Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/nonrwa

# Existence of stationary turbulent flows with variable positive vortex intensity

F. De Marchis<sup>a,\*</sup>, T. Ricciardi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università deli Studi di Roma Sapienza, P.le Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy <sup>b</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Via Cintia, Monte S. Angelo, 80126 Napoli, Italy

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 July 2016 Received in revised form 22 February 2017Accepted 30 April 2017

Keywords: Mean field equation Min-max solutions Turbulent Euler flow

# 1. Introduction and main results

Motivated by the statistical mechanics description of turbulent 2D Euler flows in equilibrium, we are interested in the existence of solutions to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda \frac{\int_{[0,1]} \alpha e^{\alpha u} \mathcal{P}(d\alpha)}{\iint_{[0,1] \times \Omega} e^{\alpha u} \mathcal{P}(d\alpha) dx} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(\*)<sub>\lambda</sub>

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a smooth bounded domain,  $\lambda > 0$  is a constant and  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}([0,1])$  is a Borel probability measure. Problem  $(*)_{\lambda}$  was derived by Neri [1] within Onsager's pioneering framework [2], with the aim of including the case of variable vortex intensities. More precisely, in [1] the following mean field equation is

Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2017.04.013

## ABSTRACT

We prove the existence of stationary turbulent flows with arbitrary positive vortex circulation on non-simply connected domains. Our construction yields solutions for all real values of the inverse temperature with the exception of a quantized set, for which blow-up phenomena may occur. Our results complete the analysis initiated in Ricciardi and Zecca (2016).

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.







E-mail addresses: demarchis@mat.uniroma1.it (F. De Marchis), tonricci@unina.it (T. Ricciardi).

<sup>1468-1218/© 2017</sup> Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

derived:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v &= \frac{\int_{[-1,1]} r e^{-\beta r v} \mathcal{P}(dr)}{\iint_{[-1,1] \times \Omega} e^{-\beta r v} \mathcal{P}(dr) dx} & \text{in } \Omega \\ v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

$$1.1$$

Here, v is the mean field stream function of an incompressible turbulent Euler flow, the Borel probability measure  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}([-1,1])$  describes the vortex intensity distribution and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant related to the inverse temperature. The mean field equation (1.1) is derived from the classical Kirchhoff–Routh Hamiltonian for the N-point vortices system:

$$H^{N}(r_{1},\ldots,r_{N},x_{1},\ldots,x_{N}) = \sum_{i\neq j} r_{i}r_{j}G(x_{i},x_{j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i}^{2}H(x_{i},x_{i}),$$

in the limit  $N \to \infty$ , under the *stochastic* assumption that the  $r_i$ 's are independent identically distributed random variables with distribution  $\mathcal{P}$ . In the above formula, for  $x, y \in \Omega$ ,  $x \neq y$ , G(x, y) denotes the Green's function defined by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta G(\cdot,y) = \delta_y & \text{in } \Omega\\ G(\cdot,y) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

and H(x, y) denotes the regular part of G, i.e.

$$H(x,y) = G(x,y) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log|x-y|.$$
 1.2

Setting  $u := -\beta v$  and  $\lambda = -\beta$ , and assuming that

$$\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{P} \subset [0,1],$$
 1.3

problem (1.1) takes the form  $(*)_{\lambda}$ . We recall that

 $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{P} := \{ \alpha \in [-1,1] : \mathcal{P}(N) > 0 \text{ for any open neighborhood } N \text{ of } \alpha \}.$ 

Assumption (1.3) corresponds to the case of physical interest where all vorticities have the same orientation.

We observe that without loss of generality we may assume

$$1 \in \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{P}.$$
 1.4

Indeed, suppose that sup supp  $\mathcal{P} = \bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ . Then,  $(*)_{\lambda}$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda \frac{\int_{[0,\bar{\alpha}]} \alpha e^{\alpha u} \mathcal{P}(d\alpha)}{\iint_{[0,\bar{\alpha}] \times \Omega} e^{\alpha u} \mathcal{P}(d\alpha) dx} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

By the change of variables  $\alpha = \alpha' \bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}(A) = \mathcal{P}(\bar{\alpha}A)$  for all Borel sets  $A \subset [0, 1]$ , and setting  $\bar{u} = \bar{\alpha}u$ , we find that  $\bar{u}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \bar{u} = \bar{\alpha}^2 \lambda \frac{\int_{[0,1]} \alpha' e^{\alpha' \bar{u}} \,\bar{\mathcal{P}}(d\alpha')}{\iint_{[0,1] \times \Omega} e^{\alpha' \bar{u}} \,\bar{\mathcal{P}}(d\alpha') dx} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

which is nothing but  $(*)_{\bar{\alpha}^2\lambda}$ , with  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$  satisfying (1.4). Henceforth, we always assume (1.4).

When  $\mathcal{P}(d\alpha) = \delta_1(d\alpha)$  problem  $(*)_{\lambda}$  reduces to the *standard* mean field problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda \frac{e^u}{\int_{\Omega} e^u \, dx} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5024467

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5024467

Daneshyari.com