



Journal of Retailing 92 (4, 2016) 470-485



A Model for Inferring Market Preferences from Online Retail Product Information Matrices

Timothy J. Gilbride^{a,*}, Imran S. Currim^b, Ofer Mintz^c, S. Siddarth^d

^a Mendoza College of Business, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, United States

^b Paul Merage School of Business, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, United States

^c E. J. Ourso College of Business, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, United States

^d Marshall School of Business, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, United States

Available online 16 August 2016

Abstract

This research extends information display board methods, currently employed to study information processing patterns in laboratory settings, to a field based setting that also yields managerially useful estimates of market preferences. A new model is proposed based on statistical, behavioral, and economic theories, which integrates three decisions consumers must make in this context: which product-attribute to inspect next, when to stop processing, and which, if any, product to purchase. Several theoretical options are considered on how to model product attribute selection and how to treat uninspected attributes. The modeling options are empirically tested employing datasets collected at a popular e-tailer's website, while customers were making product evaluation and purchase decisions. Subsequent to identifying the best model, we show how the resulting attribute preference estimates can be managerially employed to improve customer targeting of abandoned shopping carts for follow up communications aimed at improving sales conversions.

© 2016 New York University. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Choice models; Information processing; E-commerce; Sequential search; Expected utility

A large number of retailer websites (i.e., Apple, Nikon, Dell, Ford, Best Buy, etc.) organize or have a feature that allows customers to organize product-attribute information at the point-of-purchase in the matrix form reminiscent of information display boards (IDBs) widely employed in lab-based information processing research (see Fig. 1). In this research, we update the IDB methodology to an online format and propose and estimate an econometric model that infers attribute importance weights from consumers making durable goods purchases at a vertically integrated e-tailer's website. Product information matrices require shoppers to make a sequence of decisions: (i) which product-attribute to inspect next, (ii) when to stop processing information, and (iii) which, if any, product to purchase. Our model-based, multi-disciplinary approach incorporates statistical, behavioral, and economic theories to estimate

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: tgilbrid@nd.edu (T.J. Gilbride), iscurrim@uci.edu (I.S. Currim), omintz@lsu.edu (O. Mintz), siddarth@usc.edu (S. Siddarth).

attribute importance weights using data from this sequence of three decisions.

Determining the relative importance of product attributes is one of the canonical problems in marketing management. However, as Feit, Beltramo, and Feinberg (2010) discuss, both market and lab based techniques to measure preferences have their shortcomings. Specifically, while market data comes from consumers making actual decisions, it often lacks the variability in attribute levels needed to estimate attribute preference weights. On the other hand, survey and lab based methods such as conjoint analysis provide the necessary variation in product attributes, but produce inconsistencies between predictions and actual market outcomes, suggesting that respondents may not make "hypothetical survey choices exactly as they make purchase decisions" (pp. 785–786). Our research attempts to overcome these shortcomings by showing how IDB data collected by e-tailers outside the lab and with relatively little attribute level variation, can still yield meaningful market preferences for attributes provided consumer decisions are modeled in an integrated manner. We anticipate that the proposed method will be of most interest

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2016.07.002

0022-4359/© 2016 New York University. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

