Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/automatica)

Automatica

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/automatica

Brief paper Distributed Nash equilibrium seeking for aggregative games with coupled constraints[✩](#page-0-0)

automatica

[Shu](#page--1-0) [Liang](#page--1-0) ^{[a](#page-0-1)}, [Peng](#page--1-1) [Yi](#page--1-1) ^{[b](#page-0-2)}, [Yiguang](#page--1-2) [Hong](#page--1-2) ^{[c](#page-0-3)}

a *School of Automation and Electrical Engineering, University of Science and Technology of Beijing, 100083 Beijing, China*

^b *Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, Canada*

^c *Key Laboratory of Systems and Control, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China*

a r t i c l e i n f o

Article history: Received 7 September 2016 Received in revised form 23 February 2017 Accepted 2 June 2017

Keywords: Distributed algorithms Aggregative games Generalized Nash equilibrium Projected dynamics Coupled constraints

A B S T R A C T

In this paper, we study a distributed continuous-time design for aggregative games with coupled constraints in order to seek the generalized Nash equilibrium by a group of agents via simple local information exchange. To solve the problem, we propose a distributed algorithm based on projected dynamics and non-smooth tracking dynamics, even for the case when the interaction topology of the multi-agent network is time-varying. Moreover, we prove the convergence of the non-smooth algorithm for the distributed game by taking advantage of its special structure and also combining the techniques of the variational inequality and Lyapunov function.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The seek of generalized Nash equilibria for non-cooperative games with coupled constraints has been widely investigated due to various applications in natural/social science and engineering (such as telecommunication power allocation and cloud computation [Ardagna,](#page--1-3) [Panicucci,](#page--1-3) [&](#page--1-3) [Passacantando,](#page--1-3) [2013;](#page--1-3) [Pang,](#page--1-4) [Scutari,](#page--1-4) [Facchinei,](#page--1-4) [&](#page--1-4) [Wang,](#page--1-4) [2008\)](#page--1-4). Significant theoretic and algorithmic achievement has been done, referring to [Pavel](#page--1-5) [\(2007\)](#page--1-5), [Altman](#page--1-6) [and](#page--1-6) [Solan](#page--1-6) [\(2009\)](#page--1-6), [Arslan,](#page--1-7) [Demirkol,](#page--1-7) [and](#page--1-7) [Yueksel](#page--1-7) [\(2015\)](#page--1-7) and [Facchinei](#page--1-8) [and](#page--1-8) [Kanzow](#page--1-8) [\(2010\)](#page--1-8).

Distributed equilibrium seeking algorithms guide a group of players or agents to cooperatively achieve the Nash equilibrium (NE), based on players' local information and information exchange between their neighbors in a network. The NE seeking may be viewed as an extension of distributed optimization problems, which have been widely studied recently (see [Kia,](#page--1-9) [Cortés,](#page--1-9) [&](#page--1-9) [Martínez,](#page--1-9) [2015;](#page--1-9) [Nedić](#page--1-10) [&](#page--1-10) [Ozdaglar,](#page--1-10) [2009;](#page--1-10) [Shi,](#page--1-11) [Johansson,](#page--1-11) [&](#page--1-11) [Hong,](#page--1-11) [2013\)](#page--1-11), and on the other hand, distributed optimization problems can be handled with a game-theoretic approach [\(Li](#page--1-12) [&](#page--1-12) [Marden,](#page--1-12)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2017.07.064> 0005-1098/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. [2013\)](#page--1-12). In fact, in the study of complicated behaviors of strategicinteracted players in large-scale networks, it is quite natural to investigate game theory in a distributed way. For example, distributed convergence to NE of zero-sum games over two subnetworks was obtained in [Lou,](#page--1-13) [Hong,](#page--1-13) [Xie,](#page--1-13) [Shi,](#page--1-13) [and](#page--1-13) [Johansson](#page--1-13) [\(2016\)](#page--1-13). Moreover, a distributed fictitious play algorithm was proposed in [Swenson,](#page--1-14) [Kar,](#page--1-14) [and](#page--1-14) [Xavier](#page--1-14) [\(2015\)](#page--1-14), while a gossip-based approach [w](#page--1-15)as employed for seeking an NE of noncooperative games in [Sale](#page--1-15)[hisadaghiani](#page--1-15) [and](#page--1-15) [Pavel](#page--1-15) [\(2016\)](#page--1-15).

