



# Analysis of grinding mechanics and improved predictive force model based on material-removal and plastic-stacking mechanisms



Yanbin Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Changhe Li<sup>a,\*</sup>, Heju Ji<sup>b</sup>, Xiaohui Yang<sup>b</sup>, Min Yang<sup>a</sup>, Dongzhou Jia<sup>a</sup>, Xianpeng Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Runze Li<sup>d</sup>, Jun Wang<sup>c,\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mechanical Engineering, Qingdao University of Technology, 266520 Qingdao, China

<sup>b</sup> Qingdao Dong Jia Textile Machinery Group Co., Ltd, 26425 Shandong, China

<sup>c</sup> School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089–1111, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Grinding force model  
Material removal  
Plastic stacking  
Dynamic active grains  
Scratch test  
Minimum quantity lubrication

## ABSTRACT

Numerous researchers have developed theoretical and experimental approaches to force prediction in surface grinding under dry conditions. Nevertheless, the combined effect of material removal and plastic stacking on grinding force model has not been investigated. In addition, predominant lubricating conditions, such as flood, minimum quantity lubrication, and nanofluid minimum quantity lubrication, have not been considered in existing force models. This work presents an improved theoretical force model that considers material-removal and plastic-stacking mechanisms. Grain states, including cutting and ploughing, are determined by cutting efficiency ( $\beta$ ). The influence of lubricating conditions is also considered in the proposed force model. Simulation is performed to obtain the cutting depth ( $a_g$ ) of each “dynamic active grain.” Parameter  $\beta$  is introduced to represent the plastic-stacking rate and determine the force algorithms of each grain. The aggregate force is derived through the synthesis of each single-grain force. Finally, pilot experiments are conducted to test the theoretical model. Findings show that the model's predictions are consistent with the experimental results, with average errors of 4.19% and 4.31% for the normal and tangential force components, respectively.

## 1. Introduction

During grinding, grinding force is a crucial factor influencing grinding performance, form durability of grinding wheel, workpiece surface quality, and deformation of process system [1–3]. Grinding force is caused by elastic flow, plastic flow, debris formation, and friction resulting from contact between grinding wheel and workpiece [4,5]. Building a theoretical grinding force predictive model to predict experimental results bears high theoretical significance and application value. Research on the grinding force model is a fundamental part of grinding theory [6].

Minimum quantity lubrication (MQL) grinding is a green process. After mixing and atomization, a small quantity of lubricants and gas is jetted at a certain pressure to the grinding zone for cooling lubrication [7,8]. On the contrary, Hadad et al. [9,10] reported that MQL grinding does not address the technical limitation of grinding cooling

performance; this inability considerably limits the application of MQL grinding. Nanofluid minimum quantity lubrication (NMQL) grinding effectively resolves heat transfer in the grinding zone while enhancing the lubricating property in the zone [11]. Nanoparticles can significantly improve the lubrication and heat transfer of a nanofluid. A force predictive model should be established for NMQL grinding, which has not been reported in the literature.

Numerous researchers have developed theoretical and experimental approaches to determine the force for surface grinding under dry condition. Werner [12] derived a grinding force equation with two structural coefficients, which could be adjusted to interpret chip formation-related force and sliding-related force. Malkin et al. [13,14] argued that grinding force consists of cutting deformation force and sliding force. Li et al. [15] extended Werner's model by separating the effects of cutting and sliding, and derived equations to express the cutting and sliding forces. Similarly, Younis et al. [16] proposed a grinding force model composed of sliding

\* Corresponding author.

\*\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [zhangyanbin1\\_qdlg@163.com](mailto:zhangyanbin1_qdlg@163.com) (Y. Zhang), [sy\\_lichanghe@163.com](mailto:sy_lichanghe@163.com) (C. Li), [jhj-8@163.com](mailto:jhj-8@163.com) (H. Ji), [office@dongjia.cn](mailto:office@dongjia.cn) (X. Yang), [yummy0lige@163.com](mailto:yummy0lige@163.com) (M. Yang), [jia\\_dongzhou@163.com](mailto:jia_dongzhou@163.com) (D. Jia), [18253299582@163.com](mailto:18253299582@163.com) (X. Zhang), [runzeli@usc.edu](mailto:runzeli@usc.edu) (R. Li), [jun.wang@unsw.edu.au](mailto:jun.wang@unsw.edu.au) (J. Wang).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmactools.2017.06.002>

Received 15 January 2017; Received in revised form 6 June 2017; Accepted 12 June 2017

