## ARTICLE IN PRESS

## ISA Transactions ■ (■■■) ■■■-■■■



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **ISA Transactions**



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/isatrans

# Predictive control strategies for wind turbine system based on permanent magnet synchronous generator

## Ikram Maaoui-Ben Hassine<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mohamed Wissem Naouar<sup>a</sup>, Najiba Mrabet-Bellaaj<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Université de Tunis El Manar, Ecole Nationale d'Ingénieurs de Tunis, LR11ES15 Laboratoire des Systèmes Electriques,1002 Tunis, Tunisie <sup>b</sup> Université de Tunis El Manar, Institut Supérieur d'Informatique, 1002, Tunis Tunisie

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 June 2015 Received in revised form 15 November 2015 Accepted 1 December 2015 This paper was recommended for publication by Jeff Pieper.

Keywords: Wind power generation PMSG Model Predictive Control Dead-beat Predictive Control

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, Model Predictive Control and Dead-beat predictive control strategies are proposed for the control of a PMSG based wind energy system. The proposed MPC considers the model of the converterbased system to forecast the possible future behavior of the controlled variables. It allows selecting the voltage vector to be applied that leads to a minimum error by minimizing a predefined cost function. The main features of the MPC are low current THD and robustness against parameters variations. The Dead-beat predictive control is based on the system model to compute the optimum voltage vector that ensures zero-steady state error. The optimum voltage vector is then applied through Space Vector Modulation (SVM) technique. The main advantages of the Dead-beat predictive control are low current THD and constant switching frequency. The proposed control techniques are presented and detailed for the control of back-to-back converter in a wind turbine system based on PMSG. Simulation results (under Matlab-Simulink software environment tool) and experimental results (under developed prototyping platform) are presented in order to show the performances of the considered control strategies.

© 2015 ISA. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Nowadays and for the near future, the electricity networks of the world are likely to change as a result of the penetration of distributed generation (DG) based on renewable energy sources into their structure [1]. This is mainly due to the increasing costs of electricity from non-renewable sources. In this trend towards the diversification of the energy market and the satisfaction of the global energy demands, wind energy systems are the most promising and growing renewable energy sources [2]. The commonly used wind energy systems are made with the use of Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) [3], squirrel cage induction generator [4] and Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator (PMSG) [5]. For the future, the use of PMSG appears to be the most promising and successful configuration of wind energy systems since it has many advantages such as high power density, high precision, variable speed operation, reduced maintenance, and increased reliability thanks to the absence of gearboxes.

The PMSG wind energy system is connected to the grid trough a bidirectional power flow back-to-back converter, which is

E-mail addresses: ikram\_m4@yahoo.fr,

ikram.maaoui@gmail.com (I. Maaoui-Ben Hassine).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2015.12.002

0019-0578/ $\odot$  2015 ISA. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

composed of two parts connected through a dc-link capacitor as depicted on Fig. 1. The first part is based on the Synchronous Generator Side Converter (SGSC), while the second one is based on the Grid Side Converter (GSC). Several control methods have been proposed in the literature for the control of the back-to-back converter used to connect the PMSG to the grid [6,7]. The commonly used control methods are based linear controllers (Field Oriented Control (FOC) [8] and Voltage Oriented Control (VOC) [9]) and hysteresis controllers (Direct Torque Control (DTC) [10] and Direct Power Control (DPC) [11,12]), Predictive control methods can also be considered as an appropriate solution to control the PMSG energy system and to meet required control performances. The main characteristic of predictive control methods is the use of system model for the prediction of the controlled variables [13]. A well known type of predictive controller is the Model Predictive Control (MPC) [14,15]. It uses the system model to predict the behavior of the controlled variables and a cost function is used as a criterion to select the voltage vector that minimizes the error between the controlled variable and its reference. The main advantages of the MPC algorithm are robustness against parameters variations and low current THD. Another type of predictive controller is the Dead-beat predictive controller [16,17]. It uses the system model to compute the optimum voltage vector, in order to set the controlled variable error to zero within one sampling period. Then, the obtained voltage vector is applied by means of SVM technique. The main features of the Dead-beat predictive algorithm

Please cite this article as: Maaoui-Ben Hassine I, et al. Predictive control strategies for wind turbine system based on permanent magnet synchronous generator. ISA Transactions (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2015.12.002

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Université de Tunis El Manar, École Nationale d'Ingénieurs de Tunis, LR11ES15 Laboratoire des Systèmes Électriques, 1002 Tunis, Tunisie.

## ARTICLE IN PRESS



Fig. 1. PMSG Wind turbine power system.

are constant switching frequency and low current THD. However, Dead-beat predictive control is sensitive to parameters variations.

This work is aimed to present an MPC-based algorithm and Dead-beat predictive-based algorithm used for the control of SGSC and the GSC of the PMSG wind energy system.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, the model of the wind power system based on PMSG is firstly presented. Then, MPC and Dead-beat predictive controls for SGSC and GSC are detailed. Finally, section III presents numerous simulation results in order to compare and discuss performances of the considered predictive algorithms.

