

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

IFAC-PapersOnLine $49-18$ (2016) $511-516$

Observabilty singularities and observer design: dual immersion approach design: dual immersion approach acsign. uuar minici sion approach Observabilty singularities and observer Observabilty singularities and observer design: dual immersion approach

K.A.A. Languen ∪. Datcu → P Darbot ← G. Zheng ∗∗ $\mathbf K$. Busawon † K.A.A. Langueh * O. Datcu ** J-P Barbot *** G. Zheng **** K.A.A. Langueh $*$ O. Datcu $*$ J-P Barbot $*$ $*$ G. Zheng $*$ $*$ ***

[∗] *EPI Non-A INRIA, Lille, Nord-Europe.* ∗∗ *Politehnica University of Bucharest, Faculty of Electronics, *** QUARTZ Laboratory, IPGP, ENSEA, 6 Avenue du Ponceau,*
95014 Cergy-Pontoise and EPI Non-A, INRIA *95014 Cergy-Pontoise and EPI Non-A, INRIA* ∗∗∗∗ *EPI Non-A INRIA, Lille, Nord-Europe.* ∗∗∗∗ *EPI Non-A INRIA, Lille, Nord-Europe. 95014 Cergy-Pontoise and EPI Non-A, INRIA* ∗∗∗∗ *EPI Non-A INRIA, Lille, Nord-Europe.* † *Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK.* † *Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK.* ∗∗∗∗ *EPI Non-A INRIA, Lille, Nord-Europe.* * EPI Non-A INRIA, Lille, Nord-Europe.
** Politehnica University of Bucharest, Faculty of Electronics,
Telecommunications, and Information Technology Telecommunications, and Information Technology
*** QUARTZ Laboratory, IPGP, ENSEA, 6 Avenue du Ponceau,
0501/ Cerau-Pontoise and EPI Non-4 INRIA *95014 Ceramications, Andreau,*
95014 Cergy-Pontoise and EPI Non-A, INRIA
**** *FDI Non-A, INDIA, IPGP, Fonceau,* † *Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK.* * EPI Non-A INRIA, Lille, Nord-Europe. $Telecommunications, and Information Technology$ *951 Pront-A INIGA, Bute, Non-Burope.* ∗∗∗∗ *EPI Non-A INRIA, Lille, Nord-Europe.*

† *Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK.*

property and depends on the input. Moreover, it is often required that the observer be of the same dimension as the original system. A direct consequence of this requirement is that it enlarges the set of observability singularities. If, on one hand, it is impossible to observe it estate variables that are structurally unlobservable, it is, nowever, possible to overtcome the observability singularities introduced by the constraints on the observer design. In this paper, we propose a novel dual immersion method which allows to reduce the set of observability singularities. In addition, a step by step design of a high order sliding mode observer based on the proposed dual immersion approach is presented. Finally, a thorough analysis and discussion on the simulation results with respect to a non-autonomous system is given. Abstract It is well-known that, for nonlinear systems, the observability is often only a local $\frac{1}{2}$ the state variables that are structurally unobservable, it is, however, possible to overcome the † *Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK.* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ immersion approach is presented. Finally, a thorough analysis and discussion and discuss

© 2016, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.), IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. © 2016. IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd

on the simulation results with respect to a non-autonomous system is given.

1. INTRODUCTION 1. INTRODUCTION 1. INTRODUCTION

It is a well-known that, unlike the linear case, the observability for nonlinear systems is, in general, not only a local $t_{\rm F}$ about of the systems is, in general, not only a local property Hermann and Krener [1977] but also depends on the input of the system Gauthier et al. [1992]. A direct implication of these is that, in the nonlinear case, one implication of these is that, in the nonlinear case, one
has to determine the so-called 'observability singularity set' if proper observability analysis has to be carried out. set in proper observability analysis has to be carried out.
Roughly speaking, the observability singularity set is the matrix is not of points in the state space whereby the observability set is the matrix is not of full rank. matrix is not of full rank. It is a well-known that, unlike the linear case, the observability for nonlinear systems is, in general, not only a local property Hermann and Krener [1977] but also depends on has to determine the so-called 'observability singularity Roughly speaking, the observability singularity set is the set of points in the state space whereby the observability matrix is not of full rank.

