



Review article

The neuropathological signature of bulbar-onset ALS: A systematic review



S. Shellikeri^{a,b,*}, V. Karthikeyan^{a,b}, R. Martino^{a,c,d}, S.E. Black^{b,e,f,g,h}, L. Zinman^{b,f,g}, J. Keith^{b,i,j}, Y. Yunusova^{a,b,k}

^a Department of Speech–Language Pathology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^b Hurvitz Brain Sciences Research Program, Sunnybrook Research Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^c Health Care and Outcomes Research, Krembil Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^d Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^e L.C. Campbell Cognitive Neurology Research Unit, Sunnybrook Health Sciences, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^f Department of Medicine, Neurology, Sunnybrook Health Sciences, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^g Department of Medicine, Neurology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^h Heart & Stroke Foundation Canadian Partnership for Stroke Recovery, Sunnybrook Health Sciences, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ⁱ Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, Sunnybrook Health Sciences, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^j Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^k University Health Network – Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 November 2016

Received in revised form 24 January 2017

Accepted 31 January 2017

Available online 2 February 2017

Keywords:

Bulbar ALS

Systematic review

Neuropathology

Histopathology

Cognitive/language impairments

ALS subtypes

ABSTRACT

ALS is a multisystem disorder affecting motor and cognitive functions. Bulbar-onset ALS (bALS) may be preferentially associated with cognitive and language impairments, compared with spinal-onset ALS (sALS), stemming from a potentially unique neuropathology. The objective of this systematic review was to compare neuropathology findings reported for bALS and sALS subtypes in studies of cadaveric brains. Using Cochrane guidelines, we reviewed articles in MEDLINE, Embase, and PsycINFO databases using standardized search terms for ALS and neuropathology, from inception until July 16th 2016. 17 studies were accepted for analysis. The analysis revealed that both subtypes presented with involvement in motor and frontotemporal cortices, deep cortical structures, and cerebellum and were characterized by neuronal loss, spongiosis, myelin pallor, and ubiquitin+ and TDP43+ inclusion bodies. Changes in Broca and Wernicke areas – regions associated with speech and language processing – were noted exclusively in bALS. Further, some bALS cases presented with atypical pathology such as neurofibrillary tangles and basophilic inclusions, which were not found in sALS cases. Given the limited number of studies, all with methodological biases, further work is required to better understand neuropathology of ALS subtypes.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	379
2. Methods	379
2.1. Operational definitions	379
2.2. Search methodology	380
2.3. Study selection	380
2.4. Quality assessment	380
2.5. Data extraction	380
3. Results	380
3.1. Literature retrieval	380
3.2. Study characteristics	380

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sanjana.shellikeri@mail.utoronto.ca (S. Shellikeri).

3.3.	Studies allowing direct comparison between bALS and sALS.....	382
3.3.1.	Study characteristics.....	382
3.3.2.	Comparison of clinical outcomes between bALS and sALS.....	382
3.3.3.	Critical appraisal.....	383
3.3.4.	Comparison of neuropathology between bALS and sALS.....	383
3.4.	Neuropathology in bALS-only studies.....	385
3.4.1.	Study characteristics.....	385
3.4.2.	Clinical characteristics.....	386
3.4.3.	Critical appraisal.....	386
3.4.4.	Neuropathology of bALS.....	386
4.	Discussion.....	387
4.1.	Differential involvement of Speech/language regions in bALS.....	388
4.2.	Atypical pathological features in some bALS cases.....	389
4.3.	Quality assessment: limitations of existing studies.....	389
4.4.	Suggestions for future studies.....	389
4.5.	Conclusions.....	389
	Funding.....	390
	Appendix A.....	390
	Electronic Search Strategies. Original search was conducted Sept. 1, 2015 (shown) and updated July 12, 2016.....	390
	References.....	390