#### 2. System modeling

## 2.1. PMSG model

The electric equations of the PMSG in the *dq* synchronous reference frame are expressed as follows.

$$V_{sd} = R_s i_{sd} + \frac{d\phi_{sd}}{dt} - \omega_{dq} \phi_{sq} \tag{1}$$

$$V_{sq} = R_s i_{sq} + \frac{d\phi_{sq}}{dt} + \omega_{dq} \phi_{sd} \tag{2}$$

$$\phi_{sd} = L_{sd}i_{sd} + \phi_{rd} \tag{3}$$

$$\phi_{sq} = L_{sq} i_{sq} \tag{4}$$

The mechanical equations of the PMSG are given by the following equations

$$T_e = \frac{3}{2}p(\phi_{sd}i_{sq} + \phi_{rd}i_{sq}) \tag{5}$$

$$J\frac{d\omega}{dt} = T_e - T_L - f\omega \tag{6}$$

where  $i_{sd}$  and  $i_{sq}$  are the dq components of the stator current vetcor,  $V_{sd}$  and  $V_{sq}$  are the dq components of the stator voltage vector,  $\Phi_{sd}$  and  $\Phi_{sq}$  the dq components of the stator flux linkage,  $\Phi_{rd}$  the permanent magnet flux linkage,  $\omega_{dq}$  the angular electrical rotor speed,  $\omega$  is the rotational speed, p the number of pole pairs,  $L_{sd}$  and  $L_{sq}$  the dq stator inductances,  $R_s$  is the stator resistance, f is the friction coefficient, J is inertia coefficient and  $T_e$  is the electromagnetic torque applied to the PMSG rotor.

## 2.2. GSC model

The mathematical model of the GSC connected to the grid through an L filter in the dq synchronous reference frame is characterized by the following relations.

$$\frac{di_{gd}}{dt} = \frac{1}{L_g} (V_{gd} - R_g i_{gd} + \omega_g L_g i_{gq} - V_{convd})$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

$$\frac{di_{gq}}{dt} = \frac{1}{L_g} (V_{gq} - R_g i_{gq} - \omega_g L_g i_{gd} - V_{convq})$$
(8)

$$P = V_{gd}i_{gd} + V_{gq}i_{gq} \tag{9}$$

$$Q = V_{gq}i_{gd} - V_{gd}i_{gq} \tag{10}$$

where  $i_{gd}$  and  $i_{gq}$  (respectively  $V_{gd}$  and  $V_{gq}$ ) are the *d* and *q* components of the grid current vector (respectively the grid voltage vector) and  $\omega_g$  is the angular frequency of the grid voltage.  $V_{convd}$  and  $V_{convq}$  are the *d* and *q* components of the converter output voltage vector.  $L_g$  and  $R_g$  are respectively the resistor and inductor of the used *L* filters.  $di_{gd}/dt$  et  $di_{gq}/dt$  are the the instantaneous grid current time derivatives.

## 3. SGSC predictive control

#### 3.1. MPC-based control

The MPC-based control for the SGSC is presented on Fig. 2. It is based on the computation of the required converter voltage vector, to be applied during the next sampling period in order to minimize the error between the stator current and its reference in the dq synchronous reference frame. The d axis stator current reference  $i_{sd}^*$  is set to zero in order to obtain the maximum torque at the minimum current, whereas the q axis stator current reference  $i_{sq}^*$  is computed via the external PI-based speed controller.

For the development of the digital predictive current controller algorithm, expressions (1) and (2) can be written as follows

$$\frac{di_{sd}}{dt} = \frac{1}{L_{sd}} \left( V_{sd} - R_s i_{sd} + \omega_{dq} L_{sq} i_{sq} \right) \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{di_{sq}}{dt} = \frac{1}{L_{sd}} \left( V_{sq} - R_s i_{sq} - \omega_{dq} L_{sq} i_{sd} + \omega_{dq} \phi_{rd} \right)$$
(12)

According to Eqs. (11) and (12), and using the forward Euler discretization method, the following digital prediction equations are obtained.

$$i_{sd}[k+1] = a_0(V_{sd}[k] - e_{sd}[k]) + a_1i_{sd}[k]$$
(13)

$$i_{sq}[k+1] = a_2(V_{sq}[k] - e_{sq}[k]) + a_3i_{sq}[k]$$
(14)

$$e_{sd}[k] = -L_{sq}\omega_{dq}[k]i_{sq}[k]$$
<sup>(15)</sup>

$$e_{sq}[k] = L_{sd}\omega_{dq}[k]i_{sd}[k] + \omega_{dq}[k]\phi_{rd}[k]$$
(16)

where  $a_0 = T_s/L_{sd}$ ,  $a_1 = (1-R_sT_s/L_{sd})$ ,  $a_2 = T_s/L_{sq}$ ,  $a_3 = (1-R_sT_s/L_{sq})$  and  $T_s$ is the sampling period.  $i_{sd}[k+1]$  and  $i_{sq}[k+1]$  (respectively  $i_{sd}[k]$ and  $i_{sq}[k]$ ) are the predicted d and q stator current components at the (k+1)th sampling period (respectively measured d and q stator current components during kth sampling period). The  $e_{sd}$  and  $e_{sq}$ refer respectively to the d and q induced EMF terms. During each sampling period, the evolution of the d and q stator current components depends on the applied stator voltage components

Please cite this article as: Maaoui-Ben Hassine I, et al. Predictive control strategies for wind turbine system based on permanent magnet synchronous generator. ISA Transactions (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2015.12.002

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5004020

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5004020

Daneshyari.com