Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/nonrwa

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to "Renormalised solutions in thermo-visco-plasticity for a Norton–Hoff type model. Part I: The truncated case" [Nonlinear Anal. RWA 28 (2016) 140–152]

Krzysztof Chełmiński, Sebastian Owczarek*

Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, Warsaw University of Technology, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 November 2016 Received in revised form 16 March 2017Accepted 17 March 2017 Available online 12 April 2017

Keuwords: Thermo-visco-plasticity Fixed point theorem

1. Introduction

During review of the article Renormalised solutions in thermo-visco-plasticity for a Norton-Hoff type model. Part II: the limit case, Nonlinear Analysis-Real World Applications, 31 (2016), p. 643-660 one of the reviewers has read the first part entitled Renormalised solutions in thermo-visco-plasticity for a Norton-Hoff type model. Part I: the truncated case, Nonlinear Analysis-Real World Applications, 28 (2016), p. 140-152. He/She has observed that the proof of Theorem 3.2 in the first part is not completely justified. After this remark, we have noticed that the proof of Theorem 3.2 is unclear while the statement of Theorem 3.2 is correct (without uniqueness). In this corrigendum we present the right statement of Theorem 3.2 and its proof. The main idea of the proof is also based on a fixed point argument.

2. Theorem 3.2

Corresponding author.

Suppose that the given data satisfy all requirements of Theorem 1.2. For all $\lambda > 0$ the system (3.1) with initial-boundary conditions (3.2) and (3.3) possesses global in time solution $(u, \varepsilon^p, \theta)$ such that

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2015.09.008 *

E-mail addresses: kchelmin@mini.pw.edu.pl (K. Chełmiński), s.owczarek@mini.pw.edu.pl (S. Owczarek).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2017.03.005 1468-1218/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.









We correct an error in the original paper [Renormalised solutions in thermo-viscoplasticity for a Norton-Hoff type model. Part I: The truncated case, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 28 (2016) 140-152]. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is wrong and therefore we present here correct proof based on the same methods.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

$$(u,\varepsilon^p) \in H^1(0,\mathfrak{T}; H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)) \times W^{1,\infty}(0,\mathfrak{T}; L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{S}^3_{\text{dev}})),$$
$$\theta \in L^{\infty}(0,\mathfrak{T}; H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R})) \text{ and } \theta_t \in L^2(0,\mathfrak{T}; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})).$$

Proof: We are going to use the Schaefer's fixed point theorem. Fix $\theta^* \in L^r(0, \mathfrak{T}; W^{1,r}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}))$, where $r \in (1, 2)$. Let us consider the following initial-boundary value problem

$$\theta_t - \Delta \theta = \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \left(\varepsilon_t^p \cdot T \right) - f \left(\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \left(\theta^* + \tilde{\theta} \right) \right) \operatorname{div} u_t ,$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n}_{|_{\partial \Omega \times (0, \mathfrak{T})}} = 0, \qquad \theta(0) = \theta_0, \tag{1}$$

where the functions u, ε^p , T are the unique solution of the problem (3.4) (in the published article) with the input function θ^* . The right-hand side of (1) belongs to $L^2(0, \mathfrak{T}; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}))$. Using the maximal regularity (see Amann [1] and [2]) of solutions to linear parabolic problems (1) we obtain that the problem (1) admits a solution in the class

$$\theta \in L^r(0,\mathfrak{T}; W^{2,r}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})), \qquad \theta_t \in L^r(0,\mathfrak{T}; L^r(\Omega; \mathbb{R})).$$

Hence, we have defined an operator

$$L^{r}(0,\mathfrak{T};W^{1,r}(\varOmega;\mathbb{R})) \ni \theta^{\star} \to \mathcal{R}(\theta^{\star}) = \theta \in L^{r}(0,\mathfrak{T};W^{1,r}(\varOmega;\mathbb{R})).$$

Next we divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1 (continuity of the operator \mathcal{R})

Let us assume that $\theta_n^* \to \theta^*$ in $L^r(0, \mathfrak{T}; W^{1,r}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}))$. Lemma 3.1 (in the published article) yields that for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, there exists a global in time solution

$$(u_n, T_n, \varepsilon_n^p) \in H^1(0, \mathfrak{T}; H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)) \times H^1(0, \mathfrak{T}; L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{S}^3)) \times W^{1,\infty}(0, \mathfrak{T}; L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{S}^3_{\text{dev}}))$$

and a global in time solution

$$(u, T, \varepsilon^p) \in H^1(0, \mathfrak{T}; H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)) \times H^1(0, \mathfrak{T}; L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{S}^3)) \times W^{1, \infty}(0, \mathfrak{T}; L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{S}^3_{\text{dev}}))$$

of the system (3.4) with the input functions θ_n^* and θ^* , respectively. Moreover the weak formulation of the system (3.4) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\langle T_n - T, \varepsilon(v) \right\rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \mathbb{C} \left(\varepsilon((u_n)_t) - \varepsilon(u_t) \right), \varepsilon(v) \right\rangle dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \left(f \left(\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(\theta_n^\star + \tilde{\theta}) \right) - f \left(\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(\theta^\star + \tilde{\theta}) \right) \right) \operatorname{div} v \, dx \tag{2}$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Putting $v = (u_n)_t - u_t$ in (2) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left\langle \mathbb{C}^{-1}(T_n - T), T_n - T \right\rangle dx \right) + \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \mathbb{C} \left(\varepsilon((u_n)_t) - \varepsilon(u_t) \right), \varepsilon((u_n)_t) - \varepsilon(u_t) \right\rangle dx \\
= -\int_{\Omega} \left\langle T_n - T, (\varepsilon_n^p)_t - \varepsilon_t^p \right\rangle dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega} \left(f \left(\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(\theta_n^\star + \tilde{\theta}) \right) - f \left(\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(\theta^\star + \tilde{\theta}) \right) \right) \operatorname{div} \left((u_n)_t - u_t \right) dx.$$
(3)

The first integral on the right-hand side of (3) is non positive. Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality with small weight and integrating with respect to time in (3) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\langle \mathbb{C}^{-1}(T_n - T), T_n - T \right\rangle dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \mathbb{C} \left(\varepsilon((u_n)_t) - \varepsilon(u_t) \right), \varepsilon((u_n)_t) - \varepsilon(u_t) \right\rangle dx d\tau
\leq C(\nu) \int_0^t \left\| f \left(\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(\theta_n^\star + \tilde{\theta}) \right) - f \left(\mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(\theta^\star + \tilde{\theta}) \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau
+ \nu \int_0^t \left\| \varepsilon((u_n)_t) - \varepsilon(u_t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau.$$
(4)

490

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5024427

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5024427

Daneshyari.com