

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications

Nonlinear Analysis

Nonlinear Analysis

Analys

www.elsevier.com/locate/nonrwa

# Global existence and well-posedness for the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows



Wei Luo<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhaoyang Yin<sup>a,b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China
- <sup>b</sup> Faculty of Information Technology, Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 October 2016 Received in revised form 16 March 2017 Accepted 17 March 2017

Keywords:
The FENE dumbbell model
Littlewood-Paley theory
Besov spaces
Local well-posedness
Blow-up criterion
Global existence

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper we mainly investigate the Cauchy problem of the finite extensible nonlinear elastic (FENE) dumbbell model with dimension  $d \geq 2$ . We first proved the local well-posedness for the FENE model in Besov spaces by using the Littlewood–Paley theory. Then by an accurate estimate we get a blow-up criterion. Moreover, if the initial data is a small perturbation around equilibrium, we obtain a global existence result. Our obtained results generalize and cover recent results in Masmoudi (2008).

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the finite extensible nonlinear elastic (FENE) dumbbell model [1]:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t}u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla P = \operatorname{div}\tau, & \operatorname{div}u = 0, \\ \partial_{t}\psi + (u \cdot \nabla)\psi = \operatorname{div}_{R}[-\nabla u \cdot R\psi + \beta \nabla_{R}\psi + \nabla_{R}\mathcal{U}\psi], \\ \tau_{ij} = \int_{B} (R_{i}\nabla_{j}\mathcal{U})\psi dR, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_{0}, \quad \psi|_{t=0} = \psi_{0}, \\ (\beta \nabla_{R}\psi + \nabla_{R}\mathcal{U}\psi) \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B(0, R_{0}). \end{cases}$$

$$(1.1)$$

In (1.1)  $\psi(t, x, R)$  denotes the distribution function for the internal configuration and u(t, x) stands for the velocity of the polymeric liquid, where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $d \geq 2$  means the dimension. Here the polymer elongation R is bounded in ball  $B = B(0, R_0)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which means that the extensibility of the polymers is finite.  $\beta = \frac{2k_BT_a}{\lambda}$ ,

E-mail addresses: luowei23@mail2.sysu.edu.cn (W. Luo), mcsyzy@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Z. Yin).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $T_a$  is the absolute temperature and  $\lambda$  is the friction coefficient.  $\nu > 0$  is the viscosity of the fluid,  $\tau$  is an additional stress tensor and P is the pressure. The Reynolds number  $Re = \frac{\gamma}{\nu}$  with  $\gamma \in (0,1)$  and the density  $\rho = \int_B \psi dR$ . Moreover the potential  $\mathcal{U}(R) = -k \log(1 - (\frac{|R|}{|R_0|})^2)$  for some constant k > 0.

This model describes the system coupling fluids and polymers. The system is of great interest in many branches of physics, chemistry, and biology, see [1,2]. In this model, a polymer is idealized as an "elastic dumbbell" consisting of two "beads" joined by a spring that can be modeled by a vector R. At the level of liquid, the system couples the Navier–Stokes equation for the fluid velocity with a Fokker–Planck equation describing the evolution of the polymer density. This is a micro–macro model (for more details, one can refer to [1-3]).

In the paper we will take  $\beta = 1$  and  $R_0 = 1$ . Notice that  $(u, \psi)$  with u = 0 and

$$\psi_{\infty}(R) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{U}(R)}}{\int_{B} e^{-\mathcal{U}(R)} dR} = \frac{(1 - |R|^{2})^{k}}{\int_{B} (1 - |R|^{2})^{k} dR},$$

is a stationary solution of (1.1). Thus we can rewrite (1.1) for the following system:

