



A comprehensive, multi-objective optimization of solar-powered absorption chiller systems for air-conditioning applications



Ali Shirazi^{a,*}, Robert A. Taylor^a, Graham L. Morrison^a, Stephen D. White^b

^a School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, The University of New South Wales (UNSW), Kensington, New South Wales 2052, Australia

^b Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO) Energy Centre, Newcastle, New South Wales 2304, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 June 2016

Received in revised form 13 November 2016

Accepted 16 November 2016

Keywords:

Solar cooling

Absorption chiller

Multi-effect

Multi-objective optimization

Economic

Environmental

ABSTRACT

Solar heating and cooling (SHC) systems are currently under rapid development and deployment due to their potential to reduce the use of fossil fuel resources and to alleviate greenhouse gas emissions in the building sector – a sector which is responsible for ~40% of the world energy use. Absorption chiller technology (traditionally powered by natural gas in large buildings), can easily be retrofitted to run on solar energy. However, numerous non-intuitive design choices must be analyzed to achieve the best techno-economic performance of these systems. To date, there has been little research into the optimal configurations among the long list of potential solar-driven absorption chiller systems. To address this lack of knowledge, this paper presents a systematic simulation-based, multi-objective optimization of three common, commercially available lithium bromide-water absorption chillers – single-effect, double-effect and triple-effect – powered by evacuated tube collectors (ETCs), evacuated flat plate collectors (EFPCs), and concentrating parabolic trough collectors (PTCs), respectively. To the best of authors' knowledge, this is the first study of its kind that compares the optimized designs of the most promising configurations of solar-assisted absorption chillers against a common set of energy, economic, and environmental metrics from a holistic perspective. A simulation model of these three configurations is developed using TRNSYS 17. A combined energy, economic, and environmental analysis of the modeled systems is conducted to calculate the primary energy use as well as the leveled total annual cost of each plant, which are considered as two conflicting objective functions. By coupling TRNSYS and MATLAB, a multi-objective optimization model is formulated using a genetic algorithm to simultaneously minimize these objectives, thereby determining a set of optimal Pareto solutions corresponding to each SHC configuration. The performance of the proposed systems at their optimal designs is then compared to that of a reference conventional system. A sensitivity analysis is also performed to assess the influence of fuel cost, capital cost of innovative components, and the annual interest rate on the Pareto front of optimal solutions. Overall, the optimization results reveal that of the proposed configurations, the SHC double-effect chiller has the best trade-off between the energetic, economic and environmental performance of the system, having a total cost of ~0.7–0.9 M\$ per year and reducing the annual primary energy use and CO₂ emissions by 44.5–53.8% and 49.1–58.2% respectively (relative to the reference conventional system). With the high capital cost associated with these systems, government subsidies and incentives are still required in order for them to achieve satisfactory payback times and become cost-competitive with conventional HVAC systems.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

The primary energy consumed in buildings is dominated by space cooling, heating and ventilation in many regions in the world

[1–3]. About 40% of greenhouse gas emissions in the building sector is due to the use of conventional air-conditioning systems, most of which are based on electrically-driven mechanical vapor compression chillers [4–7]. Conventional systems have dominated the market over the last few decades, due mainly to their relatively low capital cost. Nonetheless, with the looming trifecta of: (i) rising demand for indoor comfort, (ii) increasing concerns about climate change, and (iii) depletion of fossil fuel resources, finding an environmentally and energy efficient alternatives to conventional

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: a.shirazi@unsw.edu.au (A. Shirazi).