BEST Search				Español My Account	
BUY	h by Keyword, SKU #	or Item #	Q Stor	Store Locator Weekly Deals	
PRODUCTS	SERVICES	SHOPS & DE	ALS GIFTS	Please create an accour	
	но	T JULY BLACK F	RIDAY Happening now. P	lus free shipping. Shop now >	
	E				
PRODUCTS		×		× >	
	System 100mm Model: 2 SKU: 7800 Sale: \$84 Reg. Price	7042	Adde to Cart	Nikon - 1 V2 Compact System Camera with 10- bomb 27604 SMMC 127604 Sale: \$799.99 Sale: \$799.99 Be Price: \$399.99 C Add to Cart	
Megapixels (Effective) 14.2		24.1	14.2	
Megapixels (Total)	15.13		24.71	15.13	
Image Resolution	Up to 460	3 x 3072	Up to 6000 x 4000	Up to 4608 x 3072	
Optical Zoom	10x		Зх	Зх	
Digital Zoom	None		None	None	
Lens Features	lens; 19 e diaphragn elements; internal fo	VR telephoto zoom ements in 12 groups; 7 1 blades; 3 aspherical 2 ED glass elements; cusing; 55mm filter; 77° angle of view; 10x	DX-format lens; 11 elements in groups (1 aspherical); 7 diaphragm blades; super integrated coating; Silent Wave Motor	8 CX-format with 12 lens element in 9 groups, including 3 aspherical elements; 7 aperture blades; Nikon Super Integrated Coating; silent-stepping AF motor; 40.5mm filter size	
Lens Focal Length(s)	10-100mr 270mm)	n (35mm equivalent 27-	18-55mm	10-30mm (35mm equivalent 27 81mm)	
LCD Screen Size	3"		3"	3"	
Product Height	Camera: 2	.4"; lens: 2.4"	3.9"	Body: 3.2"; 10-30mm lens: 2.3"	
Product Width	Camera: 4	"; lens: 2.4"	5.1"	Body: 4.2"; 10-30mm lens: 2.3"	
Product Weight	Camera: 7	.1 oz.; lens: 10.5 oz.	1.2 lbs.	Body: 9.8 oz.; 10-30mm lens: 4 oz.	
Product Depth	Camera: 1	.1"; lens: 2.8"	3.1"	Body: 1.8"; 10-30mm lens: 1.7"	
AVAILABILITY					
Shipping:	Shipping warehous Estimate A	Usually leaves our e in 1 business day rrival Time	Shipping: Usually leaves our warehouse in 1 business day Estimate Arrival Time	Shipping: Usually leaves our warehouse in 1 business day Estimate Arrival Time	
Store Pickup	Store Pic stores Ch	kup: Available at most eck Stores	Store Pickup: Available at mo stores Check Stores	st Store Pickup: Available at mo stores Check Stores	
PRODUCTS		×		× .	
	Model: 2	1.J.3.Compact VR Lons VR Lons 7667 77649 5057 \$1.049.99	Model: 1503 BKU: 7222218 BKU: 7222218 BKU: 7222218 BKU: 7222218 BKU: 7222218 BKU: 7222218 BKU: 7222218 BKB: Price: 58399.99	Nikon - 1 V2 Compact South Carners Medical 27604 SkU: 7039094 SkU: 7039094 Sale: 2790,93 Reg. Price: 5399,99 Reg. Price: 5399,99	

Fig. 1. Online shopping example at Best Buy.

to e-tailers vertically integrated with manufacturers. However, the ease of implementing the online IDB make it attractive for other e-tailers to collect this information to collaborate with their suppliers on product design, pricing, and advertising.

IDBs have been extensively used to study how consumers process product attribute information (e.g., see Bettman, Luce, and Payne 1998; Payne, Bettman, and Johnson 1993 for reviews) but have not been used to infer product attribute weights. Yang, Toubia, and De Jong (2015) and Meißner, Musalem, and Huber (2016) have shown how using eye-tracking technology and modeling the information processing of subjects can be used to improve holdout sample predictions in a laboratory based conjoint study. However, they rely on specialized equipment, experimental methods, and do not test their model's predictions with actual market outcomes. The current research uses data from shoppers who made a single visit to an e-tailer's website and models their information processing and choices on an online IDB. The proposed method is not necessarily a substitute for other lab based studies, but it does leverage data that can uniquely be collected at the point-of-purchase by e-tailers.

Consumers can learn about products and their attribute-levels from a variety of sources through both active and passive learning over a period of time. We assume that the cumulative effect of all past learning and search can be summarized at a point in time by a consumer's current preferences for particular attributes and current expected level and range of attribute values in the market. So for instance, someone shopping for a car would know the relative importance (to them) of horsepower, fuel economy, price, etc. and might expect that the fuel economy for subcompact cars to range from 30 to 35 miles per gallon, say. We do not model the consumer's learning process over time or the source of their preferences; we assume that the data to model this would Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5035051

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5035051

Daneshyari.com