1. Introduction

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that affects upper and lower motor neurons in the brain, brainstem, and spinal cord, but has also been associated with extra-motor (i.e., cognitive and language) impairments, similar to those found in frontotemporal dementia (FTD) (Phukan et al., 2012; Schreiber et al., 2005). ALS has two typical presentations at disease onset – approximately 70% of patients present initially with the spinal form of the disease, characterized by muscle weakness and atrophy in the limbs and trunk, when the remaining patients present with bulbar changes, affecting speech and swallowing musculature (Bonduelle, 1975). Nearly 85% of patients with spinal-onset ALS, however, exhibit bulbar changes with disease progression (Armon and Moses, 1998; Haverkamp et al., 1995). Approximately 50% of all patients diagnosed with ALS show cognitive and language impairments, while 10% of the patients present with clear signs of FTD (Massman et al., 1996; Ringholz et al., 2005). ALS is a complex disorder with considerable heterogeneity across affected individuals (Green et al., 2013; Robert et al., 1999). This heterogeneity is not well understood, however. Addressing the heterogeneity by developing accurate means of patient subtyping (Brooks et al., 1991) is essential for providing more targeted approaches to treatment development, recruitment into clinical trials, and disease management in a clinic (e.g., early identification of bulbar disease in order to plan supportive interventions and predict disease progression).

Bulbar ALS is arguably the most devastating variant of the disease as it is characterized by the fastest decline, the shortest survival (<2 years post diagnosis), and a significantly reduced quality of life (Goldstein et al., 2002; Mitsumoto and Del Bene, 2000). In addition to the rapid motor decline, some neuroimaging and behavioural studies have observed that bulbar ALS may present with an increased burden of cognitive/language impairments (Lomen-Hoerth et al., 2003; Massman et al., 1996; Ogawa et al., 2009; Ota et al., 2005; Schreiber et al., 2005; Sterling et al., 2010; Strong et al., 1999). This latter finding remains disputed, however (Gordon et al., 2010a; Taylor et al., 2013). Two hypotheses have been proposed regarding the association between motor and extramotor abnormalities in ALS, in relation to the disease subtype: 1) it has been suggested that the site of symptom onset may be related to the burden of extra motor impairments, with bulbar-onset ALS showing a unique neurodegenerative profile associated with specific and concomitant extramotor impairments (Ichikawa

et al., 2008a; Kato et al., 1994; Lomen-Hoerth et al., 2003; Massman et al., 1996; Ogawa et al., 2009; Portet et al., 2001; Schreiber et al., 2005; Strong et al., 1999); and 2) the presence of bulbar motor dysfunction, regardless of site of onset, may be associated with extramotor impairments (Massman et al., 1996; Ota et al., 2005; Ringholz et al., 2005; Sterling et al., 2010). Neither of the two hypotheses has been investigated neuropathologically in cadaveric brain tissue.

Studies that examined the underlying neuropathology in cases with cognitive and language impairments showed that ALS cases typically present with frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) (Geser et al., 2010; Liscic et al., 2008). The pathology in the frontotemporal regions consisted of neuronal loss, marked gliosis, and intraneuronal inclusion bodies that were positive for ubiquitin and TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43) (Arai et al., 2006; Liscic et al., 2008; Neumann et al., 2006). The severity and distribution of TDP-43 in the brain has been shown to be well-correlated with antemortem cognitive profiles, often giving insight into the phenotypic presentations of the disease and representing a clinicopathologic spectrum (Mackenzie and Feldman, 2003; Mackenzie, 2007; Prudlo et al., 2016; Yoshida, 2004) that ranges from pure motor neuron disease to frontotemporal dementia. The underlying neuropathology, however, has not been well-characterized in the context of bulbar- versus spinal-onset subtypes in the existing literature. An examination of the neuropathological findings from the subtype perspective might shed light into the underlying similarities and/or differences in clinical disease presentations.

This study aimed to contribute to our understanding of ALS subtypes through neuropathological studies of cadaveric brains, and elucidate whether these subtypes are neuropathologically distinct or lie within a spectrum of the same disease. To do this, we conducted a systematic review investigating similarities and differences between neuropathological profiles of bulbar-onset ALS (bALS) and spinal-onset ALS (sALS) by regional distribution and types of pathology.

2. Methods

2.1. Operational definitions

Our search was guided by the following operational definitions, determined a priori: *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis*, defined as a progressive neurological disease with upper and lower motor neuron involvement determined by clinical, electrophysiological

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5043519>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5043519>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)