Accepted Manuscript

Title: Forming and Fracture Limits of Sheet Metals Deforming without a Local Neck

Authors: Baran Güler, Mert Efe



PII:	S0924-0136(17)30453-3
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2017.10.004
Reference:	PROTEC 15424
To appear in:	Journal of Materials Processing Technology
Received date:	17-2-2017
Revised date:	22-9-2017
Accepted date:	1-10-2017

Please cite this article as: Güler, Baran, Efe, Mert, Forming and Fracture Limits of Sheet Metals Deforming without a Local Neck.Journal of Materials Processing Technology https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2017.10.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Forming and Fracture Limits of Sheet Metals Deforming without a Local Neck

Baran Güler, Mert Efe^{*}

Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Middle East Technical University, Ankara 06800, Turkey *Corresponding author: mefe@metu.edu.tr, Tel: +90-312-210-5925, Fax: +90-312-210-2518

ABSTRACT

Under certain strain paths (e.g., balanced biaxial) and during some forming processes (e.g., incremental forming, micro forming), sheet metals may deform and fail without forming a local neck. In these cases, it is challenging to determine the forming limits using standard tests and measurement methods (ISO) designed to detect the formability from a sharp, single local neck. In this work, local necking was suppressed in an Al-6061-T6 alloy and a DC-04 steel with an in-plane biaxial (cruciform) test, and the fracture limits of these materials were measured at various strain paths together with the forming limits by an alternative Marciniak-Kuczynski based thickness strain ratio method (TRM). The measured strains were compared with the standard Nakajima tests containing sharp local necks. Due to the unique geometry of the cruciform test, both materials developed strain localizations and deformed until fracture without deviating from the predetermined strain ratio. This enabled a true measure of fracture strains of both materials, which was not possible with the standard tests. Moreover, the ISO method was not applicable to the case without local necking, whereas TRM resulted in similar forming limits in both tests.

Keywords: Cruciform Test; Nakajima Test; FLC; Aluminum; Steel; Formability

1

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5017601

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5017601

Daneshyari.com