International Journal of Thermal Sciences 118 (2017) 320-339

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Thermal Sciences

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijts



CrossMark

Many-objective optimization of cross-flow plate-fin heat exchanger

Bansi D. Raja^a, R.L. Jhala^b, Vivek Patel^{c,*}

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indus University, Gujarat, India

^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, Gujarat Technological University, Gujarat, India

^c Department of Mechanical Engineering, Pandit Deendayal Petroleum University, Gujarat, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 October 2016 Received in revised form 5 May 2017 Accepted 6 May 2017

Keywords: Plate-fin heat exchanger Many-objective optimization Effectiveness Total cost Total weight Number of entropy generation units

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a rigorous investigation of many-objective (four-objective) optimization of cross flow plate-fin heat exchanger and its comparison with multi-objective (two-objective) optimization. Maximization of effectiveness and minimization of total annual cost, total weight and number of entropy generation units are considered simultaneously as objective functions during many-objective optimization. Multi-objective heat transfer search (MOHTS) algorithm is introduced and applied to obtain a set of Pareto-optimal points of many-objective problem. Application example of plate-fin heat exchanger is presented to demonstrate the effectiveness and accuracy of proposed algorithm. Many objective optimization results form a set of solutions in four dimensional hyper objective space and for visualization it is represented by six Pareto fronts in two dimension objective space. These six Pareto fronts are compared with their corresponding two-objective Pareto fronts. Different decision making approaches such as LINMAP, TOPSIS and fuzzy are used to select the final optimal solution from Pareto optimal set of the many-objective optimization. Finally, to reveal the level of conflict between these objectives, distribution of each design variables in their allowable range is also shown in two dimensional objective spaces.

© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Heat exchangers are one of the important equipments which servers the purpose of energy conservation through energy recovery. Among several types of heat exchangers, most important one is compact heat exchanger. Plate-fin heat exchanger (PFHE) belongs to the category of compact heat exchanger due to its large heat transfer surface area per unit volume [1,2]. Due to their high thermal effectiveness, plate-fin heat exchangers are widely used in air separation plants, liquefaction plants, aerospace, petrochemical industries and cryogenics applications [3].

Design-optimization of PFHE requires an integrated understanding of thermodynamics, fluid dynamics and cost estimation. Generally, objectives involved in the design optimization of PFHE are thermodynamics (i.e. maximum effectiveness, minimum entropy generation rate, minimum pressure drop etc.) and economics (i.e. minimum cost, minimum weight etc.). The conventional design approach for PFHE is time-consuming, and may not lead to an

* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2017.05.005 1290-0729/© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. optimal solution. Hence, application of evolutionary and swarm intelligence based algorithms have gained much attention in design-optimization of PFHE.

Earlier, several investigators used various optimization techniques with different methodologies and objective functions to optimize PFHE. However, their investigation was focused on single objective optimization or multi-objective (i.e. two or three objective) optimization. Wen et al. [4] carried out a thermodynamic optimization of PFHE. The authors considered two conflicting objectives namely, Colburn factor and friction factor for optimization and used Genetic algorithm (GA) as an optimization tool. Du et al. [5] focused on a double flow plate-fin heat exchanger for improving its thermal and hydraulic behaviour using GA. Turgut [6] investigated Hybrid Chaotic Quantum behaved Particle Swarm Optimization (HCQPSO) algorithm for minimizing the heat transfer area and total pressure drop of PFHE. Sanaye and Hajabdollahi [7] performed a simultaneous optimization of total cost and effectiveness using a design which featured NSGA-II and PFHE. Rao and patel [8] performed a multi-objective optimization of PFHE with effectiveness and total cost of heat exchanger as objective functions. The authors used modified version of teaching learning based optimization (TLBO) algorithm as an optimization tool.



E-mail addresses: bansi14.raja@gmail.com (B.D. Raja), ramdevsinh.jhala@marwadieducation.edu.in (R.L. Jhala), viveksaparia@gmail.com (V. Patel).