Nomenclature

a	characteristic coefficient (–)	SF	solar fraction
A_a	aperture area (m^2)	T	temperature ($^{\circ}C$)
AUD	Australian dollar	t	time (s)
c_1	first-order heat loss coefficient ($W m^{-2} K^{-1}$)	u	wind velocity (m/s)
c_2	second-order heat loss coefficient ($W m^{-2} K^{-2}$)	U_L	collector overall heat loss coefficient ($W m^{-2} K^{-1}$)
c_3	wind speed dependence of heat losses ($J m^{-3} K^{-1}$)	V	volume ($L m^{-2}, m^3$)
c_4	long-wave irradiance dependence of heat losses (–)	\dot{W}	power consumption (kW)
c_5	the collector effective thermal capacitance ($J m^{-2} K^{-1}$)	Z	purchased equipment cost (AUD)
c_6	wind dependence of the zero loss efficiency ($s m^{-1}$)	$\Delta\Delta T'$	characteristic temperature difference ($^{\circ}C$)
c_{CO_2}	CO_2 emissions penalty cost (AUD tonne CO_2-e^{-1})		
CDE	carbon dioxide emission (tonne)		
CDEC	carbon dioxide emission cost (AUD)	<i>Greek symbols</i>	
CDERC	carbon dioxide emission reduction cost (AUD)	η	thermal efficiency (–)
c_E	unit cost of electricity (AUD kWh^{-1})	θ	solar incidence angle on the collector ($^{\circ}$)
CES	cost of energy saving (AUD)	σ	Stefan–Boltzmann constant ($W m^{-2} K^{-4}$)
CI	capital investment cost (AUD)		
C_{INSTL}	installation, integration, and piping costs (AUD)	<i>Subscripts</i>	
c_{NG}	unit cost of natural gas (AUD GJ^{-1})	a	air, ambient
COP	coefficient of performance (–)	AC	absorber-condenser
c_p	specific heat at constant pressure ($kJ kg^{-1} K^{-1}$)	ACH	absorption chiller
CR	concentration ratio (–)	AH	auxiliary heater
CRF	capital recovery factor (–)	avg	average
C_{tot}	total cost (AUD $year^{-1}$)	b	beam
E	annual energy consumption (kWh)	C	cooling
e	characteristic coefficient (–)	CHW	chilled water
EF	emission factor ($kg CO_2 kWh^{-1}$)	CT	cooling tower
EFPC	evacuated flat plate collector	CTRL	controller
E_L	long-wave irradiance ($W m^{-2}$)	CW	cooling water
ETC	evacuated tube collector	d	diffuse
F'	collector efficiency factor (–)	DV	diverting valve
FC	fuel cost (AUD)	E	electricity, evaporator
$F(\tau\alpha)_n$	collector zero loss efficiency at normal incidence (–)	G	generator
GA	genetic algorithm	H	heating
G_b	solar beam irradiance ($W m^{-2}$)	HP	high pressure
G_t	global irradiance on the tilted collector ($W m^{-2}$)	HW	hot water
i	the average annual interest (discount) rate (%)	j	jth year of the system operation (year)
IRR	internal rate of return (%)	L	levelized, load
$K(\theta)$	incidence angle modifier (–)	l	linear
LINMAP	Linear Programming Technique for Multidimensional Analysis of Preference	LP	low pressure
m	characteristic coefficient ($kW ^{\circ}C^{-2}$)	MV	mixing valve
\dot{m}	mass flow rate ($kg s^{-1}$)	NG	natural gas
n	system economic lifetime (year)	P	pump
NCF	net cash flow (AUD)	PRV	pressure relief valve
NPV	net present value (AUD)	q	quadratic
NTU	number of transfer units	ref	reference conventional HVAC system
OMC	operating and maintenance cost (AUD)	SC	solar collector
OMRC	operating and maintenance reduction cost (AUD)	SCW	solar collector water
PBP	payback period (year)	SHC	solar heating and cooling
PEC	primary energy consumption (kWh, GWh)	SP	set-point
PEF	primary energy factor (–)	ST	storage tank
PES	primary energy saving (kWh, GWh)	u	useful
PTC	parabolic trough collector	VFD	variable frequency drive
\dot{Q}	heat transfer rate (kW)	w	water
r	characteristic coefficient (kW)	WCH	water-cooled mechanical chiller
R^2	coefficient of determination	1-e	single-effect
r_i	average inflation rate (%)	2-e	double-effect
r_n	nominal annual escalation rate (%)	3-e	triple-effect
r_r	real annual escalation rate (%)	0	the beginning of the first year of system operation
s	characteristic coefficient ($kW ^{\circ}C^{-1}$)		

HVAC systems has become a global priority [8]. Of the potential renewable energy solutions, solar energy represents an ideal candidate for air-conditioning applications given the high correlation

between buildings cooling demand and solar resources [9]. Solar-driven absorption chillers are a promising near-term alternative to conventional air-conditioning systems, since much of the tech-

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5013106>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5013106>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)