ARTICLE IN PRESS

International Journal of Impact Engineering
(2016)



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Impact Engineering



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijimpeng

Deformation of thin plates subjected to impulsive load: Part III – an update 25 years on

S. Chung Kim Yuen, G.N. Nurick, G.S. Langdon *, Y. Iyer

Blast Impact and Survivability Research Unit (BISRU), Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Cape Town, Private Bag, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 February 2016 Received in revised form 15 June 2016 Accepted 22 June 2016 Available online

Keywords: Blast loading Plates Failure modes Deformation Non-dimensional analysis

ABSTRACT

In 1989, Nurick and Martin published two review papers on the deformation of thin steel plates subjected to impulsive air-blast loading. The state of the art has progressed significantly in the following 25 years, and this review paper restricts itself to experimental studies that investigate the response of monolithic metal plates subjected to air-blast loading generated by detonating plastic explosive. From the large number of experiments reported, it is shown that the failure progressions in circular and quadrangular plates are similar and can be adequately described by three "failure modes" – namely large plastic deformation (mode I), tensile tearing (mode II) and shearing (mode III) although the severity and location of these failures on the plates is primarily determined by spatial distribution of the blast loading across the plate surface, and that boundary conditions significantly influence the onset of shearing and tearing failures due to variation in the in-plane movement of the plate material. The non-dimensional analysis approaches used by Nurick and Martin have been expanded to include the effects of load localisation and stand-off distance, and show good correlation with the expanded sets of test data published since 1989. It is concluded that these approaches still hold merit as simple tools for evaluating the likely effect of a close proximity air blast load on a flat metal plate.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The study of structural response to impulsive blast loading has been performed for many years. In 1989, Nurick and Martin [1,2] reviewed data from previous experimental and theoretical investigations into the response of flat, monolithic metal plates subjected to blast loading. In addition, new experimental data were reported and non-dimensional analysis was utilised with the aim of developing a simple, empirical prediction of the displacement of a blast loaded plate with fully clamped boundary condition [2]. Since 1989, there have been many experimental, analytical and numerical modelling investigations into the response of structures to blast loading. These have expanded the types of structures examined to include different plate geometries, stiffened and welded structures, sandwich panels, composite materials and monolithic metal plates with different boundary conditions. Tensile tearing and shear failures have also been investigated alongside large plastic deformation responses. Different forms of loading, including localised loading and stand-off distance effects have also been studied.

* Corresponding author. Blast Impact and Survivability Research Unit (BISRU), Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Cape Town, Private Bag, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa. Tel.: +27 (0)21 650 4810; Fax: +27 21 650 3240. *E-mail address:* Genevieve.langdon@uct.ac.za (G.S. Langdon).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2016.06.010 0734-743X/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This paper reviews the literature that have been published since 1989 in an attempt to update the work presented by Nurick and Martin [1,2]. Using the post 1989 developments in non-dimensional analysis, much of the more recent experimental data are then translated into non-dimensional impulse and displacement parameters and plotted alongside the data presented in Ref. [2] to determine if the empirical relationships proposed in 1989 are still valid. Due to the myriad of papers in this area, this review paper restricts itself to experimental studies that investigate the response of monolithic metal plates subjected to air-blast loading generated by detonating plastic explosive. Unless otherwise stated, the reviewed results are concerned with flat mild steel plates.

2. Experimental studies since 1989

In order to summarise the experimental work performed in the past twenty five years, the studies presented in the literature are summarised in Table 1 according to the following classifications:

- loading type: uniform, localised, varying stand-off distance;
- plate geometry: circular or quadrangular; stiffened or flat;
- boundary conditions; and
- failure mode: large plastic deformation, tensile tearing, shear failure.

Please cite this article in press as: S. Chung Kim Yuen, G.N. Nurick, G.S. Langdon, Y. Iyer, Deformation of thin plates subjected to impulsive load: Part III – an update 25 years on, International Journal of Impact Engineering (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2016.06.010

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

S. Chung Kim Yuen et al. / International Journal of Impact Engineering ■■ (2016) ■■–■■

| Nomenclature | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| В | plate width | | | |
| Ι | impulse | | | |
| L | plate length | | | |
| R | exposed plate radius | | | |
| R_o | charge radius | | | |
| S | stand-off distance | | | |
| t | plate thickness | | | |
| W | TNT equivalent mass | | | |
| Ζ | Hopkinson scaled distance | | | |
| δ | permanent mid-point displacement | | | |
| ϕ_c | non-dimensional impulse for circular plates | | | |
| ϕ_{cS} | non-dimensional impulse for circular plates, | | | |
| | incorporating stand-off distance | | | |
| ϕ_q | non-dimensional impulse for quadrangular plates | | | |
| ρ | material density | | | |
| σ | characteristic stress = quasi-static yield stress | | | |

2.1. Uniform loading conditions

Teeling-Smith and Nurick [3] investigated the progression in failure of clamped circular plates subjected to uniform blast loading. Photographs of plate failures at different impulses are shown in Fig. 1. At low impulse levels, large plastic deformation (known as Mode I failure) was observed, with mid-point displacement increasing with increasing impulse. As impulse was increased, thinning occurred at the plate boundary (known as Mode Ia or Mode Ib, depending upon the proportion of the circumference that exhibited necking), which was a precursor to tensile tearing along the boundary edge [3]. As impulse reached a threshold value, partial tearing (known as Mode II*) of the plate edge occurred. This Mode II* failure is the transition between Mode I and Mode II (tensile tearing of the bound-

Table 1

Summary of experiments performed since 1989 on air-blast loaded metal plates.



