ARTICLE IN PRESS

PROCESS SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION XXX (2016) XXX-XXX



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Process Safety and Environmental Protection



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/psep

A simultaneous methodology for the optimal design of integrated water and energy networks considering pressure drops in process industries

Fardin Torkfar, Akram Avami*

Energy Systems Engineering, Energy Engineering Faculty, Sharif University of Technology, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 December 2015 Received in revised form 30 May 2016 Accepted 6 June 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Simultaneous optimization Water networks Energy integration Superstructure Pressure drop

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a simultaneous methodology for the optimal design of integrated water and energy networks. Heat transfer coefficients are not constant but are related to the velocity of the streams. Pressure drops in heat exchangers and related power costs are considered. The model is a non-convex MINLP (mixed-integer non-linear program) model, in which the objective is to minimize the total annual costs. To accomplish this task, a new superstructure is proposed that follows the energy and mass streams from sources to sinks, enabling us to consider heat exchange between streams in two separate stages of the HENS before and after mixers. Furthermore, heat recovery from wastewater is considered. The model is solved for two examples, and results are presented with and without pressure drop effects. The optimum velocity and heat transfer coefficients for the streams in the heat exchangers are determined, and the results are in good agreement with the literature. In this way, the model reflects the real situation in industrial networks where thermal and electrical energy and water requirements interact very closely.

© 2016 Institution of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

To reach sustainability in process industries, depletion of significant resources such as energy and water must be minimized, which has extreme effects on cost and operation. On the other hand, efficient utilization of resources to meet demand, environmental restrictions, increasing costs of water and energy and increasing demand for energy and materials, necessitate the optimally integrated design of water and energy networks that has recently become an important issue.

Water and energy networks interact with each other in different process operations such as steam generation, heating and cooling, washing and chemical reactions. Development of process integration techniques for the design of these networks has many advantages, such as process improvement, pollution prevention, energy management and conservation, increased productivity and reduction in the capital and operating costs of chemical plants (Dunn and El-Halwagi, 2003). Process integration techniques may be classified as pinch analysis (Linnhoff and Hindmarsh, 1983; El-Halwagi and Manousiouthakis, 1989) and mathematical programming techniques (Gundersen and Naess, 1988; Gundersen and Grossmann, 1990; Grossmann et al., 2000). Historically, these techniques have been developed for the optimal design of energy networks or water networks (Klemeš et al., 2010).

The state of the art in pinch-based techniques for water network synthesis has been reviewed by Foo (2009). Pinch technology relies on a sequence of targeting followed by a design strategy that considers the location of the pinch point in the network. It requires expertise to reach the optimal design, while mathematical programming considers sequential and simultaneous optimization of utility consumption and network configurations (Bagajewicz et al., 2013). Bagajewicz (2000)

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: avami@sharif.ir (A. Avami).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.06.008

Please cite this article in press as: Torkfar, F., Avami, A., A simultaneous methodology for the optimal design of integrated water and energy networks considering pressure drops in process industries. Process Safety and Environmental Protection (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.06.008

^{0957-5820/© 2016} Institution of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Process Safety and Environmental Protection $~\rm x\,x\,x$ (2016) xxx–xxx

