



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpvp](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpvp)

# A four-equation friction model for water hammer calculation in quasi-rigid pipelines



Abdelaziz Ghodhbane\*, Ezzeddine Haj Taieb

Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Engineering School of Sfax, Sfax 3000, Tunisia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 4 April 2015

Received in revised form

15 February 2017

Accepted 5 March 2017

Available online 8 March 2017

### Keywords:

Water hammer

Method of characteristic (MOC)

Unsteady friction

Zielke model

Four-equation model

## ABSTRACT

Friction coupling affects water hammer evolution in pipelines according to the initial flow regime. Unsteady friction models are only validated with uncoupled formulation. On the other hand, coupled models such as four-equation model, provide more accurate prediction of water hammer since fluid-structure interaction (FSI) is taken into account, but they are limited to steady-state friction formulation. This paper deals with the creation of the “four-equation friction model” which is based on the incorporation of the unsteady head loss given by an unsteady friction model into the four-equation model. For transient laminar flow cases, the Zielke model is considered. The proposed model is applied to a quasi-rigid pipe with axial moving valve, and then calculated by the method of characteristics (MOC). Damping and shape of the numerical solution are in good agreement with experimental data. Thus, the proposed model can be incorporated into a new computer code.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Water hammer problem is relevant to various branches of industry such as water-supply-networks, industrial conduits, cooling circuits of thermal and nuclear power plants, etc. Perturbation in the fluid flow is produced due to several operations such as starting or failure in pump and turbine and also fast opening or closing of the valve. Mechanical loadings on pipe systems caused by water hammer belong to the most important and most difficult to calculate design. Severe water hammer often may cause a rupture of piping components, service pipe failures, joint failures and other damage to the hydraulic system. This is why water hammer must be continuously controlled and predicted. Surge suppressors, relief valves, slow closing gate and cone type valves are examples of water hammer prevention equipments.

In order to ensure the global economic efficiency and safety operations of hydraulic systems, several mathematical contributions are presented. A number of physical parameters can be taken into account. These include dissolved and free air in the liquid, unsteady friction, fluid-structure interaction (FSI), viscoelastic behavior of the pipe-wall material and cavitation. Water hammer

problems are usually simulated using one-dimensional water hammer equations based on the quasi-steady friction model. The main assumption of this method is that the head loss during water hammer phenomenon is equal to the head loss obtained from the steady flow. However, this assumption is not valid for most of water hammer problems due to the existence of strong gradients and reverse flows near the pipe wall.

Unsteady friction models are the subject of various research projects in research centers all over the world. The most widely used models consider extra friction losses to depend on a history of weighted accelerations during unsteady phenomena, or on instantaneous flow acceleration. The first group of models was developed by Zielke [1]. These models consider the instantaneous wall shear stress, which is directly proportional to friction losses, is the sum of the quasi-steady value and a term in which certain weights are given to the past velocity changes [1]. This approach is assigned for transient laminar flow cases. The Zielke model is based on solid theoretical fundamentals and the multiple experimental validation tests have shown good conformity between calculated and measured results. As demonstrated [2], Adamkowsky and Lewandowsky validated the Zielke model and other several unsteady friction models against experimental results without junction coupling (fixed valve).

The objective of this work is to prove the insufficiency of both Zielke model and four-equation model to simulate water hammer problems with junction coupling (free moving valve) and to

\* Corresponding author. *Postal address:* High School of Technology of Sidi Bouzid, Sidi Bouzid 9100, Tunisia.

*E-mail addresses:* [abdelaziz.ghodhbane1@gmail.com](mailto:abdelaziz.ghodhbane1@gmail.com) (A. Ghodhbane), [ezed.hadj@enis.rnu.tn](mailto:ezed.hadj@enis.rnu.tn) (E. Haj Taieb).

