



Measurement of the maximum bubble size distribution in water subcooled flow boiling at low pressure



Kazuhiro Kaiho, Tomio Okawa*, Koji Enoki

Department of Mechanical Engineering and Intelligent Systems, The University of Electro-Communications, 1-5-1, Chofugaoka, Chofu-shi, Tokyo 182-8285, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 October 2016

Received in revised form 9 December 2016

Accepted 9 January 2017

Keywords:

Subcooled flow boiling

Bubble diameter

Bubble volume

Gamma distribution

Correlation

ABSTRACT

Bubbles of various sizes are produced at nucleation sites on a heated surface in subcooled flow boiling. However, although many correlations have been developed so far for the mean bubble size, systematic information is scarce for the bubble size distribution. In the present work, bubble nucleation process in water subcooled flow boiling at low pressure was observed in detail using an ITO-deposited transparent glass plate as the heated surface. It was found that bubbles of different sizes are produced at each nucleation site and moreover the mean bubble size differs considerably between different sites. It was hence considered that the reliability of subcooled flow boiling analysis codes can be improved if the effect of the bubble size distribution is included. In view of this, quantitative investigation was done for the bubble size distribution. It was shown that under the experimental conditions tested in this work, the distribution of the maximum size of individual bubbles produced at nucleation sites are fitted well with the gamma distribution. The dependences of the measured maximum bubble size distribution on important dimensionless numbers were explored to develop new correlations for the bubble size distribution.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Numerical analysis of subcooled flow boiling is of considerable importance in the field of nuclear engineering since the void fraction in the subcooled boiling region in the light water reactor core influences the core flow rate, the onset of two-phase flow instability, the fuel burnup, and the consequence of the reactivity-initiated accident (RIA) [1]. To develop a mechanistic model of the subcooled flow boiling, it is required to accurately evaluate the fundamental quantities such as the bubble size, the bubble release frequency, and the number of active nucleation sites on the heated surface. Thus, a number of correlations have been developed so far for these quantities [2–7].

Among these fundamental parameters, the bubble size is particularly important since it directly affects the transport phenomena between the gas and liquid phases. In consequence, many photographic studies have been conducted to measure the bubble size in subcooled flow boiling. Ünal performed the experiments under wide range of experimental conditions to investigate the bubble diameter and the bubble-growth characteristics [8]. He postulated that spherical or ellipsoidal bubbles grow on a thin and hot liquid layer formed on the heated surface. Considering the heat transfer

from the heated surface to the bubbles through this liquid layer, the following correlation was developed for the maximum bubble size d_1 in subcooled flow boiling.

$$d_1 = 2.42 \times 10^{-5} P^{0.709} \frac{a}{\sqrt{b\phi}} \quad (1)$$

where P is the pressure, and a , b and ϕ are calculated by

$$a = \frac{[q_w - h_1 \Delta T_{\text{sub}}]^{1/3} \lambda_1}{2C^{1/3} H_{\text{lg}} \sqrt{\pi \eta_1}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_w \rho_w c_w}{\lambda_1 \rho_1 c_{p1}}} \quad (2)$$

$$C = \frac{H_{\text{lg}} \mu_1 [c_{p1} / (0.013 H_{\text{lg}} Pr^{1.7})]^3}{\sqrt{\sigma / (g(\rho_1 - \rho_g))}} \quad (3)$$

$$b = \frac{\Delta T_{\text{sub}}}{2(1 - \rho_g / \rho_1)} \quad (4)$$

$$\phi = \begin{cases} (u_1 / 0.61)^{0.47} & \text{for } u_1 \geq 0.61 \text{ m/s} \\ 1.0 & \text{for } u_1 < 0.61 \text{ m/s} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Prodanovic et al. [9] performed the experiments using a vertical annular channel as the test section to develop the correlations for the maximum bubble diameter and the bubble ejection diameter. They suggested that the bubble size and the bubble growth time

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: okawa.tomio@uec.ac.jp (T. Okawa).

