



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijrefrig



Numerical investigation of solidification of single droplets with and without evaporation mechanism



Seyed Milad Mirabedin, Fatola Farhadi *

Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Azadi Avenue, Tehran 11365/8639, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 25 April 2016
Received in revised form 23 July 2016
Accepted 5 September 2016

Accepted 5 September 2016 Available online 12 September 2016

Keywords: Mpemba effect Evaporation Solidification CFD

Mass transfer

ABSTRACT

According to some experimental observations, water droplet with high initial temperature freezes faster than a cold one. There are some explanations to this problem such as subcooling, evaporation and radiation. In this work, solidification process of single droplets with and without the effect of evaporation is numerically investigated for three different drop diameters and initial temperatures. It seems that evaporation itself is able to explain why hot water freezes faster than cold water.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd and IIR. All rights reserved.

Enquête numérique portant sur la solidification de gouttelettes uniques avec et sans mécanisme d'évaporation

Mots clés : Effet Mpemba ; Évaporation ; Solidification ; MFN ; Transfert de masse

1. Introduction

The Mpemba effect has been a concerning debate for several years. A large number of papers have tried to interpret this phenomenon. This process was firstly seen by the ancient scientist, Aristotle, in 350 B.C. but the most famous observation belongs to a Tanzanian student, E. Mpemba in 1963 who placed two

containers of water one at 35 °C and the other one at 100 °C in the cold box of a domestic refrigerator. He found that despite considering totally identical samples and similar external condition for both beakers, the initially hotter sample froze faster (Jeng, 2006). This effect has been named after him for his first observation in modern time.

Researchers have tried to give some interpretation to this problem. For example, considering the impact of supercooling,

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Azadi Avenue, Tehran 11365/8639, Iran. Fax: +98 21 66022853.

```
Nomenclature
C_{p1}
               heat capacity of water [kJ \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}]
               heat capacity of air [kJ \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}]
C_{p2}
               heat capacity of liquid water [kJ·kg-1·K-1]
C_{liquid}
C_{\text{solid}}
               heat capacity of solid water (ice) [kJ \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}]
C_D
               drag coefficient of droplet
               diffusivity of water in air [m<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>]
D_{AB}
               diameter of the sphere [m]
D_{\text{Droplet}}
F_1
               volumetric force due to Boussinesq assumption in liquid water [N·m<sup>-3</sup>]
F_2
               volumetric force due to air density [N⋅m<sup>-3</sup>]
               gravity acceleration [m·s-2]
g
               heat transfer coefficient [W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1}]
h
k_1
               thermal conductivity of water [W·m-1·K-1]
               thermal conductivity of air [W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}]
k_2
               concentration-based mass transfer coefficient [m·s-1]
k_{C}
               pressure-based mass transfer coefficient [kg·s<sup>-1</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>·Pa<sup>-1</sup>]
k_{P}
k_{\text{liquid}}
               thermal conductivity of liquid water [W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}]
               thermal conductivity of solid water (ice) [W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}]
k_{solid}
               latent heat of solidification [kJ·kg-1]
L
psat
               vapor pressure of water as a function of temperature [Pa]
               partial pressure of water in surrounding air [Pa]
p_0
               water pressure [Pa]
p_1
               air pressure [Pa]
p_2
Pr
               Prandtl number = \mu_2 \cdot C_{p2}/k_2
Re
               Reynolds number = \rho_2 \cdot u_2 \cdot D_{Droplet} / \mu_2
               initial radius of the droplet [mm]
r_0
               Schmidt number = \mu_2/(\rho_2 \cdot D_{AB})
Sc
T_0
               initial temperature of the droplet [K]
T_1
               temperature of water [K]
T_2
               temperature of surrounding air [K]
T_{\rm f}
               temperature of phase change in water [K]
ΛТ
               temperature interval near freezing point of water [K]
               velocity of water in the droplet [m \cdot s^{-1}]
U<sub>1</sub>
               velocity of surrounding air [m \cdot s^{-1}]
Uэ
               normal velocity of droplet radius reduction due to evaporation from surface [\,m\cdot s^{\text{-1}}]
Vn
               density of water [kg·m<sup>-3</sup>]
\rho_1
               density of dry air [kg·m<sup>-3</sup>]
\rho_2
               dynamic viscosity of liquid water [Pa.s]
\mu_1
\mu_2
               dynamic viscosity of surrounding air [Pa.s]
λ
               latent heat of evaporation [kJ·kg<sup>-1</sup>]
```

natural convection and evaporation are some suggested solutions. Auerbach (1995) suggested that initially warmer water supercools less than initially colder water. However, this cannot fully explain the Mpemba effect because we still need to explain why initially hot water supercools faster than the cold one. Vynnycky and Kimura (2015) investigated the effect of natural convection in a closed enclosure filled with water. They found that although natural convection could not explain the Mpemba effect by itself, other mechanisms might be responsible for this phenomenon. Kell (1968) and Vynnycky and Maeno (2012) introduced evaporation as a convincing reason for the Mpemba effect separately. Kell studied experimentally ice formation in a wooden pail and concluded that since considerable heat is not transferred through the sides of the pail, cooling is mostly by evaporation. The model by Vynnycky and Maeno consisted of two holes in a highly-isolated block filled with hot and cold water

and surrounding stagnant cold air temperatures of 253 K and 263 K. They observed some considerable reduction in the height of water samples due to surface evaporation. In another paper, Vynnycky and Mitchell (2010) introduced a linear expression for mass transfer rate considering the difference of vapor pressure on the surface of water and water partial pressure in the air. However, they considered k, their mass transfer coefficient, as a constant value which did not vary with temperature.

Despite its challenging behavior, the Mpemba effect may have some economic benefits. For example, in producing artificial snow for ski resorts, reducing the required time to freeze each droplet can lead to a considerable time saving. Even though the Mpemba effect has been observed many times, few explanations have been presented about the reason behind this phenomenon. In the present work, we deal with a droplet solidification problem. We consider the effects of three heat transfer mechanisms on

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5017219

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5017219

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>