other cases, recruitment and enrollment happened 133 immediately. The Study 2 protocol was reviewed and approved by the 134 Institutional Review Board at University of Alabama at Birmingham. 135

All participants in both studies provided informed consent to participate. Exclusion criteria were inability to communicate in English or inability to conduct the physical tasks required to install a child restraint. No potential participants were excluded from either study for these reasons.

### 2.2. Protocol

The study protocol was identical for both studies. Study 1 participants were approached during scheduled car seat checkpoints at multiple locations in Northern and Central Florida and Study 2 participants 144 during drop-off and pick-up times at preschools and daycare centers 145 in the Birmingham, Alabama area. In both cases, participants were permitted to schedule later appointment times to participate if they 147 desired. 148

Following consent processes, participants responded to a 22-item 149 baseline questionnaire addressing participant and family demographics, 150 perceptions about child restraints and child restraint installation, 151 and previous behavior and experience surrounding child restraint 152 installations. While participants completed the questionnaire, an on-153 site certified CPS technician inspected the currently-installed child re-154 straint and rated it using an objective rating scale, detailed below. Par-155 ticipants were not informed about the results of this inspection until 156 after the study was complete. If more than one child restraint was pres-157 ent in the vehicle, a "target" child restraint for the research was chosen 158 at random. Booster seats were excluded from the research.

Next, the participant was remotely connected to an off-site certified 160 CPS technician, who instructed the participant on how to install the 161 child restraint into the vehicle using an interactive virtual presence 162 app. In most cases, this involved removing the existing child restraint 163 installation and re-installing it. Participants were provided a tablet for 164 this purpose; remote technicians used their own smartphones or 165 tablets, as they preferred. Following the remote interchange, the on- 166 site CPS technician again inspected the child restraint installation 167 using an objective rating scale and without informing participants 168 about the results of the inspection until the study was complete. During 169 the inspection, the participant completed a 13-item questionnaire 170 assessing their perceptions of the remote communication and child re- 171 straint installation process, as well as their broader perceptions about 172 child restraints and child restraint installation. Prior to departure, all 173 child restraints in the vehicle (including those not randomly selected 174 as the "target" for research purposes if multiple child restraints were 175 present) were re-inspected for safety. If needed, participants were 176 assisted with re-installation by the on-site certified technician. Partici- 177 pants were offered a gift card to reimburse them for their time. 178

### 2.3. Interactive virtual presence app

Participants and remote technicians communicated using 180 HelpLightning, a commercially available app that functions on 181 smartphones and tablets. Prior to the study, remote technicians 182 engaged in a 3-hour training session to learn how to use the app 183 effectively. Participants were given instructions on the use of the app 184 prior to connecting to the remote technician. No technical concerns 185 about the app's functioning were expressed by participants or remote 186

technicians. 187 In technical language, HelpLightning offers interactive visual and 188 aural communication and a virtual interactive presence to users. It pro- 189 vides merged reality and virtual interaction. Users can instantly and si- 190 multaneously view and merge two real-time perspectives, offering 191 opportunity for remote collaboration while interactively examining, 192 pointing to, illustrating and discussing a video stream. In lay language, 193 users requiring help - in this case the research participants - may 194 place their smartphone over a targeted area to allow the expert - in 195 this case the remotely-located certified CPS technician - to "freeze" 196 that image and then point to particular areas with their hands and/or 197 with software tools like arrows and pointers located within the app. 198 Thus, for example, if the research participant was unsure where to con- 199 nect a lower anchor, he or she could show the technician the back seat of 200 the car and request that the technician point to the location of the lower 201 anchor. 202

141

179

Please cite this article as: Schwebel, D.C., et al., Using interactive virtual presence to support accurate installation of child restraints: Efficacy and parental perceptions, *Journal of Safety Research* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.06.018

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4980545

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4980545

Daneshyari.com