On the other hand, owing to the fact that it is easier on the other hand, owing to the hact that it is easier approach to designing nonlinear observers, adopted by approach to designing nonlinear observers, adopted by
several researchers Krener and Respondek [1985], Xia and Gao [1989], Rudolph and Zeitz [1904], Kazantzis and Gao [1969], Rudolph and Zeitz [1994], Razantzis
and Kravaris [1998], Guay [2002], Andrieu et al. [2013], Andrieu and Praly $[2014]$, consist in transforming the $\frac{1}{2}$ into the anti-truth $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ into $\frac{1}{2}$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ into $\frac{1}{2}$ into $\frac{1}{2}$ into $\frac{1}{2}$ original system mo On the other hand, owing to the fact that it is easier to design an observer for linear systems, the natural several researchers Krener and Respondek [1985], Xia and Kravaris [1990], Guay [2002], Andrieu et al. [2013], Andrieu and Praly [2014], consist in transforming the original system into

i) either a linear one plus a nonlinear part having some special structures protein but deputies i) either a linear one plus a nonlinear part having some special structures

ii) or a linear one plus a nonlinear part depending only on dy of a linear one pras a nonlinear part depending only on dynamics. U uymannes. ii) or a linear one plus a nonlinear part depending only on the input and the output so the observer has linear error dynamics.

Unfortunately, even if the observer design is done using these approaches, the problem introduced by the observability singularity set stays omnipresent and is not overcomed. In this paper, we propose a solution, based on the immersion technique, to tackle this problem under very weak conditions. weak conditions. Unfortunately, even if the observer design is done using these approaches, the problem introduced by the observability singularity set stays omnipresent and is not overcomed. In this paper, we propose a solution, based on the immersion technique, to tackle this problem under very weak conditions.

It is important to mention that, since the work of Rapaport and Maloum [2000, 2004], the immersion technique was and Maloum [2000, 2004], the immersion technique was
extensively used in the observer design context. However, extensively used in the observer design context. However,
it is generally used to recover the linearity property by It is generally used to recover the linearity property by
diffeomorphism and output injection Back and Soe [2004], Back et al. [2006], Ticlea [2006], Tami et al. [2013]. In the majority of the mentioned papers, immersion was realized
by adding a dynamic by means of output integration Back majorhy of the memboned papers, miniersion was realized
by adding a dynamic by means of output integration Back by didning a dynamic by means of odeparting integration back
and Soe [2004]. The stability of such extra dynamics can be and Soe [2004]. The stability of such extra dynamics can be
problematic and an elegant solution to deal with this issue problematic and an elegant solution to dear with this issue
is proposed in Tami et al. [2013]. However, the problem of observability singularity was not treated. In this work, a dual immersion technique using only extra differentiations Figure 1.1 This method is coming to the one proposed to the proposed to the proposed to the proposed in Andrieu and Proposed to by pass the observationly singularity issue.
This method is close to the one proposed in Andrieu This method is close to the one proposed in Andrieu
and Praly [2014], Bernard et al. [2015] in a completely and Fraily [2014], Bernard et al. [2015] in a completely
different context. Moreover, for stability reasons, we chose to employ exponentially stable dynamics instead of constant dynamics. It is shown that the proposed approach is realisable thanks to the finite time differentiator as, for example, the one proposed in Diop et al. [2000], Fliess α at $[2008]$ (but for these methods, the delay appears due to the data acquisition frame) or High Order Sliding Mode $(HOSM)$ Levant $[2005]$, Fridman et al. $[2011]$. (HOSM) Levant [2005], Fridman et al. [2011]. diffeomorphism and output injection Back and Soe $[2004]$, D_{sub} , $1 - 1$ Back et al. [2006], Ticlea [2006], Tami et al. [2013]. In the majority of the mentioned papers, immersion was realized by adding a dynamic by means of output integration Back is proposed in Tami et al. $[2013]$. However, the problem of observability singularity was not treated. In this work, a dual immersion technique using only extra differentiations is proposed to by pass the observability singularity issue. different context. Moreover, for stability reasons, we chose to employ exponentially stable dynamics instead of constant dynamics. It is shown that the proposed approach is realisable thanks to the finite time differentiator as, for example, the one proposed in Diop et al. [2000], Fliess et al. [2008] (but for these methods, the delay appears due to the data acquisition frame) or High Order Sliding Mode (HOSM) Levant [2005], Fridman et al. [2011].

The paper is organized as follows: in the next section some observability and observability singularity definitions are presented and the problem statement is explained. In are presented and the problem statement is explained. In
Section 3, the dual immersion method is presented. After section 5, and data ministerion method to presented: There that, some recalls on HOSM differentiator are given in Section 4. In Section 5, a simulation example is given in that, some recalls on HOSM differentiator are given in
Section 4. In Section 5, a simulation example is given in
order to highlight the fact that the proposed method can be extended to nonautonomous system. The paper ends with some conclusions and perspectives. with some conclusions and perspectives. The paper is organized as follows: in the next section some observability and observability singularity definitions are presented and the problem statement is explained. In Section 3, the dual immersion method is presented. After that, some recalls on HOSM differentiator are given in $\text{Section 4. In Section 5, a simulation example is given in}$ order to highlight the fact that the proposed method can be extended to nonautonomous system. The paper ends with some conclusions and perspectives.