Aheat transfer area (m ²)ReReynolds numberAheat transfer area (m ²)fsfin spacing (m)aannual co-efficient factortafin thickness (m)Afffree flow area (m ²)tadepreciation time C_h cost per unit area (S/m ²)Ttemperature (K) C_p specific heat (J/kg K)TOPSISTechnique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal C_{mv} initial cost (S)TACtotal annual cost C_{ope} operating cost (S)TACtotal annual cost d_h hydraulic diameter (m)Vvolume flow rate (m ³ /s) f Fanning friction factor W_t total weight (kg) G mass flux velocity (kg/m ² s)Sreek letters h height of fin (m) e effectiveness i_r rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (N.s/m ²) j Colburn factor ρ density (kg/m ³) k_{el} electricity price (S/MWh) η compressor efficiency l_f fin offset length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, t/l_r $LNMAP$ Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference η Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_n - t)/(H - t)$ n fin frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts n mass fluw red (kg/s) σ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_n - t)/(H - t)$ n fin frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts N_K number of fot side layerccold <th colspan="2">Nomenclature</th> <th>ΔP</th> <th>pressure drop (kPa)</th>	Nomenclature		ΔP	pressure drop (kPa)	
Aheat transfer area (m²)fsfin spacing (m)aannual co-efficient factortfin thickness (m)Argfree flow area (m²)tddepreciation timeCAcost per unit area (\$/m²)Ttemperature (K)Cp,specific heat (J/kg K)TOPSISTechnique for Order of Preference by Similarity to IdealCmvinitial cost (\$)TACtotal annual costCgppeoperating cost (\$)TACtotal annual costCheat capacity ratioUoverall heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K)dhhydraulic diameter (m)Vvolume flow rate (m³/s)fFanning friction factorWttotal weight (kg)Gmass flux velocity (kg/m² s)Greek lettersHheight off in (m)eeffectivenessir,rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (N.s/m²)jColburn factor ρ density (kg/m³)kelelectricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiencyIrin offset length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, $t/t_{fn} - t//(H - t)$ Mhadysis of Preference γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/t_{fn} - t//(H - t)$ Mnumber of tot side layerhhNhnumber of cold side layerccoldNknumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPPressure (kPa)tottotal			Re	Reynolds number	
aannual co-efficient factortfin thickness (m) A_{ff} free flow area (m²) t_d depreciation time G_A cost per unit area (\$/m²)Ttemperature (K) G_p specific heat (J/kg K)TOPSISTechnique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution G_{mv} initial cost (\$)TACtotal annual cost C_{ape} operating cost (\$)TACtotal annual cost G_me heat capacity ratioUoverall heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K) d_h hydraulic diameter (m)Vvolume flow rate (m³/s) f Fanning friction factor W_t total weight (kg) G mass flux velocity (kg/m² s) $Greek letters$ H height of fin (m) ε effectiveness i_r rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (Ns/m²) j Colburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) τ hour of operation L heat capacity or (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, t/h I/MMP Inear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of PreferenceSubscripts N_h number of hot side layer h hot N_c number of cold side layer c cold N_h number of cold side layer c cold N_TU number of entropy generation unit max maximum NTU number of entropy generation unit max maximum NTU number of hot	Α	heat transfer area (m ²)	fs	fin spacing (m)	
A_{ff} free flow area (m^2) t_d depreciation time C_A cost per unit area $(\$/m^2)$ Ttemperature (K) C_p specific heat $(J/kg K)$ TOPSISTechnique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution C_{nv} initial cost $(\$)$ TACtotal annual cost C_{ope} operating cost $(\$)$ TACtotal annual cost C heat capacity ratioUoverall heat transfer co-efficient $(W/m^2 K)$ d_h hydraulic diameter (m) Vvolume flow rate (m^3/s) f Fanning friction factorWttotal weight (kg) G mass flux velocity $(kg/m^2 s)$ K_t total weight (kg) h convective heat transfer co-efficient $(W/m^2 K)$ $Greek letters$ H height of fin (m) e effectiveness i_r rate of interest $(\%)$ μ viscosity $(N.s/m^2)$ j Colum factor ρ density (kg/m^3) k_{el} electricity price $(\$/Wh)$ η compressor efficiency I_f fin offset length (m) σ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(f_n - t)/(H - t)$ $LINMAP$ linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $t/(f_n - t)/(H - t)$ n mass flow rate (kg/s) n c cold n mass flow rate (kg/s) n n n n fine programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference n n N_h numbe	а	annual co-efficient factor	t	fin thickness (m)	
$ \begin{array}{cccc} C_A & \mbox{cost per unit area } (\$/m^2) & T & \mbox{temperature } (K) \\ C_p & \mbox{specific heat } (J/kg K) & TOPSJS & \mbox{Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution} \\ C_{oppe} & \mbox{operating cost } (\$) & TAC & \mbox{total annual cost} \\ C_{oppe} & \mbox{operating cost } (\$) & TAC & \mbox{total annual cost} \\ C_{oppe} & \mbox{operating fiction factor} & U & \mbox{overall heat transfer co-efficient } (W/m^2 K) \\ d_h & \mbox{hydraulic diameter } (m) & V & \mbox{volume flow rate } (m^3/s) \\ f & \mbox{fanning fiction factor} & W_t & \mbox{total weight } (kg) \\ G & \mbox{mass flux velocity } (kg/m^2 s) \\ h & \mbox{convective heat transfer co-efficient } (W/m^2 K) & e & \mbox{effectiveness} \\ i_r & \mbox{rate of interest } (\%) & \mu & \mbox{viscosity } (N.s/m^2) \\ j & \mbox{Colburn factor} & \rho & \mbox{density } (kg/m^3) \\ k_{el} & \mbox{electricity price } (\$/MWh) & \eta & \mbox{compressor efficiency} \\ l_f & \mbox{fin offset length } (m) & \tau & \mbox{hour of operation} \\ L & \mbox{heat exchanger length } (m) & \mbox{density intersionless parameter, } t / t_r \\ n & \mbox{analysis of Preference} & \gamma & \mbox{Dimensionless parameter, } t / (t_n - t) \\ M_h & \mbox{number of cold side layer} & \mbox{density} & \mbox{heat subscripts} \\ N_h & \mbox{number of cold side layer} & \mbox{density} & \mbox{maximum} \\ NTU & \mbox{number of fansfer units} & \mbox{min minimum} \\ P & \mbox{Pressure } (kP_a) & \mbox{total} \\ Pr & \mbox{Pranch In number} \\ \end{array}$	A_{ff}	free flow area (m ²)	t _d	depreciation time	
