

# People have feelings! Exercise psychology in paradigmatic transition

Panteleimon Ekkekakis

Exercise psychology has yet to produce intervention methods capable of increasing exercise and physical activity behavior in a sustainable manner. This situation is forcing a critical reevaluation of current conceptual models, especially the assumption that behavioral decisions are driven solely by the rational evaluation of information. Like other behavioral sciences, exercise psychology is transitioning to dual-process models that acknowledge the importance of non-reflective processes. Emerging evidence suggests that the pleasure or displeasure experienced during exercise may influence subsequent physical activity. These data raise the possibility of inactivity resulting from a conflict between positively evaluated information on health benefits and unpleasant affective experiences. Thus, researchers must devise methods to make exercise and physical activity more pleasant and enjoyable across the lifespan.

## Address

Department of Kinesiology, Iowa State University, USA

Corresponding author: Ekkekakis, Panteleimon ([ekkekaki@iastate.edu](mailto:ekkekaki@iastate.edu))

**Current Opinion in Psychology** 2017, **16**:84–88

This review comes from a themed issue on **Sport psychology**

Edited by **Peter J Beek**, **Vana Hutter** and **Raoul Oudejans**

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#) and the [Editorial](#)

Available online 27th April 2017

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.03.018>

2352-250X/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Exercise psychology is a relatively young field of scientific inquiry, with a history of less than half a century. One of its main research directions examines the effects of psychological factors (*e.g.*, motivation) and interventions on exercise and physical activity behavior. Despite considerable progress, this research direction has yet to yield findings that can be translated to behavior-change interventions likely to be of appreciable value at the societal level. This is a consequential failure, with measurable impact on global public health. It is estimated that, if physical inactivity could be reduced by 10% worldwide, this could avert more than a half-million deaths annually [1]. However, so far, there have been no ‘success stories’ of population-level increases in physical activity of this

magnitude—or even close to this magnitude. Interventions to promote physical activity in various settings have only small positive effects that diminish over time [2,3].

Moreover, there is proliferating evidence that the severity of the problem of physical inactivity had been underestimated. For example, earlier surveys based on self-reports of physical activity estimated that 30–50% of the adult population in the United States could be characterized as adequately active [4]. However, based on objective assessments with accelerometers from the 2005–2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, the prevalence of adequate physical activity is only between 3.2% [5] and 9.6% [6]. Similarly, based on exercise intervention studies, which are of limited duration and typically include components designed to prevent attrition, the average rate of dropout from exercise had been estimated as 45% [7]. However, newer estimates from community or commercial exercise programs reveal that dropout in real-life settings is likely higher. For example, according to attendance and membership records of 5240 members of a fitness center in Brazil, dropout reached 47% already by the second month, 86% by the sixth month, and was nearly complete (96%) by the twelfth month [8].

Public health campaigns utilizing social marketing techniques focused on education can be credited with several notable accomplishments over the past few decades, including decreases in the rates of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease, the transmission of HIV, and cigarette smoking [9]. Experts have argued that the promotion of physical activity has not received an investment of resources proportional to its societal importance [10,11]. While this may be a valid point, the persistent lack of interventions that can reliably increase physical activity and prevent dropout is a source of puzzlement and frustration for public-health researchers and practitioners.

## Limitations of the rational-educational model

This situation is forcing a reconsideration of the fundamental assumptions that have been underpinning physical activity promotion interventions. In the standard framework of public health campaigns, human beings are modeled as rational thinkers who systematically collect, rationally evaluate, and reliably act upon information that is relevant to their prime objective, namely the promotion of their self-interest (*i.e.*, staying alive, healthy, and happy). Intervention methods based on these

theoretical models thus follow a ‘rational-educational’ model [12]. If individuals are provided with correct, complete, and engagingly presented information related to the behavior, the expectation is that individuals will change their behavior in the desired direction. For decades, campaigns to promote physical activity have adhered to this approach, focusing on such factors as raising awareness of the anticipated benefits compared to costs, strengthening confidence in the ability to maintain regular physical activity, and pointing out available sources of social support. As an example, the recommendation by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American College of Sports Medicine culminated in this call to action, which reflects the notion that educating the population about the health benefits of regular physical activity is the avenue that leads to behavior change: “Successfully changing our sedentary society into an active one will require effective dissemination and acceptance of the message that moderate physical activity confers health benefits” (p. 405) [13].