Aggregative games have become an important type of game since the well-known Cournot model was proposed, and have recently been studied in the literature, referring to [\(Cornes](#page--1-16) [&](#page--1-16) [Hartley,](#page--1-16) [2012;](#page--1-16) [Jensen,](#page--1-17) [2010\)](#page--1-17), for its broad application in public environmental models [\(Cornes,](#page--1-18) [2016\)](#page--1-18), congestion control of communication networks [\(Barrera](#page--1-19) [&](#page--1-19) [Garcia,](#page--1-19) [2015\)](#page--1-19), and demand response management of power systems [\(Ye](#page--1-20) [&](#page--1-20) [Hu,](#page--1-20) [2017\)](#page--1-20). Usually, linear aggregation functions and quadratic cost functions in such games were considered, for example, in [Paccagnan,](#page--1-21) [Gentile,](#page--1-21) [Parise,](#page--1-21) [Kamgarpour,](#page--1-21) [and](#page--1-21) [Lygeros](#page--1-21) [\(2016\)](#page--1-21), [Parise,](#page--1-22) [Gentile,](#page--1-22) [Grammatico](#page--1-22) [and](#page--1-22) [Lygeros](#page--1-22) [\(2015\)](#page--1-22) and [Ye](#page--1-20) [and](#page--1-20) [Hu](#page--1-20) [\(2017\)](#page--1-20). Also, a recent result was given for distributed discrete-time algorithms to seek the NE of an aggregative game with time-varying topologies in [Koshal,](#page--1-23) [Nedić](#page--1-23) [and](#page--1-23) [Shanbhag](#page--1-23) [\(2016\)](#page--1-23).

The objective of this paper is to develop a novel distributed continuous-time algorithm for nonlinear aggregative games with linear coupled constraints and time-varying topologies. In recent years, continuous-time algorithms for distributed optimization become more and more popular [\(Kia](#page--1-9) [et](#page--1-9) [al.,](#page--1-9) [2015;](#page--1-9) [Shi](#page--1-11) [et](#page--1-11) [al.,](#page--1-11) [2013;](#page--1-11)

 \overrightarrow{x} This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFB0901902), National Natural Science Foundation of China (61333001, 61573344) and Beijing Natural Science Foundation (4152057). The material in this paper was not presented at any conference. This paper was recommended for publication in revised form by Associate Editor Wei Ren under the direction of Editor Christos G. Cassandras.

E-mail addresses: sliang@amss.ac.cn (S. Liang), peng.yi@utoronto.ca (P. Yi), yghong@iss.ac.cn (Y. Hong).

[Yi,](#page--1-24) [Hong,](#page--1-24) [&](#page--1-24) [Liu,](#page--1-24) [2016\)](#page--1-24), partially because they may be easily implemented in continuous-time or hybrid physical systems. However, ideas and approaches for continuous-time design may not be the same as those for the discrete-time one. Thanks to various welldeveloped continuous-time methods, distributed continuous-time algorithms or protocols keep being constructed, but the (convergence) conditions may be different from those in discrete-time cases.

