Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/na

## Liouville type theorems for stable solutions of p-Laplace equation in $\mathbb{R}^{N \not\approx}$

Caisheng Chen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Hongxue Song<sup>a,b</sup>, Hongwei Yang<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, PR China

<sup>b</sup> College of Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, PR China <sup>c</sup> College of Mathematics and System Science, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao

266590, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 December 2016 Accepted 11 May 2017 Communicated by Enzo Mitidieri

MSC:35J60 35B53 35B33 35B45 Keywords:p-Laplace equation

Liouville type theorems

Stable solutions

ABSTRACT

and

In this paper we prove the Liouville type theorems for stable solution of the  $p\mbox{-}{\rm Laplace}$  equations

$$-\Delta_p u = f(x)e^u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{0.1}$$

$$\Delta_p u = f(x)u^{-q}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{0.2}$$

where  $2 \leq p < N, q > 0$  and the nonnegative function  $f(x) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $f(x) \geq c_0|x|^a$  as  $|x| \geq R_0$  with a > -p and some constants  $R_0, c_0 > 0$ . The results hold true for  $2 \leq N < \mu_0(p, a)$  in (0.1) and for  $q > q_c(p, N, a)$  in (0.2). Here  $\mu_0$  and  $q_c$  are new exponents, which are always large than the classical critical ones and depend on the parameters p, a and N.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and main results

In this paper we study the Liouville type theorems for stable solutions to the following equations

$$-\Delta_p u = f(x)e^u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\Delta_p u = f(x)u^{-q}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

 $\stackrel{\,\,{}_{\scriptstyle \wedge}}{}$  This work is supported by NSFC (No. 11571092).

\* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2017.05.004 0362-546X/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.







E-mail addresses: cshengchen@hhu.edu.cn (C. Chen), songhx@njupt.edu.cn (H. Song), hwyang1979@163.com (H. Yang).

where  $\Delta_p u = div(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  is the *p*-Laplacian operator and  $2 \le p < N$ ,  $f(x) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is nonnegative. The exact assumption on f(x) will be given in  $(H_1)$  below.

**Definition 1.1** (see [20]). Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a regular domain (bounded or not) and  $g(x, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  function for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ . We say that u is a weak solution of

$$-\Delta_p u = g(x, u), \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

if  $u\in C^{1,\omega}_{loc}(\varOmega)(0<\omega<1)$  verifies  $g(x,u)\in L^1_{loc}(\varOmega)$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \zeta dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \zeta dx, \quad \forall \zeta \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$
(1.4)

Let u be a weak solution of (1.3). We say that u is stable if  $g_u(x, u) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  and

$$Q_u(\zeta) \coloneqq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx + (p-2) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-4} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta)^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} g_u(x,u) \zeta^2 dx \ge 0, \tag{1.5}$$

for every  $\zeta \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ .

The Morse index of a solution u, i(u) is defined as the maximal dimension of all subspace X of  $C_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $Q_u(\zeta) < 0$  for any  $\zeta \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . Clearly, u is stable if and only if i(u) = 0.

Furthermore, a weak solution u of  $-\Delta_p u = g(x, u)$  in  $\Omega$  is said to be stable outside a compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subset \Omega$ if  $Q_u(\zeta) \ge 0$  for any  $\zeta \in C_0^1(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{K})$ . Recall that any finite Morse index solution u is stable outside a compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subset \Omega$ .

We note that the  $C^{1,\omega}$  regularity assumption is natural to the solution of (1.3) due to the results in [6,15,19].

**Remark 1.1.** If u is a stable weak solution of (1.1), then it follows from (1.5) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) e^u \zeta^2 dx \le (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx, \quad \forall \zeta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$
(1.6)

Similarly, if u is a stable positive solution of (1.2), we have from (1.5) that

$$q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) u^{-q-1} \zeta^2 dx \le (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx, \quad \forall \zeta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

$$(1.7)$$

We recall that Liouville type theorem is the nonexistence of nontrivial solution in the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The classical Liouville theorem stated that a bounded harmonic (or holomorphic) function defined in entire space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  must be constant. This theorem, known as Liouville Theorem, was first announced in 1844 by Liouville [16] for the special case of a doubly-periodic function. Later in the same year, Cauchy [2] published the first proof of the above stated theorem. In 1981, Gidas and Spruck established in pioneering article [12] the optimal Liouville type result for nonnegative solutions of equation

$$-\Delta u = |x|^a u^q, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(1.8)

They proved that (1.8) with a = 0 and q > 1 has no positive solution if and only if  $1 < q < q_s = \frac{N+2}{N-2} (=\infty)$  if N = 2.

The case  $a \neq 0$  is less understood. Let us introduce the Hardy–Sobolev exponent

$$q_s(a) = \frac{N+2+2a}{N-2}; \ q_s(a) = \infty, \quad \text{if } N = 2.$$
 (1.9)

In the class of radial solutions, the Liouville property was solved [1,18].

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5024705

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5024705

Daneshyari.com