C_p specific heat (J/kg K)TOPSIS Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution C_{ope} operating cost (\$)TACtotal annual cost C_{ope} operating cost (\$)TACtotal annual cost C heat capacity ratioUoverall heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K) d_h hydraulic diameter (m)Vvolume flow rate (m³/s) f Fanning friction factor W_t total weight (kg) G mass flux velocity (kg/m² s) $Greek letters$ h convective heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K) $Greek letters$ H height of fin (m) e eeffectiveness i_r r r r t rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η r to ord peration L heat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_f - t)/((H - t))$ $\Lambda_{malysis}$ of Preference m mass flow rate (kg/s) M n n mber of hot side layer n N_c n Nmber of cold side layer h hot m M NTU Number of transfer units N_TU P Pressure (kPa) $malpha$ tot total P Pressure (kPa) $malpha$ tot total P Prandtl number $malpha$ total	C_A	cost per unit area (\$/m ²)	Т	temperature (K)	
	C_p	specific heat (J/kg K)	TOPSIS	Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal	
C_{ope} operating cost (\$)TAC total annual cost C heat capacity ratio U overall heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K) d_h hydraulic diameter (m) V volume flow rate (m³/s) f Fanning friction factor W_t total weight (kg) G mass flux velocity (kg/m² s) K_t e h convective heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K) $Greek letters$ H height of fin (m) e eeffectiveness i_r r r ffin offset core (%/WWh) μ viscosity (Ns/m²) j Colburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (%/WWh) η compressor efficiency l_f fin offset length (m) τ hour of operation L LINMAP Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_f - t)/((H - t))$ n m mss flow rate (kg/s) n n fin frequency (no. of fins/m) $Subscripts$ N_h n Nc n Nc n Nc n Nc n max maximum min minimum NTU P Pressure (kPa) max tot total P Prandtl number tot total	C _{inv}	initial cost (\$)		Solution	
C' heat capacity ratio U overall heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K) d_h hydraulic diameter (m) V volume flow rate (m³/s) f Fanning friction factor V_t total weight (kg) G mass flux velocity (kg/m² s) K_t total weight (kg) h convective heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K) <i>Greek letters</i> H height of fin (m) ε effectiveness i_r rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (Ns/m²) j Colburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiency I_r fin offset length (m) τ hour of operation L heat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, t/l_f $LINMAP$ Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(1/n-t)$ m mass flow rate (kg/s) γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(1/n-t)$ N_h number of hot side layer h hot N_c number of cold side layer c cold N_b number of entropy generation unitmaxmaximum NTU number of entropy generation unitmin P Pressure (kPa)tottotal P Prandtl numbertot	C_{ope}	operating cost (\$)	TAC	total annual cost	
d_h hydraulic diameter (m) V volume flow rate (m^3/s) f Fanning friction factor W_t total weight (kg) G mass flux velocity (kg/m² s) K_t total weight (kg) h convective heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K) $Greek$ letters H height of fin (m) ε effectiveness i_r rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (N.s/m²) j Colburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiency l_f fin offset length (m) τ hour of operation L heat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, $t/l_r - t$ MAP Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional α Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_n - t)/(H - t)$ m mass flow rate (kg/s) γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_n - t)$ n in frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts N_h number of hot side layer h hot N_c number of entropy generation unit max NTU number of entropy generation unit max P Pressure (kPa)tottotal P Prandtl number P	C^*	heat capacity ratio	U	overall heat transfer co-efficient (W/m ² K)	
fFanning friction factor W_t total weight (kg)Gmass flux velocity (kg/m² s)Greek lettershconvective heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K)Greek lettersHheight of fin (m) ε effectiveness i_r rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (N.s/m²)jColburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiency I_f fin offset length (m) τ hour of operationLheat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, $t/l_f - t)/(H - t)$ UNMAPLinear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_n - t)$ mmass flow rate (kg/s) $subscripts$ nfin frequency (no. of fins/m) $subscripts$ N_hnumber of hot side layer c cold N_S number of entropy generation unit max NTUnumber of transfer units min PPressure (kPa)totPPrandtl number	d_h	hydraulic diameter (m)	V	volume flow rate (m ³ /s)	
Gmass flux velocity (kg/m² s)hconvective heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K)Greek lettersHheight of fin (m) ε effectivenessir,rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (N.s/m²)jColburn factor ρ density (kg/m³)kelelectricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiencylffin offset length (m) τ hour of operationLheat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, $t/l_f - t)/(H - t)$ MMAPLinear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(1/n - t)$ mmass flow rate (kg/s)subscriptsnfin frequency (no. of fins/m)SubscriptsNhnumber of cold side layercNcnumber of entropy generation unitmaxNTUnumber of transfer unitsminPPressure (kPa)totPPrandtl number	f	Fanning friction factor	W_t	total weight (kg)	
hconvective heat transfer co-efficient (W/m² K)Greek lettersHheight of fin (m) ε effectiveness i_r rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (N.s/m²)jColburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiency l_f fin offset length (m) τ hour of operationLheat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, t/l_f LINMAPLinear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $t/(1/n-t)/(H-t)$ mmass flow rate (kg/s)mfin frequency (no. of fins/m)SubscriptsN_hnumber of hot side layerhhotN_cnumber of entropy generation unitmaxmaximumNTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numbertottotal	G	mass flux velocity (kg/m ² s)			