2405-8963 © 2016, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. **Peer review under responsibility of International Federation of Automatic Control.** 10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.10.216 **Co** February Component Copyright Contract Contract

2. SOME RECALLS AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider the following autonomous system:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{x} &= f(x) \\
y &= h(x)\n\end{aligned} (1)
$$

where the state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the output $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and the vector fields f and h are assumed to be \check{C}^{∞} . It is also assumed that the outputs are independent for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Notation: For $1 \leq i \leq m$, we denote by ρ_i the observability index Krener and Respondek [1985] of the output function h_i at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

It is worth noting that, if the smallest order of output derivatives are considered, then the choice of m-tuples (ρ_1, \cdots, ρ_m) is not unique.

Now suppose that around a certain point x_0 the system (1) is observable, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i = n$, and rank $d\mathcal{O}_{x_0} = n$ where

$$
\mathcal{O}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ L_f^{\rho_1 - 1} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_m \\ \vdots \\ L_f^{\rho_m - 1} h_m \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}
$$

is the observability map and

$$
dO(n)_{|x_0} = \begin{pmatrix} dh_1 \\ \vdots \\ dL_f^{\rho_1 - 1} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ dh_m \\ \vdots \\ dL_f^{\rho_m - 1} h_m \end{pmatrix}_{|x_0}
$$
 (3)

is the observability matrix with $L_f^i h = \frac{\partial L_f^{i-1} h}{\partial x} f$ the usual Lie derivative and $dL_f^i h = \left(\frac{\partial L_f^i h}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial L_f^i h}{\partial x_2}, ..., \frac{\partial L_f^i h}{\partial x_n}\right)$ $\frac{\partial L_f^ih}{\partial x_n}\Big)$ its corresponding 1-form.

Since $d\mathcal{O}(n)|_{x_0}$ is only of full rank n around x_0 , this implies that there might exist some $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that rank $d\mathcal{O}(n)|_{\bar{x}} < n$. Due to this fact, we define the following observability singularity set:

$$
\mathcal{S}_n = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{rank} \{ d\mathcal{O}(n)_{|x} \} < n \} \tag{4}
$$

In this case, for the purpose of removing the singularities in $d\mathcal{O}(n)|_x$ defined in (3), it is necessary to increase the dimension of (3) by involving more derivatives of the output. The following example illustrates the procedure involved.

Example 1. Let us consider the following simple system:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 + x_2^2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -x_2^3 + 1 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= x_2 - x_2^3\n\end{aligned} \tag{5}
$$

with $y_1 = x_1$ and $y_2 = x_3$.

It can be seen that, if we choose the corresponding observability indices as $(\rho_1 = 2, \rho_2 = 1)$, then

$$
d\mathcal{O}(3)_{|x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + 2x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

and we obtain the following observability singularity set:

$$
S_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_2 = -0.5\}
$$

On the other hand, if the observability indices were chosen as $(\rho_1 = 1, \rho_2 = 2)$, then

$$
d\mathcal{O}(3)_{|x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3x_2^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

and the observability singularity set is now given by:

$$
S_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_2 = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{3}}\}
$$

It is clear that both observability matrices contain singularities, but they are not the same. In order to overcome those singularities, one can compute further derivatives of the output. Indeed, consider the observability indices $(\rho_1 = 3, \rho_2 = 1)$, then we obtain

$$
d\mathcal{O}(4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + 2x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -8x_2^3 - 3x_2^2 + 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

and $S_4 = \emptyset$, i.e. there is no longer any observability singularity. These highlight the fact that the choice of the observability indices is crucial in designing an observer for a nonlinear system. As a result, we obtain the following immersion from \mathbb{R}^3 to \mathbb{R}^4 .

$$
z = \phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 + x_2^2 \\ -2x_2^4 - x_2^3 + 2x_2 + 1 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}
$$

The previous example shows that, even if the observability matrix $d\mathcal{O}(n)$ contains singularities, i.e. its rank is not equal to n for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it is still possible to obtain a higher dimensional map, $\mathcal{O}(n+k)$, that will not contain any singularity. This map can then be regarded as an immersion. It may, therefore, be interesting to design an observer of dimension greater than n for the system; which is equivalent to using a state space representation or order greater than n. More precisely, this immersion is obtained by finding the smallest integer $k \in \mathcal{Z}^+$ such that

$$
rank{d\mathcal{O}(n+k)} = n, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$
 (6)

where

$$
\mathcal{O}(n+k) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ L_f^{\rho_1+k_1} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_m \\ \vdots \\ L_f^{\rho_m+k_m} h_m \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}
$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i = n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i = k$. Then, the immersion can be defined as $z = \mathcal{O}(n + k)$ yielding a higher dimensional transformed system

$$
\dot{z} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}(n+k)}{\partial x} f(x)
$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5002364>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5002364>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)