Author's Accepted Manuscript

Membrane impedance porometry

S. Bannwarth, H. Breisig, V. Houben, C. Oberschelp, M. Wessling



 PII:
 S0376-7388(17)30009-1

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.08.019

 Reference:
 MEMSCI15485

To appear in: Journal of Membrane Science

Received date:7 January 2017Revised date:24 July 2017Accepted date:9 August 2017

Cite this article as: S. Bannwarth, H. Breisig, V. Houben, C. Oberschelp and M. Wessling, Membrane impedance porometry, *Journal of Membrane Science*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.08.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Membrane impedance porometry

S. Bannwarth^{a,b}, H. Breisig^{a,b}, V. Houben^b, C. Oberschelp^{a,c}, M. Wessling^{a,b,*}

^a Chemical Process Engineering, RWTH Aachen University, Turmstrasse 46, D-52064 Aachen, Germany

^bDWI-Leibniz-Institute for Interactive Materials, Forckenbeckstrasse 50, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

^c Institute of Environmental Engineering, ETH Zurich, John-von-Neumann-Weg 9, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract

In this work a new method named impedance porometry is described to determine the pore size distribution of microfiltration membranes without flow measurements. Instead, this method uses measurements of the electric (ion) current to quantify the number of pores of a certain size in which fluid displacement has taken place and ion conduction can take place. The impedance porometry method exhibits distinct advantages over classical flow porometry methods. First, the correlation used to determine pore size distributions from impedance measurements correlates to the transmembrane pressure by one power less (Δp^4) compared to the function using fluid flow (Δp^5) . Therefore, it is less affected by errors in measuring as well as regulating the transmembrane pressure. Secondly, the proportionality factor, K_1 , between pore radius and fluid displacement pressure is two powers smaller (K_1^3) compared to the one of conventional flow porometry methods (K_1^5) . And finally the impedance may be determined with 250 fold precision compared to flow rates. The mean pore radii determined with the new method are larger compared to the ones determined by conventional flow porometry.

By comparing hydraulic flow and electrical admittance measurements as well as comprehensively reviewing the literature of flow porometry and fluid displace-

Preprint submitted to Journal of Membrane Science

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 241 80 95488

Email address: manuscripts.cvt@avt.rwth-aachen.de (M. Wessling)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4988649

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4988649

Daneshyari.com