Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/na

## Criteria for the existence of a potential well

C.A. Stuart

Station 8, Département de mathématiques, EPFL, CH 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 January 2017 Accepted 3 April 2017 Communicated by Enzo Mitidieri

MSC: 49K27 49K20 35J70

Keywords: Local minimum Extremum Nonlinear eigenvalue problem

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \| \cdot \|)$  is a real Hilbert space and the objective is to formulate conditions ensuring that a critical point,  $u_0$ , of a functional  $f \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$  lies in a quadratic potential well. That is, there exist  $\xi > 0$  and r > 0 such that

 $f(u) \ge f(u_0) + \xi ||u - u_0||^2$  when  $||u - u_0|| < r$ .

If  $f \in C^2(H)$ , this occurs when  $f''(u_0)$  is positive definite. Here we deal with situations where f does have a second derivative at  $u_0$  in the sense of Gâteaux but not necessarily in the sense of Fréchet. In cases where f' is not Fréchet differentiable at  $u_0$ , positive definiteness of the second derivative does not even ensure that  $u_0$  is a local minimum of f. Additional conditions are formulated which imply that  $u_0$  lies in a quadratic potential well. The existence of a potential well rather than simply a local minimum has advantages in several situations. For example, it is a crucial requirement in establishing the stability of a stationary solution of a dynamical system in infinite dimensions using a Lyapunov function. (See Section 6.6 of [10], Section 4 of [2,9] for a discussion of this issue in the context of nonlinear elasticity.) Although the existence of a potential well, or even a local minimum, is not a prerequisite for what is often referred to as the mountain pass geometry

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2017.04.001} 0362-546 X @ 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$ 

ELSEVIER

ABSTRACT

We consider a critical point  $u_0$  of a functional  $f \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ , where H is a real Hilbert space, and formulate criteria ensuring that  $u_0$  lies in a potential well of fwithout supposing that f' is Fréchet differentiable at  $u_0$ . The derivative is required to be Gâteaux differentiable at  $u_0$ , but positive definiteness of  $f''(u_0)$  does not even ensure that f has a local minimum at  $u_0$  when f' is not Fréchet differentiable at  $u_0$ . This issue is also discussed in the context of the energy functional for a parameter dependent nonlinear eigenvalue problem and then for a particular case involving a degenerate elliptic Dirichlet problem on a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

 $\odot$  2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.





 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ charles.stuart@epfl.ch.$ 

in critical point theory, it is nonetheless a very convenient and commonly used starting point. (See Section 8.1 of [1] and Chapter 5 of [3].)

To present the results more precisely the following more or less standard terminology is adopted. For  $u_0 \in H$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$B(u_0, \delta) = \{ u \in H : ||u - u_0|| < \delta \} \text{ and } S(\delta) = \{ u \in H : ||u|| = \delta \}.$$

Consider  $f \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$  with gradient  $\nabla f : H \to H$  defined by  $f'(u)v = \langle \nabla f(u), v \rangle$  for all  $u, v \in H$ . To study the nature of a critical point of f, suppose without loss of generality that f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 0. We have chosen to place our discussion in the context of a  $C^1$  functional f since this is a minimal requirement for the methods used. However, it should be borne in mind that if f has one of the properties listed below and g is a functional such that g(0) = f(0) and  $g(u) \ge f(u)$  in some open neighbourhood of 0, then g has the same property. This places no restriction on the regularity of g.

The functional f has a local minimum at the critical point  $u_0 = 0$  if there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(u) \ge 0$  for all  $u \in B(0, \delta)$ . It is strict if f(u) > 0 when  $0 < ||u|| < \delta$ . The point 0 lies in a potential well of f if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that m(r) > 0 for all  $r \in (0, \delta)$  where  $m(r) = \inf\{f(u) : u \in S(r)\}$ . The content of these definitions is clarified in a short Appendix.

A potential well is said to be quadratic if  $\liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{m(r)}{r^2} > 0$ . Clearly 0 lies in a quadratic potential well of f if and only if there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $\xi > 0$  such that  $f(u) \ge \xi ||u||^2$  for all  $u \in B(0, \delta)$ . As is shown in the elementary Proposition 2.1, if  $\nabla f : H \to H$  is Fréchet differentiable at 0 with a self-adjoint derivative, 0 lies in a quadratic potential well of f if and only if f''(0) is positive definite. However, if  $\nabla f$  is only Gâteaux differentiable, or even Hadamard differentiable, at 0, positive definiteness of f''(0) does not even ensure that f has a local minimum at 0. See Section 2.1 for a simple example having this property and Corollary 4.2 for a more substantial one concerning a nonlinear Dirichlet problem. Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 give sufficient conditions for the existence of a quadratic potential well at 0 when  $\nabla f$  is Hadamard differentiable at 0, without requiring Fréchet differentiability of  $\nabla f$  at 0 when dim  $H = \infty$ . Let us now describe the contents of this paper in little more detail.

In Section 2 we deal with the case where  $\nabla f : H \to H$  is at least Gâteaux differentiable at the critical point  $u_0 = 0$  with a self-adjoint derivative, T. After some elementary observations based on the Taylor expansion have been collected in Proposition 2.1, the main results of Section 2 are Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 in which  $\nabla f$  is required to be Hadamard differentiable at 0. It must be acknowledged at the outset that these results can improve the conditions given in Proposition 2.1 only in cases where dim  $H = \infty$  and  $\inf \sigma(T) < \inf \sigma_e(T)$ , where  $\sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma_e(T)$  denote the spectrum and essential spectrum of T, respectively. In Theorem 2.2, f is also required to be the sum of a concave functional and a  $C^2$ -functional. No such decomposition is assumed in Theorem 2.3 but instead  $\nabla f$  should be Lipschitz continuous on a neighbourhood of 0. In Section 2.1 a simple example in the space  $H = L^2(0, 1)$  is considered. It shows that, in the context of these theorems, positive definiteness of f''(0) does not imply that f has a local minimum at 0. Furthermore, the example shows that the additional restrictions, (2.11) in Theorem 2.2 and (2.16) in Theorem 2.3, are sharp in some cases where the more elementary criteria from Proposition 2.1 are not.

Energy functionals play an important role in the study of many nonlinear eigenvalue problems. Then the functional depends on a real parameter,  $\lambda$ , and the situation where  $f_{\lambda}(0) = 0$  and  $f'_{\lambda}(0) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  is often encountered. The nature of the critical point  $u_0 = 0$  will now depend upon the location of  $\lambda$ . Section 3 is devoted to a problem of this type in a setting which is frequently used to discuss boundary value problems for elliptic partial differential equations. An example of a problem where the energy functional is of class  $C^1$  but the gradient is not Fréchet differentiable at the critical point is presented in Section 4. It concerns a degenerate elliptic Dirichlet problem such as

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5024629

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5024629

Daneshyari.com