



Calibration methodology for energy management system of a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle



Benming Duan^a, Qingnian Wang^a, Xiaohua Zeng^{a,*}, Yinsheng Gong^b, Dafeng Song^a, Junnian Wang^a

^a State Key Laboratory of Automotive Simulation and Control, Jilin University, Changchun 130025, China

^b Electric Service Center, Qiming Information Technology Co., Ltd, Changchun 130122, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 October 2016

Received in revised form 23 December 2016

Accepted 24 December 2016

Keywords:

Calibration

Energy management system

Hybrid electric vehicle

Radar chart

Optimal Latin hypercube design

RBF neural network

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a new analytical calibration method for energy management strategy designed for a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle. This method improves the actual calibration efficiency to reach a compromise among the conflicting calibration requirements (e.g. emissions and economy). A comprehensive evaluating indicator covering emissions and economic performance is constructed by using a radar chart method. A radial basis functions (RBFs) neural network model is proposed to establish a precise model among control parameters and the comprehensive evaluation indicator. The optimal Latin hypercube design is introduced to obtain the experimental data to train the RBFs neural network model. And multi-island genetic algorithm is used to solve the optimization model. Finally, an offline calibration example is conducted. Results validate the effectiveness of the proposed calibration approach in improving vehicle performance and calibration efficiency.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The increasing new forms of energy demands and global environmental concerns are the main challenges in automotive industry [1,2]. Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs) integrate the advantages of both electric and conventional vehicles. These advantages include emission reduction, low fuel consumption and long endurance mileage [3,4]. Recent trends suggest that PHEVs are lead into the commercial domain by advanced technologies in electronics and energy storage [5–7].

The Energy Management System (EMS) technology has significantly improved the driving and economic performance of vehicles to meet the increasingly becoming stringent emission standards [8–10]. However, this improvement leads to an increased complexity in powertrain, and an effective procedure must be applied to develop EMS [11]. V-cycle development process is an efficient approach to develop EMS. This process involves several stages, including functional design, rapid control prototyping, production code generation, hardware-in-loop simulation, and calibration [12]. Calibration is a process where the optimal values of control parameters are chosen to achieve minimum fuel and electricity consumption, and exhaust emissions are depressed under satisfy-

ing vehicle dynamic performance. Calibration is a trial and error process in the classic sense, and it has characteristics of low efficiency and high costs. Although numerous mature calibration tools are commercially available and widely used by automobile manufacturers, nearly all calibration work is based on the try-error way. The calibration rules do not have theoretical foundation. Finding means to improve the calibration process is thus urgently needed.

In fact, some parameters in the EMS, such as thresholds and curves, can be obtained through theoretical analysis and optimization. Numerous studies have investigated the design and optimization EMS: in [13], a rule-based energy management for parallel hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) is presented, and this EMS is based on principles describing optimal control behavior. In [14], optimization of key component sizes and control strategy for PHEVs by using bees algorithm is presented. Few studies have investigated component calibration. A method for automated engine calibration by optimizing engine management settings and power-split control of an HEV is proposed in [11]. In [15], motor automatic calibration of resolver offset in an HEV is studied. Surprisingly, few theoretical studies on EMS calibration method for HEV have been conducted to improve the calibration efficiency.

This paper tries to explore a method to help the calibration become a time-saving and low-cost process. It is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the proposed calibration methodology which includes a comprehensive evaluating method for economy and emissions characteristics, a Radial Basis Function (RBFs) neural

* Corresponding author at: State Key Laboratory of Automotive Simulation and Control, No. 5988, Renmin Avenue, Changchun, Jilin 130025, China.

E-mail address: zeng.xiaohua@126.com (X. Zeng).