Nomenclature

A_{bp}	the bubble projected area (m^2)	Γ	gamma function
A_{win}	area of the measurement window (m^2)	γ	incomplete gamma function
Bo	boiling number	γ_b	vaporization rate ($kg \cdot s^{-1}$)
c_p	specific heat at constant pressure ($J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$)	ΔT_w	wall superheat (K)
D_h	hydraulic diameter (m)	ΔT_{sub}	liquid subcooling (K)
d	bubble size (m)	δ	superheated liquid layer thickness (m)
d_{30}	volume average diameter (m)	ε	emissivity
d_{ave}	arithmetic mean of d_{max} (m)	η	thermal diffusivity ($m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$)
$d_{ave,i}$	d_{ave} at i -th nucleation site (m)	Θ	dimensionless subcooling
d_{ejc}	bubble ejection diameter (m)	θ	contact angle ($^\circ$)
d_{lift}	bubble lift-off diameter (m)	λ	thermal conductivity ($W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$)
d_{max}	maximum bubble diameter (m)	μ	viscosity (Pa·s)
d_1	maximum bubble size in subcooled flow boiling (m)	ν	kinetic viscosity (m^2/s)
E_a	radiation emitted from the media a ($W \cdot m^{-2}$)	ρ	density ($kg \cdot m^{-3}$)
E_c	total energy measured by the IR camera ($W \cdot m^{-2}$)	ρ_{a-b}	reflectivity at the interface between a and b
ER	mean relative error (%)	σ	surface tension (N/m)
$F_{3.6-4.9\mu m}$	fraction of radiation energy within the wavelength interval 3.6–4.9 μm	σ_{SB}	Stefan-Boltzmann constant ($W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-4}$)
G	mass flux ($kg \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$)	σ_{all}	standard deviation of d_{max} (m)
G_s	dimensionless liquid velocity gradient	σ_i	standard deviation of d_{max} at i -th nucleation site (m)
g	gravitational acceleration ($kg/m \cdot s^2$)	σ_v	standard deviation of the bubble volume (m^3)
H_{lg}	latent heat of vaporization ($J \cdot kg^{-1}$)	$\bar{\sigma}_1^*$	average of σ_i scaled by $d_{ave,i}$
h	heat transfer coefficient ($W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1}$)	$\bar{\sigma}_2^*$	standard deviation of d_{max} scaled by d_{ave}
Ja	Jakob number	τ_{a-b}	transmissivity between a and b
Ja_e	effective Jakob number		
N_a	active nucleation site density (sites·cm ⁻²)		
N_{case}	the total number of experimental cases		
N_s	the number of nucleation sites		
n_b	the number of bubbles		
P	pressure (Pa)		
Pr	Prandtl number		
q_w	heat flux ($W \cdot m^{-2}$)		
Re	Reynolds number		
T	temperature (K)		
t_{meas}	measurement time (s)		
χ_{eq}	thermal-equilibrium quality		
Greek symbols			
α	shape parameter of gamma distribution		
β	scale parameter of gamma distribution (m)		
		Subscripts	
		app	apparent
		b	bubble
		c	convection
		g	gas phase
		gamma	gamma distribution
		ITO	Indium-Tin-Oxide film
		∞	background
		l	liquid phase
		NB	nucleate boiling
		sat	saturation
		sap	sapphire
		v	volume
		w	wall

are correlated well using the Jakob number Ja , the dimensionless liquid subcooling Θ , the boiling number Bo and the density ratio. The correlation for the bubble ejection diameter d_{ejc} is given by

$$d_{ejc}^+ = 440.98 Ja^{-0.708} \Theta^{-1.112} \left(\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)^{1.747} Bo^{0.124} \quad (6)$$

where the dimensionless bubble ejection diameter d_{ejc}^+ and the dimensionless liquid subcooling Θ are defined by

$$d_{ejc}^+ = \frac{d_{ejc} \sigma}{\rho_l \eta_l^2} \quad (7)$$

$$\Theta = \frac{\Delta T_w + \Delta T_{sub}}{\Delta T_w} \quad (8)$$

Situ et al. [10] also performed the experiments of subcooled flow boiling using a vertical annular channel. Considering various forces acting on the bubbles attached to the heated surface, the following correlation for the bubble lift-off diameter d_{lift} was developed.

$$d_{lift} = \frac{\sqrt{352/3}}{\pi} \frac{v_l}{u_r \sqrt{C_1}} b^2 Ja_e^2 Pr_1^{-1} \quad (9)$$

where Ja_e is the effective Jakob number, u_r is the relative velocity between the gas and liquid phases, and C_1 is calculated by

$$C_1 = 3.877 G_s^{1/2} (Re_b^{-2} + 0.014 G_s^2)^{1/4} \quad (10)$$

where G_s is the dimensionless liquid velocity gradient and Re_b is the bubble Reynolds number. Chu et al. [11] tested the predictive performances of the above-referenced bubble size models against various experimental datasets of the bubble lift-off diameter. In conclusion, it was reported that Ünal's correlation showed the best agreement with the data if some modification was done for the wall superheat correlation; the mean predictive error for all the experimental data tested was 31.4% although the correlation was originally developed for the maximum bubble diameter.

As discussed above, several correlations have been developed so far for the mean bubble size in subcooled flow boiling. It should however be noted that in subcooled flow boiling, numerous nucleation sites are activated and the bubbles of various sizes are produced [12]. In consequence, significant error may arise in predicting the void fraction if the distribution of the bubble size is neglected. For horizontal flow configuration, Klausner et al. [13] and Zeng et al. [14] measured the distribution of the bubble departure diameter. Klausner et al. [15] then proposed a

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4994453>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4994453>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)