

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Sustainable Production and Consumption

IChemE

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/spc

Q1 Short-term versus long-term benefits: Balanced sustainability framework and research propositions

Q2 Lin Wu^{a,*}, Nachiappan Subramanian^b, Muhammad D. Abdulrahman^c, Chang Liu^d,
Kulwant S. Pawar^e

^a Division of Business Management, Sino-British College, USST, China

^b School of Business Management and Economics, University of Sussex, United Kingdom

^c Nottingham University Business School China, The University of Nottingham Ningbo, China

^d School of Economics, The University of Nottingham Ningbo, China

^e Nottingham University Business School, The University of Nottingham, United Kingdom

A B S T R A C T

Sustainability research has been expanding rapidly during recent decades. Such research takes various forms with the identification of mixed results. Even though there are several review articles on sustainability with valuable insights, the time dimension aspect of sustainability is totally missing in addition to lack of well-developed framework. This paper systematically reviews previous sustainability studies published in the refereed scientific journals for the past two decades to understand the evolution of sustainability issues in the business context and solutions proposed until now. The study categorizes the popular sustainability practices into short- and long-term practices based on the time effect, and link them with different forms of organizational capabilities and sustainability performance. The paper further proposes a two-dimensional sustainability framework that incorporates practices, capabilities and performance, and the balancing issues between short- and long-term sustainability. At the end, it suggests potential research directions for future research as well.

Keywords: Sustainability; Time dimension; Capabilities; Balancing

© 2016 Institution of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Along with the rapid economic development, countries worldwide are experiencing severe environmental degradation and intense social problems. This has made it imperative that government and business take sustainability issues more seriously. During the past 20 years, the academic world has been witnessing a surge of publications on the topic of sustainability. Attempts have been made to clarify the drivers, activities and performance outcomes of sustainability. However, the empirical studies are scattered randomly in the over-

all picture of sustainability and there lacks a systematic way of understanding the issue. Thus, by systematically reviewing the current empirical studies on sustainability, this paper summarizes the popular sustainability theories and practices, identifies research gaps and provides possible directions for future research.

In the business context, sustainability is defined as “development that meets the needs of a firm’s direct and indirect stakeholders (such as shareholders, employees, clients, pressure groups. Communities, etc.), without compromising its ability to meet the needs of future stakeholders”

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: Lin.Wu@sbcs-usst.edu.cn (L. Wu), N.Subramanian@sussex.ac.uk (N. Subramanian), Muhhammad.Abdulrahman@nottingham.edu.cn (M.D. Abdulrahman), Chang.Liu@nottingham.edu.cn (C. Liu), Kul.Pawar@nottingham.ac.uk (K.S. Pawar).

Received 4 March 2016; Received in revised form 20 July 2016; Accepted 12 September 2016.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2016.09.003>

2352-5509/© 2016 Institution of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

(Dyllick and Hockerts, 2002). A sustainable company thus has the ability to create profit for its shareholders while protecting the environment and improving the lives of all the stakeholders (Savitz and Weber, 2006). Three pillars jointly support the house of sustainability, namely, environmental integrity, economic prosperity and social equity (Bansal, 2005). Sustainability can only be found at the intersection of the three principles (Bansal, 2005). It is the balanced development of these three dimensions that ensures the continuous development of the business and the society at large.

Based on the three-dimensional (economic, environmental and social) perspective, corporate sustainability is also termed as the Triple-bottom-line (3BL) (Elkington, 1998; Gimenez et al., 2012) or the Triple Ps of business (people, planet and profit) (Bos-Brouwers, 2010). A truly sustainable organization is expected to address the economic, environmental and social requirements simultaneously (Elkington, 1998), which is a highly complex conundrum full of tensions (Hahn et al., 2014). In addition to the three-dimensional perspective, Dyllick and Hockerts (2002) suggest that a two-dimensional perspective consisting of short-term survival and long-term sustainable development is also appropriately applicable to understanding the concept of sustainability. Lozano et al. (2015) even propose that sustainability should be a four-dimensional construct by including the time dimension. Hörisch et al. (2014) acknowledge the necessity for the co-existence of both a short-term and a long-term perspective in a sustainable enterprise. A truly sustainable organization is capable of “addressing short-term as well as long-term problems and to offer companies short-term as well as long-term potentials and opportunities” (Hörisch et al., 2014). Implementing both short-term and long-term sustainable practices allows firms to effectively increase short-term earnings, and at the same time, protect the environment and social integrity (Chang and Kuo, 2008).