In our problem setup, every player tries to optimize its local cost function by updating its local decision variable. The cost function depends on not only the local variable but also a nonlinear aggregation. Moreover, feasible decision variables of players are coupled by linear constraints. Existing distributed algorithms for aggregative games [\(Koshal](#page--1-23) [et](#page--1-23) [al.,](#page--1-23) [2016;](#page--1-23) [Ye&](#page--1-20) [Hu,](#page--1-20) [2017\)](#page--1-20) cannot solve our problems since they did not consider coupled constraints. The contribution of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- The aggregative game model in this paper generalizes the previous ones in [Paccagnan](#page--1-21) [et](#page--1-21) [al.](#page--1-21) [\(2016\)](#page--1-21) and [Ye](#page--1-20) [and](#page--1-20) [Hu](#page--1-20) [\(2017\)](#page--1-20) by allowing nonlinear aggregation term and nonquadratic cost functions, and also those in [Koshal](#page--1-23) [et](#page--1-23) [al.](#page--1-23) [\(2016\)](#page--1-23) by considering coupled constraints. In addition, the considered game can be non-potential.
- Inspired from distributed average tracking dynamics and projected primal–dual dynamics, we take advantage of continuous-time techniques to solve the distributed problem. With the new idea, our algorithm is described as a *non-smooth* multi-agent system with two interconnected dynamics: a projected gradient one for the equilibrium seeking, and a consensus one for the synchronization of the aggregation and the dual variables. In addition, our algorithm need not solve the best response subproblems, different from those in [Parise](#page--1-22) [et](#page--1-22) [al.](#page--1-22) [\(2015\)](#page--1-22), and can keep private some information about the cost functions, local decisions, and constraint coefficients.
- We provide a method to prove the correctness and convergence of the continuous-time algorithm by combining the techniques from variational inequality theory and Lyapunov stability theory.

Notations: Denote \mathbb{R}^n as the *n*-dimensional real vector space; denote $\mathbf{1}_n = (1, \ldots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathbf{0}_n = (0, \ldots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote $col(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1^T, \ldots, x_n^T)^T$ as the column vector stacked with column vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \|\cdot\|$ as the Euclidean norm, and $I_n \in$ R *n*×*n* as the identity matrix. Denote ∇*f* as the gradient vector of a function *f* and \mathcal{J} *F* as the Jacobian matrix of a map *F*. Let $C_1 \pm C_2 =$ ${z_1 \pm z_2 | z_1 \in C_1, z_2 \in C_2}$ be the Minkowski sum/minus of sets C_1 and C_2 , and rint(C) be the relative interior of a convex set *C* [\(Rockafellar](#page--1-25) [&](#page--1-25) [Wets,](#page--1-25) [1998,](#page--1-25) page 25 and page 64).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some preliminary knowledge related to convex analysis, variational inequality, and graph theory.

A set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is *convex* if $\lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2 \in C$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in C$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. For a closed convex set *C*, the *projection* map $P_C: \mathbb{R}^n \to C$ is defined as

$$
P_C(x) \triangleq \underset{y \in C}{\text{argmin}} \|x - y\|.
$$

The following two basic properties hold:

$$
(x - P_C(x))^T (P_C(x) - y) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C,
$$

$$
||P_C(x) - P_C(y)|| \le ||x - y||, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.
$$
 (1)

For $x \in C$, the *tangent* cone to C at *x* is

$$
\mathcal{T}_C(x) \triangleq \left\{ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{x_k - x}{t_k} \middle| x_k \in C, t_k > 0, \text{ and } x_k \to x, t_k \to 0 \right\}.
$$

and the *normal cone* to *C* at *x* is

 $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathsf{x}) \triangleq \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v^T(y - x) \leq 0, \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{C}\}.$

Lemma 1 (*[Rockafellar](#page--1-25) [&](#page--1-25) [Wets](#page--1-25) [1998,](#page--1-25) [Theorem](#page--1-25) [6.42](#page--1-25)*). Let C_1 and C_2 *be two closed convex subsets of* \mathbb{R}^n *. If* $0 \in \text{rint}(C_1 - C_2)$ *, then*

 $\mathcal{T}_{C_1 \cap C_2}(x) = \mathcal{T}_{C_1}(x) \cap \mathcal{T}_{C_2}(x), \forall x \in C_1 \cap C_2.$

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is *convex* if $f(\lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2) \le$ $\lambda f(z_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(z_2)$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in C$ and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$. A map $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be *monotone* (strictly *monotone*) on a set Ω if $(x - y)^T(F(x) - F(y))$ ≥ 0(> 0) for all *x*, *y* ∈ Ω and *x* ≠ *y*. A differentiable map *F* is monotone if and only if the Jacobian matrix $JF(x)$ (not necessarily symmetric) is positive semidefinite for each *x* [\(Rockafellar](#page--1-25) [&](#page--1-25) [Wets,](#page--1-25) [1998,](#page--1-25) Theorem 12.3).

