

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

IIRM

International Journal of Research in Marketing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijresmar



Full Length Article

The impact of instant reward programs and bonus premiums on consumer purchase behavior



Alec Minnema ^{a,*}, Tammo H.A. Bijmolt ^b, Mariëlle C. Non ^c

- ^a Ordina VisionWorks, P.O. Box 2025, NL-9704 CA Groningen, The Netherlands
- b University of Groningen, Faculty of Economics and Business, Department of Marketing, P.O. Box 800, NL-9700 AV, Groningen, The Netherlands
- ^c CPB Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis, P.O. Box 80510, 2508 GM, Den Haag, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

First received on March 20, 2014 and was under review for 9 months Available online 26 August 2016

Area Editor: Kusum Ailawadi

Keywords:

Instant reward programs Bonus premiums Loyalty program designs Promotions Grocery retailing Consumer purchase behavior

ABSTRACT

This study examines the impact of an instant reward program (IRP) with bonus premiums on consumer purchase behavior. An IRP is a rapidly growing form of short-term program that rewards consumers instantly with small premiums per fixed spending, where these premiums are part of a larger set of collectibles. A supplementary element in many IRPs promotes specific brands with an extra premium, labeled bonus premiums. Bonus premiums are the extra premiums consumers can earn by buying a specific promoted brand, which is a non-price promotion tied to the IRP. Therefore, consumers can earn premiums in two ways: based on total spending and on purchases of promoted brands. To test the effects of these marketing instruments, this study uses Dutch household panel data related to purchases of 23 product categories spanning four supermarket chains. We decompose consumer purchase behavior by modeling the number of shopping trips, category-level purchase incidence, brand choice, and purchase quantity. The results show that an IRP results in incremental shopping trips. Promoting a brand with a bonus premium and price discount compared to just a price discount results in higher choice probabilities for the promoted brand. Finally, the IRP and bonus premium are especially effective for households that collect the premiums, but we also find positive albeit smaller effects for non-collecting households.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Retailers seek instruments to generate consumer excitement and stimulate sales. Many retailers (see Table 1) adopted a new reward program design, namely a short-term program that rewards consumers instantly with small premiums per fixed spending. We label this program design instant reward program (IRP). As Table 1 shows, the IRP instrument has been adopted by many different retailers in many different countries. For example, in Woolworths Dreamworks Heroes promotion, consumers receive cards featuring characters from popular Dreamworks movies for every \$20 they spend. The 42 cards fit together in a complementary album (see Table 1 for more examples and Appendix A for an advertisement from an IRP). Thus, an IRP is a rapidly growing form of short-term program that rewards consumers instantly with small premiums per fixed spending, where these premiums are part of a larger set of collectibles.

Marketing instruments that reward consumer purchase behavior can be characterized by three dimensions: reward timing, the collectability of rewards, and the basis on which rewards can be earned (Blattberg, Kim, & Neslin, 2008; Dowling & Uncles, 1997;

E-mail addresses: alec.minnema@ordina.nl (A. Minnema), t.h.a.bijmolt@rug.nl (T.H.A. Bijmolt), M.C.Non@cpb.nl (M.ËC. Non).

^{*} Corresponding author.

Table 1 Examples of instant reward programs.

| Retailer | Year | Country | Promotion name | Premium per |
|--------------|------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Delhaize | 2011 | Belgium | Smurfenactie | €20 |
| Nah & Frisch | 2012 | Austria | Disney Pixar Karten | €10 |
| Rewe | 2012 | Germany | Unsere Erde | €10 |
| Billa | 2012 | Italy | Alliga Joe | €20 |
| 7-Eleven | 2013 | Singapore | Team Marvel | \$24 |
| Lidl | 2014 | France | Stikeez | €15 |
| Cactus | 2014 | Luxembourg | Brazil'oos | €10 |
| Woolworths | 2014 | Australia | Dreamworks Heroes | \$20 |
| Migros | 2015 | Switzerland | Swiss Mania | CHF20 |
| Plus | 2015 | Netherlands | Minions | €15 |
| Pick n Pay | 2015 | South Africa | Stikeez | R150 |
| Lidl | 2015 | Portugal | Super Gang dos Frescos | €10 |