Hheight of fin (m) ε effectiveness i_r rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (N.s/m²)jColburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiency l_f fin offset length (m) τ hour of operationLheat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, t/l_f LINMAPLinear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_f - t)/(H - t)$ mmass flow rate (kg/s) γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(l_f - t)$ nfin frequency (no. of fins/m)SubscriptsN_hnumber of hot side layerhhotN_Snumber of entropy generation unitmaxmaximumNTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numbertottotal	h	convective heat transfer co-efficient (W/m ² K)	Greek le	Greek letters	
i_r rate of interest (%) μ viscosity (N.s/m²)jColburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiency l_f fin offset length (m) τ hour of operationLheat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, $t/l_f - t)/(H - t)$ <i>LINMAP</i> Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $t/l_f - t)/(H - t)$ mmass flow rate (kg/s) γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(1/n - t)$ nfin frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts N_h number of hot side layer h hot N_c number of entropy generation unitmaxmaximumNTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numbertottotal	Н	height of fin (m)	ε	effectiveness	
jColburn factor ρ density (kg/m³) k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiency l_f fin offset length (m) τ hour of operationLheat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, $t/ _f - t)/(H - t)$ <i>LINMAP</i> Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $t/ _n - t)/(H - t)$ mmass flow rate (kg/s) γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(1/n - t)$ nfin frequency (no. of fins/m)SubscriptsN_hnumber of hot side layerhhotN_cnumber of cold side layer c coldNTUnumber of entropy generation unitmaxmaximumNTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numberfor total	i _r	rate of interest (%)	μ	viscosity (N.s/m ²)	
k_{el} electricity price (\$/MWh) η compressor efficiency l_f fin offset length (m) τ hour of operation L heat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, t/l_f $LINMAP$ Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $\binom{1}{n} - t}{(H - t)}$ m mass flow rate (kg/s) γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/\binom{1}{n} - t}$ n fin frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts N_h number of hot side layer h hot N_c number of cold side layer c cold N_S number of entropy generation unitmaxmaximum NTU number of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numberFF	j	Colburn factor	ho	density (kg/m ³)	
$ \begin{array}{cccc} l_f & \text{fin offset length (m)} & \tau & \text{hour of operation} \\ L & \text{heat exchanger length (m)} & \delta & \text{Dimensionless parameter, } t/_{l_f} - t)/_{(H-t)} \\ LINMAP & \text{Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional} & \alpha & \text{Dimensionless parameter, } t/_{n-t}/_{(H-t)} \\ \pi & \text{mass flow rate (kg/s)} & \tau & \text{Dimensionless parameter, } t/_{(n-t)} \\ m & \text{fin frequency (no. of fins/m)} & Subscripts \\ N_h & \text{number of hot side layer} & h & \text{hot} \\ N_c & \text{number of cold side layer} & c & \text{cold} \\ N_S & \text{number of entropy generation unit} & max & \text{maximum} \\ NTU & \text{number of transfer units} & min & \text{minimum} \\ P & \text{Pressure (kPa)} & tot & \text{total} \\ \end{array} $	k_{el}	electricity price (\$/MWh)	η	compressor efficiency	
Lheat exchanger length (m) δ Dimensionless parameter, $t/l_f - t)/(H - t)$ LINMAPLinear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $\binom{1/f}{n} - t}/(H - t)$ mmass flow rate (kg/s) γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/\binom{1/f}{n} - t}$ nfin frequency (no. of fins/m)SubscriptsN_hnumber of hot side layerhhotN_cnumber of cold side layerccoldNSnumber of entropy generation unitmaxmaximumNTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numberFF	l_f	fin offset length (m)	au	hour of operation	
LINMAPLinear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference α Dimensionless parameter, $\binom{n}{n} - t}{(H - t)}$ m mass flow rate (kg/s) γ Dimensionless parameter, $t / \binom{n}{l} - t}{(H - t)}$ n fin frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts N_h number of hot side layer h hot N_c number of cold side layer c cold N_S number of entropy generation unit max maximum NTU number of transfer units min minimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl number F F	L	heat exchanger length (m)	δ	Dimensionless parameter, t/l_{f}	
Analysis of Preference γ Dimensionless parameter, $t/(\frac{1}{n} - t)$ mmass flow rate (kg/s)nnfin frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts N_h number of hot side layerh N_c number of cold side layerc N_s number of entropy generation unitmaxNTUnumber of transfer unitsminPPressure (kPa)totPrPrandtl number	LINMA	P Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional	α	Dimensionless parameter, $\binom{n}{n} - t / \binom{H - t}{H - t}$	
m mass flow rate (kg/s) $/$ ($/n$ n fin frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts N_h number of hot side layer h hot N_c number of cold side layer c cold N_s number of entropy generation unit max maximum NTU number of transfer units min minimumPPressure (kPa) tot totalPrPrandtl number tot $total$		Analysis of Preference	γ	Dimensionless parameter, $t / \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = t$	
nfin frequency (no. of fins/m)Subscripts N_h number of hot side layerhhot N_c number of cold side layerccold N_s number of entropy generation unitmaxmaximum NTU number of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numberFF	т	mass flow rate (kg/s)		/ (/n -)	
Nhnumber of hot side layerhhotNcnumber of cold side layerccoldNsnumber of entropy generation unitmaxmaximumNTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numberFF	п	fin frequency (no. of fins/m)	Subscrip	Subscripts	
Ncnumber of cold side layerccoldNsnumber of entropy generation unitmaxmaximumNTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numberFF	N_h	number of hot side layer	h	hot	
Nsnumber of entropy generation unitmaxmaximumNTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numberFrFrandtl number	N _c	number of cold side layer	С	cold	
NTUnumber of transfer unitsminminimumPPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl numbertottotal	N _S	number of entropy generation unit	тах	maximum	
PPressure (kPa)tottotalPrPrandtl number	NTU	number of transfer units	min	minimum	
Pr Prandtl number	Р	Pressure (kPa)	tot	total	
	Pr	Prandtl number			