Fig. 1. Photographs showing the failure of uniformly loaded circular plates with increasing impulse [3].

ary edge) failure. As impulse increased further, plates exhibited tensile tearing of the boundary edge. If the impulse was increased beyond the threshold required for complete boundary tearing, the mid-point displacement of the plates decreased with increasing impulse and the failure mode tended towards transverse boundary shear (known as Mode III) [3]. The observed failure modes were similar to those reported by Menkes and Opat [30] for explosively loaded beams and the definitions of the failure modes are summarised in Table 2 for blast-loaded plates.

Nurick and co-workers [4,5] investigated the influence of boundary conditions on the failure of uniformly loaded circular plates in follow-on studies from the work reported in Ref. [3]. Thomas and Nurick [4] compared the fully clamped and built-in boundary conditions and showed that the boundary condition has little influence upon plate response during Mode I (large plastic deformation) failures. However, the onset of boundary thinning and subsequently boundary tearing were significantly influenced by the type of boundary condition. The built-in plates exhibited the onset of thinning and tearing at lower impulses since the boundary was more rigid and the clamped boundary was unable to fully prevent in-plane

| Load type | Plate type ¹ | Reference | Notes | Nominal plate dimensions (mm) | Year |
|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|-----------|
| Uniform | С | Teeling-Smith and Nurick [3] | Failure Modes I, II and III of circular plates | \emptyset 500-1000 × 10-20 | 1991 |
| | | Thomas and Nurick [4] | Built-in versus clamped boundaries | \varnothing 100 \times 1.6 | 1995 |
| | | Nurick et al. [5] | Influence of edge sharpness: clamped plates | Ø 60-120×1.6 | 1996 |
| | | Cloete et al. [6] | Annular and centrally support plates, failure | \varnothing 100 \times 1.6 | 2005 |
| | Q | Olson et al. [7] | Failure progression, tearing initiation | $89 \times 89 \times 1.6$ | 1993 |
| | | Nurick and Shave [8] | Failure progression, tearing initiation | $89 \times 89 \times 1.6$ | 1996 |
| | | Bonorchis and Nurick [9] | Varied boundary conditions | $188-200 \times 108-120 \times 3$ | 2007 |
| Localised | С | Wierzbicki and Nurick [10] | Failure modes | \varnothing 100 \times 1.6 | 1996 |
| | | Nurick and Radford [11] | Failure modes, capping | \varnothing 100 \times 1.6 | 1997 |
| | | Chung Kim Yuen and Nurick [12] | Influence of plate thickness, load-to-plate diameter ratio | Ø 100×1.6-3.6 | 2000 |
| | Q | Jacob et al. [13] | Varied load and plate geometries | $160290 \times 160290 \times 1.64.0$ | 2004 |
| | | | | (different aspect ratios) | |
| | | Langdon et al. [14] | Flat and stiffened plates | $126 \times 126 \times 1.6$ | 2005 |
| Stand-off | С | Jacob et al. [15] | Influence of stand-off distance on Mode I failure, use of tube to | \varnothing 106 \times 1.9 | 2007 |
| distance | | | direct blast | | |
| | | Yao et al. [16] | Mild steel, 12.9–17.6 mm stand-off distance, dimensionless analysis | $160\times160\times1.64.0$ | 2015 |
| | | Neuberger et al. [17] | Scaling | \varnothing 100 × 1.6 | 2007 |
| | | Neuberger et al. [18] | Springback of armour steel | Ø 1000×20 | 2009 |
| | Q | [acinto et al. [19] | Boundary conditions, model validation | $950-1000 \times 950-1500 \times 0.9-2.1$ | 2001 |
| | | Yuen et al. [20,21] | Large scale field tests, scaling | $500 \times 500 \times 3-6$ | 2006 |
| Uniform | QS | Schubak et al. [22–25] | One and two way stiffeners, model validation | $4000-4572 \times 2438-4000 \times 6-7$ | 1992-1993 |
| | | Nurick et al. [26] | Influence of integral stiffeners | $89 \times 89 \times 1.6$ | 1995 |
| | | Schleyer et al. [27] | Effects of loading direction, in-plane restraint on pulse loaded | $1000 \times 1000 \times 2$ | 2003 |
| | | - | welded stiffened plates | | |
| | | Yuen and Nurick [28] | Influence of integral stiffeners | $126 \times 126 \times 1.6$ | 2005 |
| | | Veldman [29] | Riveted stiffened plates, pre-pressurisation | $508 \times 610 \times 1.6$ | 2008 |

¹ C = circular, Q = quadrangular, QS = quadrangular stiffened.

Please cite this article in press as: S. Chung Kim Yuen, G.N. Nurick, G.S. Langdon, Y. Iyer, Deformation of thin plates subjected to impulsive load: Part III – an update 25 years on, International Journal of Impact Engineering (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2016.06.010 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5015591

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5015591

Daneshyari.com