Yi & Jeon, 2003; see Table 2). An IRP differs from conventional, frequency reward programs (FRPs) in terms of both timing and collectability: consumers *instantly* receive rewards that are *collectable*, instead of receiving an *uncollectable delayed* reward (e.g., "Buy 10 times, get 1 free"; Kivetz, Urminsky, & Zheng, 2006). The effectiveness of the conventional FRPs is debatable (Dowling & Uncles, 1997; Zhang & Breugelmans, 2012). Some studies reported significant positive effects (e.g., Taylor & Neslin, 2005), while others have not found a significant effect (e.g., Mägi, 2003). In addition, program designs that provide instant rewards are most preferred by consumers (Yi & Jeon, 2003). Therefore, it is important to study how effective program designs with an instant reward scheme are in stimulating sales.

As a supplementary element, many IRPs included promotions of specific brands using a bonus premium; see Appendix A for a feature advertisement with bonus premium. In such programs, consumers can earn premiums in two ways: based on the total purchase amount (e.g., a premium per every \$20) and on their purchases of the promoted brand (e.g., buy Coca-Cola and get an additional premium). Hence, bonus premiums are the extra premiums consumers can earn by buying a specific promoted brand, which is a non-price promotion tied to the IRP.

The closest analog to a bonus premium is a free gift promotion: a different item offered for free when purchasing the core product, e.g., a free glass when buying a six-pack of Heineken beer (d'Astous & Jacob, 2002; Laran & Tsiros, 2013). Free gifts are typically offered by a manufacturer for their brand only (Gedenk, Neslin, & Ailawadi, 2006) and not part of a larger set of collectibles (see for example the framework used by d'Astous & Jacob 2002, p. 1286). Previous studies showed that a free gift increases the perceived value of the promoted brand (Palmeira & Srivastava, 2013). In contrast to free gifts, bonus premiums can be used by retailers for multiple brands simultaneously and are part of a larger set of collectables (Table 2). Consumers are more motivated to obtain rewards they collect (Gao, Huang, & Simonson, 2014) and hence they might react more strongly to bonus premiums compared to a free gift when they already own a number of premiums. The use of the bonus premiums constitutes an innovative example of a non-price promotion; by studying them, we add to the growing body of research that considers promotional forms other than regular price discounts (Ailawadi, Gedenk, Langer, Ma, & Neslin, 2014; Kim, Natter, & Spann, 2014).

The goal of this study is to examine the effectiveness of IRPs with bonus premiums. Despite the increased use of these instruments and the fundamental differences with FRPs and free gifts in terms of reward timing and collectability (see Table 2), academics and practitioners have limited knowledge of their effects and we believe we can contribute considerably here. A second contribution is that this study examines the effect of a reward program in combination with promotions. Most existing literature examined program designs which reward consumers only with points/rewards for total spending. Very little research has addressed the effect of promotions within a rewards program (Breugelmans et al., 2015; Grewal et al., 2011). The use of multiple reward-earning bases is particularly interesting because the bonus premium may stimulate brand switching, whereas the IRP may stimulate making trips to the store. A third contribution of this study is the use of actual purchase data. So far, research on premium and free gift promotions is almost exclusively based on experiments (e.g., Gao et al., 2014; Laran & Tsiros, 2013; Simonson, Carmon, & O'Curry, 1994). This is particularly important given that promotions involving premiums and free gifts constitute a general retail trend; overall consumer spending on such promotions reached \$45.8 billion in 2009 (Laran & Tsiros, 2013).

More specifically, this study aims to address the following questions: How effective are the IRP and bonus premium on increasing the components of sales? As retailers and manufacturers benefit differently from changes in consumer purchase behavior, it is important to understand the effects of the IRP and bonus premiums on store trips, category incidence, brand choice, and purchase quantity to provide valuable insights to both retailer and manufacturer. What is the effect of these instruments for households

Table 2Comparison of IRP and bonus premiums with other marketing reward instruments.

| Marketing instrument | Reward timing | Collectability | Reward earning basis |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| IRP | Instantly after purchase | Collectable | Total spending |
| FRP | After milestone completion | Non-collectable | Total spending |
| Bonus premium | Instantly after purchase | Collectable | Brand purchase |
| Free gift | Instantly after purchase | Non-collectable | Brand purchase |

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5033721

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5033721

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>