Wang and Li [9] introduced and applied an improved multiobjective cuckoo search (IMOCS) algorithm for optimization of PFHE. The authors considered conflicting thermo-economic objectives for optimization. Hajabdollahi [10] investigated the effect of non-similar fins in thermo-economic optimization of plate fin heat exchanger. They considered total annual cost and effectiveness of heat exchanger as objective functions and utilized NSGA-II for optimization. Hadidi [11] employed biogeography-based optimization (BBO) algorithm for optimization of heat transfer area and total pressure drop of the PFHE. Patel and Savsani [12] obtained a Pareto front between conflicting thermodynamic and economic objectives of PFHE by implementing multi-objective improved TLBO (MO-ITLBO) algorithm.

Wang et al. [13] presented few layer pattern criterion models to determine optimal stacking pattern of multi-stream plate-fin heat exchanger (MPFHE). Authors have developed these models by employing genetic algorithm and observed that the performance of MPFHE in relation to heat transfer and fluid flow was effectively improved by the optimization design of layer pattern. Zaho and Li [14] developed an effective layer pattern optimization model for multi-stream plate-fin heat exchanger using genetic algorithm. Zhou et al. [15] presented an optimization model for PFHE based on entropy generation minimization method. They considered specific entropy generation rate as an objective function and total heat transfer area of PFHE as a constraint. Yousefi et al. [16] presented a learning automata based particle swarm optimization employed to multi-stage thermo-economical optimization of compact heat exchangers. Several other investigators performed single objective [17-26] or multi-objective (two or three objective) [27-34] optimization of PFHE for thermodynamic [17-20,24-26,28,29],