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_{t}u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla P = \operatorname{div}\tau, & \operatorname{div}u = 0, \\
\partial_{t}\psi + (u \cdot \nabla)\psi = \operatorname{div}_{R} \left[ -\nabla u \cdot R\psi + \psi_{\infty} \nabla_{R} \frac{\psi}{\psi_{\infty}} \right], \\
\tau_{ij} = \int_{B} (R_{i}\nabla_{j}\mathcal{U})\psi dR, \\
u|_{t=0} = u_{0}, \quad \psi|_{t=0} = \psi_{0}, \\
\psi_{\infty} \nabla_{R} \frac{\psi}{\psi_{\infty}} \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B(0, 1).
\end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

We have to add a boundary condition to insure the conservation of  $\psi$ , namely,  $(-\nabla u \cdot R\psi + \psi_{\infty}\nabla_{R}\frac{\psi}{\psi_{\infty}}) \cdot n = 0$ . The second equation in (1.2) can be understood in the weak sense: for any function  $g(R) \in C^{1}(B)$ , we have

$$\partial_t \int_B g\psi dR + (u \cdot \nabla) \int_B g\psi dR = -\int_B \nabla_R g \left[ -\nabla u \cdot R\psi + \psi_\infty \nabla_R \frac{\psi}{\psi_\infty} \right] dR.$$

**Definition 1.1.** Assume that  $u_0 \in D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\psi_0 \in D'(\mathbb{R}^d \times B)$ . A couple of functions  $(u, \psi) \in C([0, T]; D'(\mathbb{R}^d)) \times C([0, T]; D'(\mathbb{R}^d \times B))$  with  $div \ u = 0$  is called a solution for (1.2) if  $u \otimes u$ ,  $P, \ \tau \in L^1((0, T); D'(\mathbb{R}^d))$ ,  $u\psi$ ,  $\nabla u \cdot R\psi$ ,  $\psi_\infty \nabla_R \frac{\psi}{\psi_\infty} \in L^1((0, T); D'(\mathbb{R}^d \times B))$ , and for each  $(v, \phi) \in C^1([0, T]; C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)) \times C^1([0, T]; C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times B))$  with v(T) = 0 and  $\phi(T) = 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \partial_t v + (u \otimes u) : \nabla v - \nu u \Delta v + P \cdot div \ v = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau : v + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0 v_0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times B} \psi \partial_{t} \phi + u \psi \cdot \nabla_{x} \phi = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times B} \left[ -\nabla u \cdot R \psi + \psi_{\infty} \nabla_{R} \frac{\psi}{\psi_{\infty}} \right] \cdot \nabla_{R} \phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times B} \psi_{0} \phi_{0}. \tag{1.4}$$

Let us mention that the earliest local well-posedness for (1.1) was established by Renardy in [4], where the author considered the Dirichlet problem with d=3 for smooth boundary and proved local existence for (1.1) in  $\bigcap_{i=0}^4 C^i([0,T);H^{4-i}) \times \bigcap_{i=0}^3 \bigcap_{j=0}^{3-i} C^i([0,T);H^j)$  with potential  $\mathcal{U}(R)=(1-|R|^2)^{1-\sigma}$  for  $\sigma>1$ . Later, Jourdain, Lelièvre, and Le Bris [5] proved local existence of a stochastic differential equation with potential  $\mathcal{U}(R)=-k\log(1-|R|^2)$  in the case k>3 for a Couette flow. Zhang and Zhang [6] proved local well-posedness of (1.1) with d=3 in  $\bigcap_{i=0}^2 H^i([0,T);H^{4-2i}) \times \bigcap_{i=0}^1 H^i([0,T);H^{3-2i})$  for k>38. Lin, Zhang, and Zhang [7] proved global well-posedness of (1.2) with d=2 for k>6 in  $C([0,T];H^s)\times C([0,T];H^s(R^2;H^1_0(D)))$ , where  $s\geq 3$ . Masmoudi [2] proved local well-posedness of (1.2) in  $C([0,T];H^s)\times C([0,T];H^s(R^d;L^2))$ ,  $s>1+\frac{d}{2}$  and global well-posedness of (1.2) when the initial data is perturbation around equilibrium for k>0. In

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5024426

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5024426

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>