Contrary to this expectation, it now appears that, possibly more than any other health behavior, physical activity is disconnected from the awareness of its health benefits. The fact that physical activity is widely recognized as a ‘best buy’ in public health but is proving to be a ‘tough sell’ to the public represents a challenging paradox [14]. In the United States, 97% adults reported that they considered the lack of physical activity a health risk factor (52% as ‘very important,’ 37% as ‘important,’ 8% as ‘somewhat important’) [15]. However, as noted earlier, nationwide surveys based on objective measurement of physical activity with accelerometers showed that more than 90% of American adults were less active than the minimum level recommended for health promotion [5,6]. In a 2008 nationwide survey of Canadians aged 15 years and older, 78% gave the highest score (7 out of 7: ‘very strongly agree’) in response to a question on whether physical activity helps to prevent heart disease [16]. However, based on data collected with accelerometers between 2007 and 2009, only 4.8% did at least 30 min of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, accumulated in bouts of at least 10 min, on at least 5 days per week and 15.4% did at least 150 min per week of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity accumulated in bouts of at least 10 min [17]. In Britain, 89% of men and 91% of women expressed the belief that physical activity confers meaningful health benefits [18] but 94% and 96%, respectively, were not physically active at the minimum recommended level [19].

The field of public health is now beginning to come to terms with the realization that its standard operating approach based on education has failed in the case of physical activity. According to the Lancet Physical Activity Series Working Group (the qualifiers ‘to some extent’ and ‘so far’ notwithstanding), “the traditional public

health approach based on evidence and exhortation has – to some extent – been unsuccessful so far” (p. 254) [20]. In essence, the field is facing a paradigmatic crisis, as it is becoming apparent that the theoretical modeling is inconsistent with the available data [21]. Paradigmatic crises provide fertile ground for scientific advances. Since the ‘information processing’ paradigm that stands to be replaced has been the sole perspective through which health behaviors have been conceptualized for over a half century, it is reasonable to anticipate a long period of resistance and ‘essential tension’ between ‘tradition’ and ‘innovation’ [22].

### Human rationality: bounded or unbounded?

The crucial question at this stage is this: if health behaviors are not driven solely by the deliberative contemplation of information by rational actors, what other factors are involved and how do these ‘other factors’ interact with the rational/deliberative processes of the human mind? Exercise psychology can utilize the experience gathered in fields that have already questioned the validity of the assumption of rationality and have attempted the transition to the postcognitivist era. The fact that human beings frequently act in ways that are inconsistent with or contrary to their self-interests, even when possessing information about the deleterious consequences of their actions or inactions, is becoming more widely recognized in behavioral economics [23,24], certain areas of psychology [25], and in the study of health behavior [26•,27,28,29•]. Experimental manipulations designed to demonstrate that human choices often violate the assumption of rationality and tend to rely instead on certain well-characterized heuristics (*i.e.*, shortcuts or simplified rules that are prone to errors) yield robust results in the predicted direction in a variety of contexts, including exercise [30•].

### Reemergence of affect as an important driver of behavior

It is becoming apparent to a growing number of researchers that what has been missing in current thinking on the psychological processes that drive physical activity behavior is consideration of the motivational and demotivational role of affect. The first voices have started to emerge calling for a shift of emphasis in the messages used to promote physical activity, from providing data on long-term health benefits to simpler messages focusing on short-term affective regulation. For example, in the field of public health, de Souto Barreto [31] wrote: “since health-based models have failed to promote physical activity to the extent necessary, we must shift the argument from the finality or utility of physical activity (*i.e.*, promoting health) to what a person experiences when physically active . . . Information on the health benefits of physical activity should be part of this new model, but not the central part” (p. 390). Expressing essentially the same perspective from observations from the field of

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5033485>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5033485>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)