economic [21–23] or thermo-economic [27,30–34] objectives with different optimization strategies.

Thus, it can be observed from literature that researchers have carried out economical optimization, thermodynamic optimization or thermo-economic optimization of PFHE for single or multiobjective (two or three objective) consideration. However, manyobjective optimization of PFHE is not yet observed in literature. Considering this fact, efforts have been put in the present work to perform a many-objective (i.e. four-objective) optimization of PFHE. Many-objective consideration results in more realistic design of PFHE and end user can select any optimal design from it depending on their requirements.

Further, as an optimization tool, heat transfer search (HTS) algorithm [35] is implemented in the present work. Heat transfer search is a recently developed meta-heuristic algorithm based on natural law of thermodynamics and heat transfer [35]. In this work, a multi-objective variant of heat transfer search (MOHTS) algorithm is presented to address many-objective optimization problem of PFHE. The proposed algorithm uses a grid-based approach in order to keep diversity in the external archive. Pareto dominance is incorporated into the MOHTS algorithm in order to allow this heuristic to handle problems with several objective functions. Qualities of the solution are computed based on the Pareto dominance notion in the proposed algorithm.

Main contributions of the present work are (i) Many-objective optimization of PFHE to maximize effectiveness and minimize total annual cost, total weight and number of entropy generation units simultaneously. (ii) To introduce multi-objective variant of the heat transfer search (MOHTS) algorithm and employed it to solve many-objective optimization problem of PFHE (iii) To Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4995281

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4995281

Daneshyari.com