Given a subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and a map $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$, the *variational inequality*, denoted by VI(Ω , *F*), is to find a vector $x \in \Omega$ such that

$$
(y-x)^T F(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega,
$$

and the set of solutions to this problem is denoted by $SOL(\Omega, F)$ [\(Facchinei](#page--1-26) [&](#page--1-26) [Pang,](#page--1-26) [2003\)](#page--1-26). When Ω is closed and convex, the solution of VI (Ω, F) can be equivalently reformulated via projection as follows:

$$
x \in SOL(\Omega, F) \Leftrightarrow x = P_{\Omega}(x - F(x)).
$$
\n(3)

Lemma 2 (*[Facchinei](#page--1-26) [&](#page--1-26) [Pang,](#page--1-26) [2003,](#page--1-26) Corollary 2.2.5, and Theorem 2.2.3*). *Consider VI*(Ω , *F*), where the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex and the $map F: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous. The following two statements hold:

- (1) *if* Ω *is compact, then* SOL(Ω , *F*) *is nonempty and compact*;
- (2) *if* Ω *is closed and* $F(x)$ *is strictly monotone, then* $VI(\Omega, F)$ *has at most one solution.*

The following lemma about a regularized gap function is important for our results.

Lemma 3 (*[Fukushima,](#page--1-27) [1992](#page--1-27)*). Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a differentiable *map and* $H(x) = P_{\Omega}(x - F(x))$ *. Define* $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ *as*

$$
g(x) = (x - H(x))^{T} F(x) - \frac{1}{2} ||x - H(x)||^{2}.
$$

Then $g(x) \geq 0$ *is differentiable and its gradient is*

$$
\nabla g(x) = F(x) + (\mathcal{J}F(x) - I_n)(x - H(x)).
$$

Furthermore, it is known that the information exchange among agents can be described by a graph. A graph with node set $\mathcal V$ and edge set $\mathcal E$ is written as $\mathcal G = (\mathcal V, \mathcal E)$ [\(Godsil](#page--1-28) [&](#page--1-28) [Royle,](#page--1-28) [2001\)](#page--1-28). If agent *i* ∈ \mathcal{V} can receive information from agent *j* ∈ \mathcal{V} , then $(j, i) \in \mathcal{E}$ and agent *j* belongs to agent *i*'s neighbor set $\mathcal{N}_i = \{j \mid (j, i) \in \mathcal{E}\}.$ G is said to be *undirected* if $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E} \Leftrightarrow (j, i) \in \mathcal{E}$, and G is said to be *connected* if any two nodes in V are connected by a path (a sequence of distinct nodes in which any consecutive pair of nodes share an edge).

3. Problem formulation

Consider an *N*-player aggregative game with coupled constraints as follows. For $i \in \mathcal{V} \triangleq \{1, \ldots, N\}$, the *i*th player aims to minimize its cost function $J_i(x_i, x_{-i})$: $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by choosing the local decision variable x_i from a local strategy set $\Omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, where $x_{-i} \triangleq$ $col(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_N)$, $\Omega \triangleq \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $n =$ $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} n_i$. The *strategy profile* of this game is $x \triangleq col(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \Omega$. The *aggregation* map $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, to specify the cost function as $J_i(x_i, x_{-i}) = \vartheta_i(x_i, \sigma(x))$ with a function $\vartheta_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i + m} \to \mathbb{R}$, is defined as

$$
\sigma(x) \triangleq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi_i(x_i), \qquad (4)
$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4999692>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4999692>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)