Available online 13 June 2017

0890-6955/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Nomenclature**

MQL	minimum quantity lubrication	$S_1$	formation region
NMQL	nanofluid minimum quantity lubrication	$S_2$	volume of plastic stacking
$\beta$	cutting efficiency	$S_3$	debris deformation region
$a_g$	cutting depth	$a_p$	total volume of grain cutting
$a_{gc}$	critical cutting depth	$V_w$	grinding depth
$\alpha$	plastic stacking angle	$V_s$	feed speed
$\alpha_1$	critical plastic stacking angle	$a_{gmean}$	peripheral speed of grinding wheel
$d_s$	integral unit	$a_{gmax}$	average undeformed chip thickness
$\delta_s$	yield stress	SEM	maximum undeformed chip thickness
$\delta_b$	fracture stress	$m$	scanning electron microscope
$\delta_0$	stress in the debris-formation region	$V_g$	granularity number of grinding wheel
$\delta_1$	stress in elastic-plastic flow region	$N$	corundum concentration
$\delta_{01}$	plastic flow stress in debris formation region	$N_x$	Total number of sampled grains of grinding zone
$\delta_{02}$	material removal stress in debris formation region	$N_y$	number of grains along circumferential direction
$A_m$	area of debris formation	$N_y$	number of grains along axial direction
$d_{mean}$	mean granular size of grains	$S$	organization number of grinding wheel
$d_{max}$	maximum granular size of grains	$d_e$	equivalent wheel diameter
$d_{min}$	minimum granular size of grains	$\lambda$	space between continuous cutting grains
$d$	granular size of grains	$D$	diameter of the grinding wheel
$\theta$	grain vertex angle	$L_g$	Length of grinding contact arc
$N_d$	number of dynamic active grains	$b$	grinding width of grinding wheel
$N_c$	number of cutting grains	$S_w$	tip area of the worn grain
$N_p$	number of ploughing grains	$P_0$	constant determined by experiment
$L_r$	distance of initial grains	$K_1$	constant determined by experiment
$\sigma$	standard deviation	$G(d)$	matrix of grain size
$z$	protrusion height of grain	$G(z)$	matrix of protrusion height of grains
$z_g$	axial position of grain	$G(z_g)$	matrix of axial position of generated grains
$F_n$	normal grinding force	$G(a_d)$	matrix of protrusion height of static active grains
$F_t$	tangential grinding force	$G(a_g)$	matrix of cutting depth of dynamic active grains
$F_{tc}$	tangential cutting force	$R$	radius of curvature of cutting path
$F_{nc}$	normal cutting force	$f_t$	tangential frictional force on wear plane of grains
$F_{tp}$	tangential ploughing force	$f_n$	normal frictional force on wear plane of grains
$F_{np}$	normal ploughing force	$z_{gk0}$	initial coordinate value of grains in Z direction
$F_{tcf}$	tangential frictional force on rake face of cutting grain	$z_{gkn}$	final coordinate value of grains in Z direction
$F_{ncf}$	normal frictional force on rake face of cutting grain	$\delta z_{gkn}$	random number meeting uniform distribution
$F_{tpf}$	tangential frictional force on rake face of ploughing grain	$\bar{p}$	average contact pressure between the grains and the workpiece
$F_{npf}$	normal frictional force on rake face of ploughing grain	$\mu$	friction coefficient between workpiece material and grains
$F_{tc(01)}$	tangential plastic-flow force in the debris-formation region	$F_{tc(1)}$	tangential force in elastic-plastic flow region
$F_{nc(01)}$	normal plastic-flow force in the debris-formation region	$F_{nc(1)}$	normal force in elastic-plastic flow region
$F_{tc(02)}$	tangential material-removal force in the debris-formation region	$F_{nc(02)}$	normal material-removal force in the debris-formation region

force, cutting force, and ploughing force. Tang et al. [17] proposed another model that focuses on the calculation of chip formation forces. They stated that the chip formation forces can be classified into static and dynamic components according to the level of the region shear strain and grinding zone temperature; the experiments validated the feasibility and accuracy of the proposed model. Durgumahanti et al. [18] developed a grinding force model by incorporating the effects of variable frictional coefficient and ploughing force. To obtain grain stages and numbers, researchers proposed a different method [19]. Hecker et al. [20] and Lang et al. [21] assumed that the cutting thickness of grains reflects Rayleigh's probability density distribution. However, this model assumed that all of the grains that contact the workpiece were cutting grains. Zhang et al. [22] developed a granular size probability model based on normal distribution; solved for the probabilities of cutting, ploughing, and sliding grains at a fixed period; and distinguished grains into three grinding processes. These models provide scientific and technological insight into the grinding process. However, these models need to be further improved with the development of science and technology.

Aside from the classical force model, the grinding process has been applied further. Cheng et al. [23] studied grinding forces in the micro

slot-grinding of single crystal sapphire. They developed a predictive model for the grinding force in three different orientations of single crystal sapphire: C-orientation, A-orientation, and R-orientation. Zhou and Zheng [24] developed a model for predicting grinding forces in ultrasonic vibration-assisted grinding of SiCp/Al composites. They derived analytical expressions for the chip formation force based on Rayleigh's probability density function, frictional force, and particle fracture force based on Griffith theory. Jiang et al. [25] studied the predictive modeling of grinding force considering wheel deformation for toric fewer-axis grinding of large complex optical mirrors. Hertz contact theory was applied to an irregular contact area. Zhang et al. [26] constructed a model for the reliable prediction of grinding force in ultrasonic vibration-assisted micro-end grinding. In studies on the removal mechanism and the micro-topography of the grinding surface, the micro-end grinding zone is divided into three grinding regions: main grinding region, plowing grinding region, and sliding grinding region. The single-grain force model is then developed under different material-removal modes, and the grinding force model of the entire grinding wheel is developed considering the effect of size.

However, previous studies derived the average cutting depths for

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5015698>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5015698>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)