Nomenclature			
<i>Abbreviations</i>		<i>K</i>	Fluid bulk modulus
FSI	Fluid-Structure Interaction	<i>L</i>	Pipe length
MOC	Method of Characteristics	<i>M</i>	Mass
SLI	Space-line interpolation	<i>p</i>	Relative pressure in the fluid
TLI	Time-line interpolation	<i>R</i>	Inner radius of the pipe
WSA	Wave-speed adjustment	<i>T</i>	Time
<i>Scalars</i>		<i>u</i>	Displacement
$\gamma$	Pipe inclination	<i>V</i>	Cross-sectional averaged fluid velocity
$\nu$	Poisson coefficient	<i>W</i>	Weighting function
$\sigma$	Stress	<i>Z</i>	Axial co-ordinate
$\Sigma$	Real positive number	<i>Matrices and vectors</i>	
$\rho$	Mass density	<b>A, B</b>	Stiffness matrices of the hyperbolic linear system
$\lambda$	Characteristic direction	<b>k</b>	Second part of the vector <b>s</b>
<i>c</i>	Anchor coefficient	<b>M</b>	Stiffness matrix of the algebraic system
<i>A</i>	Section	<b>n</b>	First part of the vector <b>s</b>
<i>C</i>	Celerity	<b>N</b>	Matrix used to find the vector <b>n</b>
<i>D</i>	Inner diameter of the pipe	<b>r</b>	Right hand side vector of the hyperbolic linear system
<i>E</i>	Pipe thickness	<b>s</b>	Right hand side vector of the algebraic system
<i>E</i>	Young's modulus	<b>y</b>	Transformed vector of unknowns
<i>F</i>	Friction coefficient of Darcy-Weisbach	<b>Y</b>	Matrix used to express the matrix <b>N</b>
<i>g</i>	Gravitational acceleration	<i>Subscripts</i>	
<i>h</i>	Unsteady head loss	<i>F</i>	Fluid
<i>i</i>	Space increment	<i>O, L</i>	Boundary position
<i>j</i>	Time increment	<i>P</i>	Pipe wall
		<i>z</i>	Axial direction

validate a proposed model called “Four-equation Friction Model”. The proposed model will be calculated in a quasi-rigid pipeline with axial vibration using the MOC, where water hammer is caused by an instantaneous valve closure. The computation results will be compared against experimental data.

## 2. Theory

### 2.1. Unsteady friction models

Unsteady friction derives from the extra losses caused by the two-dimensional nature of the unsteady velocity profile [1–3]. Many types of unsteady friction models exist in the literature. As demonstrated [1], Zielke has developed the convolution-based unsteady friction model which is based on analytical solutions obtained for laminar flow ( $Re \leq 2320$ ). By assuming the velocity  $V$  is uniform on each section  $A$ , Zielke defined the head loss  $h_f$  as

$$h_f = \frac{f}{2gD} V|V| + \frac{16\nu}{gD^2} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} * W \right) (t) \tag{1}$$

where  $W$  is the weighting function in time and (\*) denotes convolution.

As described [3], the friction head loss can be thought of as comprising a steady part and an unsteady part. The first part of Eq. (1) is the Darcy-Weisbach formulae defining the quasi-steady head loss per unit, denoted  $h_{f.q}$  in this issue. Whereas the second part is the unsteady head loss per unit, denoted  $h_{f.u}$ . This term follows from the convolution of the weighting function  $W$  with past temporal velocity variations  $\partial V/\partial t$ . Adamkowsky and lewandowsky call it *pipeline inertance* [2].

To summarize, Eq. (1) can be written as

$$h_f = h_{f.q} + h_{f.u} \tag{2}$$

The development of the convolution relation gives [2,3]

$$\left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} * W \right) (t) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} (u) \cdot W(t - u) du \tag{3}$$

The weighting function is defined [1]

$$W(\tau) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^6 m_j \tau^{j/2-1} & \text{for } \tau < 0, 02 \\ \sum_{j=1}^5 e^{-n_j \tau} & \text{for } \tau > 0, 02 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

in which  $n_j = \{26, 3744; 70, 8493; 135, 0198; 218, 0198; 322, 5544\}$ ,  $\tau = 4\nu t/D^2$  and  $m_j = \{0, 282095; -1, 25; 1, 057855; 0, 9375; -0, 396696; -0, 351563\}$

The convolution-based unsteady frictional head loss term  $h_f$  in a staggered characteristic grid, called *full convolution scheme* [3] is

$$h_f(z, t) = \frac{fV(z, t)|V(z, t)|}{2gD} + \frac{16\nu}{gD^2} \sum_{j=1,3,5,\dots}^M [V(z, t - j\Delta t + \Delta t) - V(z, t - j\Delta t - \Delta t)] W_0(j\Delta t) \tag{5}$$

with  $M = t/\Delta t - 1$ .

It is worth noting that several friction models are studied in literature, such as Vardy and Brown model, Brunone model, Zarzycki model and others [2–4].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5016891>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5016891>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)