### Accepted Manuscript

Title: Discussion of the published manuscript Lazopoulos, K.A., Karaoulanis, D., Lazopoulos, null. $\kappa$ . (2016) «On fractional modelling of viscoelastic mechanical systems», Mechanics Research Communications, 78, pp. 1-5

Author: Panos Tsopelas

PII: S0093-6413(17)30379-8

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mechrescom.2017.07.016

Reference: MRC 3201

To appear in:

Received date: 17-7-2017 Accepted date: 31-7-2017

Please cite this article as: Tsopelas, Panos, Discussion of the published manuscript Lazopoulos, K.A., Karaoulanis, D., Lazopoulos, x391;.x39a;.(2016) laquo;On fractional modelling of viscoelastic mechanical systemsraquo;, Mechanics Research Communications, 78, pp.1-5.Mechanics Research Communications http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mechrescom.2017.07.016

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

	Publication Office:
Mechanics Research Communications. Year	Elsevier UK
Editor-in-Chief: A. Rosato New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, New Jersey, USA Anthony.Rosato@njit.edu	

# Discussion of the published manuscript Lazopoulos, K.A., Karaoulanis, D., Lazopoulos, A.K. (2016) «On fractional modelling of viscoelastic mechanical systems», Mechanics Research Communications, 78, pp. 1-5.

Panos TSOPELAS<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: XXXXX.

#### Abstract

The paper [20] attempts to solve a one dimensional model of the Zener type viscoelastic model (see Fig. 1 of the paper) replacing the classical time derivatives with a new fractional time derivative that was presented earlier by two of the authors (see ref. [1], [9] and [10] cited in the work). In addition, the authors present the classical solution of what is called in the paper "conventional viscoelastic model" and the solution due to Caputo fractional derivative, which is the solution of what the authors call "existing fractional order derivative viscoelastic models". The paper seems to have several problems, typos and mistakes that will be discussed as follows

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: Viscoelasticity, Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Viscoelastic Zener Model, Standard Solid Model.

#### 1. Discussion

Regarding the classic solution in the paper, Eqns. (11), (12) and Figs. 2 and 3 are wrong. The creep compliance  $J(t) = \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{\sigma_0}$  cannot start from zero. This is impossible since at zero time there will be an initial strain due to the spring  $E_2$ , thus  $J(0) \to \frac{1}{E_2}$ . On the other hand, the relaxation modulus  $G(t) = \frac{\sigma(t)}{\varepsilon_0}$  cannot go to zero at  $t \to \infty$ , as shown in Fig.3 but to the value  $G(\infty) = \frac{E_1 E_2}{E_1 + E_2}$ . The numerical results in Fig. 3 start with the value of  $10^{17}$  instead of 1.5  $10^9$  (N/m) and at infinite time it should approach 0.1415  $10^9$  (N/m). In normalized values, the G(t) curve should start from 1 and approach asymptotically 0.096. The correct form of Eqns. (11) and (12) can be easily obtained from the governing differential equations considering for the first one, excitation  $\sigma(t) = \sigma_0 * U(t)$  and for the second excitation  $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_0 * U(t)$ , with U(t) being the unit-step (Heaviside) function. In addition, the

correct forms of these equations are reported in reference [15] of the paper (Mainardi's book page 33, Eqn. 2.19b.) These equations should read:

$$J(t) = \frac{1}{E_2} + \frac{1}{E_1} \left( 1 - e^{-t/\tau_{\mathcal{E}}} \right) \tag{32}$$

$$G(t) = \frac{E_2}{E_1 E_2} \left( E_1 + E_2 e^{-t/\tau_\sigma} \right) \tag{33}$$

where, 
$$\tau_{\varepsilon} = \frac{c}{E_1}$$
 and  $\tau_{\sigma} = \frac{c}{E_1 + E_2}$ 

The correct Eqns. (32) and (33) are plotted in Fig. 14a and 14b of the present letter, for the values of the parameters  $E_1$ =0.16  $10^9$  N/m,  $E_2$ =1.5  $10^9$  N/m and C=1.6  $10^{10}$  Ns/m reported in the paper under discussion.

Regarding the solution with Caputo fractional time derivatives in the paper, Eqn. (15) and Figs. 4 and 5 have similar type of problems with the classical results. The results in Fig. 32 at page 64 of Mainardi [5], show that J(0) is not zero and  $G(\infty)$  is also not zero for the fractional Zener model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanics, Laboratory of Testing and Materials, School of Applied Mathematical and Physical Sciences, NTUA, Theocharis Building, Zografou Campus, 15773, Athens, GREECE

<sup>\*</sup> tsopelas@central.ntua.gr Tel.:+30-210772-1150; fax: +30-210772-1302

#### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5018583

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5018583

Daneshyari.com