Nomenclature				
Indiana			Si	total flowrate for process stream of source i
indices	nrocess stream		~	(kg/s)
i	process sinks (including waste mixer)		5 _n Tim	total flowrate for process stream of sink) (kg/s)
) k	inex for stage 1ST and temperature location		AT .	minimum temperature approach (K)
	1ST + 1 in the first HEN		ΔI_{min}	upper limit for temperature difference (K)
kk	inex for stage $1ST_2$ and temperature location		D D	density (kg/m^3)
	1, $ST_2 + 1$ in the second HEN		1	viscosity (Pas)
n	process sinks		μ	
S	process sinks		Variables	3
r	fresh source		А	area of heat transfer between streams (m ²)
S	shell side of heat exchanger		Ds	shell side diameter of heat exchanger
ST	total number of stages in the first HEN		f _{i.w}	segregated flowrate for process stream to the
ST_2	total number of stages in the second HEN		,	waste (kg/s)
Т	tube side of heat exchanger		fw	total flowrate for waste (kg/s)
ω	waste mixer		f _{i.i}	segregated flow rate for hot stream ij from
total	total			source i to sink j (kg/s)
		.	f _{i,n}	segregated flow rate for hot stream ij from
Series				source i to sink n (kg/s)
Hot	hot process streams (ij)	.	f _{i',j'}	segregated flow rate for cold stream from
cold	cold process streams $(I'j')$			source i to sink j (kg/s)
coldfresh	freshwater streams (m)	-	fr _{r,n}	segregated flow rate for fresh source to the sinks (kg/s)
Paramete	ers		fr _{total}	total fresh water (kg/s)
A _{exp}	area exponent		hT	film heat-transfer coefficient of tube-side
C _{HE}	fixed cost for heat exchangers (\$/a)			stream (W/m ² K)
C _{FW}	tresh water cost ($\frac{3}{a}$)		hs	film heat-transfer coefficient of shell-side
C _{cu}	per unit cost for cold utility, \$/(W a)			stream (w/m²k)
C _{Area}	area cost of neat exchangers		LMTD	Log Mean Temperature Difference
C_{hu}	heat conscitu (kI/kgK)		$q_{i,j,i^\prime,j^\prime,\mathrm{ST}}$	heat transferred between hot and cold streams
Cp C-	near capacity $(K)/KgK$			in each stage (W)
CPower d.	Inside diameter of tubes (m)		q _{i,j,r,n,ST}	heat transferred between hot and fresh streams
d.	outside diameter of tubes (m)			in each stage (W)
EMAT	Exchanger Minimum Approach Temperature		q _{n,s,kk}	heat transferred between sink n and sink s in
Ehm	correction factor to allow for the effect of the			stage kk in secon HEN (W)
- 111	number of tube rows crossed		q _{s,n,kk}	neat transferred between sink's and sink n in
Fhu	the window correction factor		0	stage kk III secoli HEN (W)
F _{hb}	the bypass correction factor		Чw	total inlat frachwater (W)
F _{hl}	the leakage correction factor		0	cold utility (W)
Fi	tube-side volumetric flowrate		Ycu A	bot utility (W)
Fo	shell-side volumetric flowrate		Anu S	total flowrate of each source or sink (kg/s)
F _{pb}	bypass correction factor for pressure drop to		J T	temperature (K)
	allow for flow between the tube bundle and the		- T2n hh	temperature of stream in secon heat exchanger
	shell wall		- —п,кк	(K)
F_{pL}	the leakage correction factor for pressure drop		TAC	total annual cost (\$/a)
	to allow for leakage through the tube-to baffle		T _{fresh} in	inlet freshwater temperature
	clearance and the baffle-to shell clearance		T _{mix n}	mixture temperature before sink n (K)
Н	operating hours per year		Tc _{i'.i'.k}	temperature of cold stream in stage k (K)
K_{pt}	constant value (Eq. (A.2))		Th _{i.i.k}	temperature of hot stream in stage k (K)
K _{ht}	constant value (Eq. (A.4))		Th _{i.w.k}	temperature of hot stream (process unit i to
K _{hs}	constant value (Eq. (A.6))			waste mixer) in stage k (K)
K _t	fluid thermal conductivity (W/mK)		Tout	outlet temperature of waste stream mixer (K)
L	tupe length (m)		Tout ₂	outlet temperature of waste stream after waste
PC	layout configuration factor (here used square			heat recovery (K)
D	tube layout, so $P_{\rm C} = 1$)		Tr _{r,n,k}	temperature of fresh stream in stage k (K)
P_{T}	tupe pitch (i.e. center-to-center distance		T _{outfresh}	total freshwater temperature after waste heat
מת	between aujacent tubesj			recovery (K)
PK Dr	Reputer number		T _{source,i}	temperature of process unit i (K)
E1			T _{sink,n}	temperature of process sink n (K)

Please cite this article in press as: Torkfar, F., Avami, A., A simultaneous methodology for the optimal design of integrated water and energy networks considering pressure drops in process industries. Process Safety and Environmental Protection (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.06.008

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4980782

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4980782

Daneshyari.com