Nomenclature

EM	electric machine (–)	MSE	Mean Square Error of RBF neural network model (–)
ICE	gasoline-fueled internal combustion engine (–)	T_{req}	demand torque on the wheels (Nm)
BATT	battery pack (–)	T_m	output torque of EM (Nm)
ISG	integrated starter generator (–)	T_e	output torque of ICE (Nm)
CS	Charge Sustaining (–)	b_e	brake specific fuel consumption of ICE (g/kW h)
CD	Charge Depleting (–)	$P_{m,e}$	electric power of EM (kW)
fe	fuel consumption equivalence factor of electricity consumption (–)	SOC	state of charge of the BATT (–)
$fc.d$	direct fuel consumption (L/100 km)	v_{cdev_lim}	vehicle velocity threshold for entering EV mode in CD mode (km/h)
ec	direct electricity consumption (kW h/100 km)	p_{cdev_lim}	demand power threshold for entering EV mode in CD mode (kW)
$fc.e$	equivalent fuel consumption (L/100 km)	p_{cdhy_lim}	demand power threshold for entering Hybrid mode in CD mode (kW)
x_i^j	value of the i th response in the j th sample set (–)	v_{cde}	the lower limit vehicle velocity threshold for entering Engine mode in CD mode (km/h)
y_i^j	relative value of the i th response in the j th sample set (–)	SOC_{CS}	the SOC threshold for entering CS mode from CD mode (–)
$\max(x_i)$	maximum value of the i th response (–)	SOC_{ass_lim}	the SOC lower limit of available motor power in CS mode (–)
$\min(x_i)$	minimum value of the i th response (–)	SOC_{cha_lim}	the SOC upper limit of available battery charge in CS mode (–)
S_j	total sector area of the j th sample set (–)	v_{csev_lim}	vehicle velocity threshold for entering EV mode in CS mode (km/h)
L_j	total arc length of the j th sample set (–)	v_{cse}	vehicle velocity threshold for entering Engine mode in CS mode (km/h)
f_{1j}	relative area value of the j th sample set, which indicates the overall benefit (–)	η	system efficiency (–)
f_{2j}	relative arc length value of the j th sample set, which indicates the balance of indicators (–)		
f_j	comprehensive evaluation value of the j th sample set (–)		
\mathbf{p}	input vector of RBF neural network model (–)		
$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p})$	outputs of RBF neural network model (–)		
$h_j(\mathbf{p})$	output of j th neuron in the hidden layer of RBF neural network model (–)		

network and the optimal Latin hypercube design approach. Section 3 is an application example. In this section the modeling and operational modes of a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle is introduced, based on which the calibrating parameters are extracted. Section 4 implements the offline calibration. Section 5 presents the conclusions.

2. Calibration methodology

2.1. Method of radar chart comprehensive evaluation

The most attractive features of the HEV are its high fuel and electricity economy and low emissions. However, they are always conflicting with each other (i.e. it is always a trade-off within them during the calibration) [16].

2.1.1. Economy

According to the Revisions and Additions to Motor Vehicle Fuel Economy Label from Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) (2010), a gallon of gasoline is equivalent to 33.7 kW h of energy, and any value expressed in kilowatt-hours can be converted into an energy-equivalent value of gallons of gasoline. It is a simple and effective method to measure the fuel economy performance of hybrid vehicles. The basic idea of this method is that electricity consumption is converted into fuel consumption by using an equivalence factor [17–19]. The equivalence factor fe can be expressed as follows

$$fe = 3.785/33.7 = 0.1123 \quad (1)$$

And the total equivalent fuel consumption fc (L/100 km) can be calculated as

$$\begin{cases} fc = fc.d + fc.e \\ fc.e = fe * ec \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $fc.d$ is the direct fuel consumption, L/100 km; $fc.e$ is the equivalent fuel consumption, L/100 km; ec is the direct electricity consumption, kW h/100 km.

2.1.2. Emissions

Normally the main exhaust emissions of engine include Carbon Monoxide (CO), Hydrocarbons (HC) and Nitrous Oxides (NOx) [8].

2.1.3. Comprehensive performance

The above evaluation indicators show that calibration remains a multi-objective problem. Thus, a reasonable comprehensive evaluation indicator is urgently needed to simplify the optimization model. The improved radar chart evaluation method is proven to be an ideal way for calibration [20]. It is shown in Fig. 1.

The steps to transform multi-objective into a single objective problem using improved radar chart evaluating method are as follows:

(1) Normalization

Normalization is required to obtain the dimensionless value and unify the magnitude of the responses. It is shown in following equation

$$y_i^j = \begin{cases} \frac{x_i^j - \min(x_i)}{\max(x_i) - \min(x_i)}, & x_i \text{ is a positive indicator} \\ \frac{\max(x_i) - x_i^j}{\max(x_i) - \min(x_i)}, & x_i \text{ is a negative indicator} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where x_i^j is the value of the i th response in the j th sample set; y_i^j is the relative value; and $\max(x_i)$ and $\min(x_i)$ are the maximum and minimum values of the i th response, respectively.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5013158>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5013158>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)