



# Application of cascading thermoelectric generator and cooler for waste heat recovery from solid oxide fuel cells



Houcheng Zhang<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Wei Kong<sup>a,c</sup>, Feifei Dong<sup>a</sup>, Haoran Xu<sup>a</sup>, Bin Chen<sup>a</sup>, Meng Ni<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Microelectronic Science and Engineering, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China

<sup>c</sup> School of Energy and Power Engineering, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 19 February 2017

Received in revised form 3 June 2017

Accepted 30 June 2017

### Keywords:

Solid oxide fuel cell  
Thermoelectric generator  
Thermoelectric cooler  
Waste heat recovery  
Parametric study

## ABSTRACT

Besides electricity generation, solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) produce a significant amount of waste heat, which needs to be immediately removed to ensure the normal operation of SOFCs. If the waste heat is recovered through bottoming thermal devices, the global efficiency of SOFCs can be improved. In this study, a new hybrid system mainly consisting of a thermoelectric generator, a thermoelectric cooler and an SOFC is proposed to recover the waste heat from SOFC for performance enhancement. The thermodynamic and electrochemical irreversible losses in each component are fully considered. An analytical relationship between the SOFC operating current density and the thermoelectric devices dimensionless electric current is derived, from which the range of SOFC operating current density that permits the thermoelectric devices to effectively work is determined. The equivalent power output and efficiency for the hybrid system are specified under different operating current density regions. The feasibility and effectiveness are illustrated by comparing the proposed hybrid system with the stand-alone SOFC. It is found that the power density and efficiency of the proposed system allow 2.3% and 4.6% larger than that of the stand-alone SOFC, respectively. Finally, various parametric analyses are performed to discuss the effects of some design and operation parameters on the hybrid system performance.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The worldwide energy and environment crisis raise a strong demand for development of efficient and clean energy technologies [1]. Fuel cells are promising power sources as they can efficiently and environmental-friendly convert the fuel chemical energy into electricity without intermediary complicated energy conversion processes [2]. Among various fuel cells, SOFCs have attracted considerable interests due to their low emissions, fuel flexibility, inexpensive metal catalyst and high electrochemical reaction rate [3–5]. In literatures, a great number of studies have focused attention on aspects such as new electrode material fabrication [6,7], lowering operating temperature [8,9], durability improvement [10,11], new cell prototype development [12,13], and single cell theoretical modeling [14–16].

The high operating temperature of SOFCs also produces substantial amounts of high-grade heat that are capable of powering

a wide range of bottoming thermodynamic devices [17–21]. By developing cogeneration or trigeneration systems, the energy and exergy efficiencies of SOFC-based hybrid systems could reach 80% and 60%, respectively [22–24]. Extensive studies have been conducted on SOFC-based hybrid systems fueled with various kinds of fuels [25–27] and integrated with different bottom cycles [28–32] by means of various analysis approaches [33–35]. Liao et al. proposed thermophotovoltaic cells to efficiently exploit the waste heat from SOFCs and compared the proposed hybrid system with some other SOFC based hybrid systems [28]. Mehrpooya et al. [29] introduced a combined system containing SOFC-GT (SOFC-gas turbine) system, steam Rankine cycle and absorption refrigeration system. They used energy and exergy as well as economic factors to discriminate optimum operation points of the combined system. Ma et al. [30] carried out thermodynamic analyses of a trigeneration system by employing an ammonia-water mixture thermodynamic cycle to harvest the waste heat from a natural gas fueled SOFC-GT. They examined the dependence of system performance on several important thermodynamic parameters. Ebrahimi et al. [31] proposed a novel cycle combining SOFC, micro gas turbine (MGT), and organic Rankine cycle (ORC) for power production. They evaluated the

\* Corresponding authors at: Department of Microelectronic Science and Engineering, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China (H. Zhang).

E-mail addresses: [zhanghoucheng@nbu.edu.cn](mailto:zhanghoucheng@nbu.edu.cn) (H. Zhang), [bsmengni@polyu.edu.hk](mailto:bsmengni@polyu.edu.hk) (M. Ni).

