## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Optimal Self-Protection in Two Periods: On the Role of

**Endogenous Saving** 

Author: Richard Peter

PII: S0167-2681(17)30025-2

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jebo.2017.01.017

Reference: JEBO 3970

To appear in: Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization

Received date: 4-5-2016 Revised date: 23-1-2017 Accepted date: 27-1-2017

Please cite this article as: Richard Peter, Optimal Self-Protection in Two Periods: On the Role of Endogenous Saving, <![CDATA[Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization]]> (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2017.01.017

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Optimal Self-Protection in Two Periods:

On the Role of Endogenous Saving

Richard Peter\*

January 23, 2017

#### Abstract

Self-protection is a costly investment to reduce the probability of loss. This paper studies optimal self-protection in a two-period model with endogenous saving. In a setting with a binary loss we show that prudence is negatively associated with the optimal level of self-protection, consistent with results obtained in single-period models. We provide intuition for this finding with the help of a recent approach based on stochastic dominance. Furthermore, we determine the effect of interest rate risk on optimal self-protection and study a model in which a decision-maker engages in advance effort to increase the probability of facing a better risky situation. All our results suggest that the understanding of advance self-protection crucially hinges on whether the decision-maker also uses saving to optimize intertemporal consumption utility. If so, the fact that self-protection expenditures are upfront turns out to be irrelevant.

 $\textit{Keywords:}\ \text{downside risk} \cdot \text{prudence} \cdot \text{risk} \cdot \text{risk aversion} \cdot \text{saving} \cdot \text{self-protection}$ 

JEL-Classification: D61 · D81 · D91

<sup>\*</sup>University of Iowa, Department of Finance, E-Mail: richard-peter@uiowa.edu, Phone: 319-335-0944.

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5034552

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5034552

Daneshyari.com