This paper aims to systematically review the existing sustainability research published in academic journals during the past 20 years. Specifically, it summarizes the frequently used theories in the context of sustainability, identifies sustainability practices, and performance measures. In addition, it makes efforts to integrate the possible effect of organizational capabilities to the sustainability context. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the methodology employed for this review. Section 3 presents extant theories in sustainability studies with respect to external and internal drivers of firms’ adoption of sustainability practices from both static and dynamic ways. Section 4 reports the review of existing empirical studies on sustainability, and identifies popular sustainability practices and performance measures. Section 5, based on the results of Section 4, categorizes the sustainability practices and performance measures into short- and long-term based on time dimension, and integrates the concept of capabilities in this context. Section 6 raises the issue of the balance between short- and long-term sustainability. Section 7 gives potential directions for future research and at the end, Section 8 concludes the whole paper.

2. Research methodology

This review paper adapted the method developed by David and Han (2004) as follows: (1) The sample articles used are

limited to published scholarly journal articles written in English (excluding book chapters and unpublished works) to ensure quality (David and Han, 2004; Newbert, 2007); (2) Only major databases (Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar) used in previous literature review studies in similar fields (Engert et al., 2016) were used; (3) Empirical sustainability-related studies in the broad area of Management were searched using keywords such as “sustainability” “triple bottom line” “corporate social responsibility” “lean production” “environmental management”, “sustainability theories”, “resource-based view”, “institutional theory”, “dynamic capabilities”, “stakeholder theory” and “natural resource-based view” through these the databases; (4) The identified articles were then checked for relevance with the current topic. After excluding the irrelevant articles, we categorized the papers based on topics. As a result, 58 papers from 28 journals and containing at least the combination of two of the above keywords were identified using the above criteria. The journals are listed in Table 1.

Using time dimension, we categorized practices, capabilities and performance to achieve short- and long-term sustainability, as shown in Table 2. From a systematic review of the literature, we found that practices in the lean, green and social management systems are among the top sustainability practices.

In addition to these popular sustainability practices, capabilities also emerge as an important topic in sustainability literature. This review also looks at capabilities based on the time dimension, and they are also classified into short- and long-term capabilities.

Similarly, sustainability performance in this review is also divided into short- and long-term sustainability. The measures for sustainability performance are all from the traditional 3BL concept, but with an added dimension of time Table 2 summarizes the main topics covered in this review.

3. Sustainability theories

Existing studies on sustainability rely mainly on two streams of organizational theories. The first includes the Stakeholder Theory and the Institutional Theory, which explain firms’ adoption of sustainability from an external perspective. In other words, these theories shed light on the external drivers of firms’ sustainability strategies. The second stream of sustainability theories, including the Resource-based View (RBV), the Natural Resource-based View (NRBV), and the Dynamic Capabilities View (DCV), are mainly used to explain the internal motivation of firms’ movement towards sustainability. In the second stream, while the RBV and the NRBV are static in nature, the DCV uses a dynamic perspective to explain firms’ sustainability strategies. The following sections present a careful review of these popular sustainability theories and the extent to which they have been used in sustainability-related studies.

3.1. External drivers of sustainability

Stakeholder theory

Stakeholder theory and institutional theory are frequently employed in sustainability research to explain the external drivers of firms’ implementation of sustainability strategies from different perspectives. Stakeholder theory proposes that firms survive and make profits by satisfying different

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4999517>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4999517>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)