**Nomenclature**

$A$	effective polar plate area of an SOFC ( $\text{m}^2$ )	$P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	partial pressures $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (atm)
$A_L$	heat-transfer area between the SOFC and the environment ( $\text{m}^2$ )	$P_{\text{ref}}$	reference pressure (atm)
$A_{\text{reg}}$	heat-transfer area of the regenerator ( $\text{m}^2$ )	$p$	operating pressure (atm)
$C_{\text{O}_2}$	$\text{O}_2$ molar concentration at the cathode surface ( $\text{mol m}^{-3}$ )	$Q_C$	heat-transfer rate from the cooled space to the environment ( $\text{J s}^{-1}$ )
$C_{T,c}$	total gas molar concentration in the cathode ( $\text{mol m}^{-3}$ )	$Q_H$	heat-transfer rate from the SOFC to the TEG ( $\text{J s}^{-1}$ )
$c_1, c_2$	composite parameters in Eq. (23) ( $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ )	$Q_R$	heat-loss rate of the regenerator ( $\text{J s}^{-1}$ )
$D_p$	pore size (m)	$Q_1$	heat-transfer rates between the TEG and the environment ( $\text{J s}^{-1}$ )
$D_s$	grain size (m)	$Q_2$	heat-transfer rates between the environment and the TEC ( $\text{J s}^{-1}$ )
$D_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{eff}}$	effective diffusion coefficient for $\text{O}_2$ ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ )	$R$	universal gas constant ( $\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ )
$E$	equilibrium potential of an SOFC (V)	$R_{te}$	internal electrical resistance of a thermoelectric element ( $\Omega$ )
$E_{\text{act}}$	activation energy level ( $\text{J mol}^{-1}$ )	$S$	cross-sectional areas of semiconductor arms ( $\text{m}^2$ )
$F$	Faraday's constant ( $\text{C mol}^{-1}$ )	$T$	operating temperature of SOFC (K)
$(-\Delta\dot{H})$	total energies supplied to the hybrid system per unit time ( $\text{J s}^{-1}$ )	$T_C$	temperatures of the cooled space (K)
$\Delta h$	molar enthalpy change of the electrochemical reactions ( $\text{J mol}^{-1}$ )	$T_0$	temperature of the environment (K)
$I$	operating electric current through SOFC (A)	$V$	output voltage of an SOFC (V)
$I_g$	electrical current flowing through TEG (A)	$V_{\text{act}}$	activation overpotential (V)
$i$	dimensionless electric current flowing through TEG	$V_{\text{con}}$	concentration overpotential (V)
$i_1$	lower bound dimensionless electric current of TEG	$V_{\text{ohm}}$	ohmic overpotential (V)
$i_2$	upper bound dimensionless electric current of TEG	$X$	ratio of the length of the grain contact neck to the grain size
$j$	operating current density of SOFC ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$x$	ratio of thermoelectric element numbers between the TEG and the TEC
$j_1$	lower bound operating current density of the SOFC ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$Z$	figure of merit of a thermoelectric element ( $\text{K}^{-1}$ )
$j_2$	allowable maximum current density of the SOFC ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )		
$j_p$	operating current density at $P_{\text{max}}^*$ ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	<i>Greek symbols</i>	
$j_s$	stagnation operating current density of the SOFC ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$\alpha$	seebeck coefficient
$j_{\text{fc},P}$	operating current density at $P_{\text{SOFC,max}}^*$ ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$\beta$	effectiveness of the regenerator
$j_{\text{H}_2}$	limiting current densities of $\text{H}_2$ mass transfers ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$\gamma$	exchange current density pre-exponential factor
$j_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	limiting current densities of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ mass transfers ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$\varepsilon$	electrode porosity
$j_{\text{td},P}$	operating current densities at $P_{\text{td,max}}^*$ ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$\eta$	efficiency
$j_0$	exchange current density ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$\eta_P$	hybrid system efficiency at $P_{\text{max}}^*$
$j_{\text{td},\eta}$	operating current densities at $\eta_{\text{td,max}}$ ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$\sigma$	electrical conductivity of the components of SOFC ( $\Omega^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$ )
$\Delta j$	effective operating current density interval ( $\text{A m}^{-2}$ )	$\phi$	cooling rate of the thermoelectric device ( $\text{J s}^{-1}$ )
$K$	thermal conductance of a thermoelectric element ( $\text{W K}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$ )	$\psi$	coefficient of performance of the thermoelectric device
$K_L$	heat leakage coefficient ( $\text{J m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	<i>Subscripts</i>	
$K_{re}$	heat-transfer coefficient ( $\text{J m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	a; c; e	anode; cathode; electrolyte
$L$	thickness for the components (i.e., anode, cathode or electrolyte) of SOFC (m)	max	maximum
$m$	number of pairs thermoelectric elements in the TEG	N	N-type semiconductor material
$n$	number of pairs thermoelectric elements in the TEC	ohm	ohmic
$P$	power output of the hybrid system (W)	opt	optimum
$P^*$	power density ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ )	P	P-type semiconductor material
$P_{\text{max}}^*$	maximum power density ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ )	SOFC	solid oxide fuel cell
$P_{\text{H}_2}$	partial pressures $\text{H}_2$ (atm)	TEG	thermoelectric generator
$P_{\text{O}_2}$	partial pressures $\text{O}_2$ (atm)	TEC	thermoelectric cooler
		td	thermoelectric device

cycle behavior and investigated the effects of ten design parameters on the overall cycle electrical efficiency. Evely et al. [32] integrated a hybrid SOFC-GT system and a reverse osmosis plant to enhance power generation and desalinate seawater. Compared with existing standard gas turbine cycle, the proposed system could improve the exergy efficiency by approximately 29% and simultaneously produce additional 494  $\text{m}^3/\text{h}$  fresh water. Rokni et al. [33] performed thermodynamic and thermoeconomic analyses of a biomass gasified SOFC/Stirling heat engine hybrid system. It was found that a thermal efficiency of 0.424 LHV and a net electric capacity of 120  $\text{kW}_e$  were obtained when the

feedstock was 89.4  $\text{kg}/\text{h}$ . Lee et al. [34] evaluated the environmental impacts associated with a SOFC-based combined heat and power (CHP) generation system. It was showed that in the total environmental impact of manufacturing, the SOFC stack accounted for 72% and the remaining balance-of-plant were responsible for the rest 28%. Aminyavari et al. [35] implemented exergetic, economic and environmental analyses on an internal-reforming SOFC-GT hybrid system integrated with a steam Rankine cycle. After multi-objective optimization procedures, the final optimum results demonstrated that the exergy efficiency and total cost rate were 65.11% and 0.1374  $\text{€}/\text{s}$ , respectively.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5012557>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5012557>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)