



# Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev)

## Review article

### Obsessive-compulsive disorder: Insights from animal models<sup>☆</sup>



Henry Szechtman<sup>a</sup>, Susanne E. Ahmari<sup>b</sup>, Richard J. Beninger<sup>c,\*</sup>, David Eilam<sup>d</sup>, Brian H. Harvey<sup>e</sup>, Henriette Edemann-Calleesen<sup>f</sup>, Christine Winter<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Neurosciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

<sup>b</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

<sup>c</sup> Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

<sup>d</sup> Department of Zoology, Tel-Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv 69978, Israel

<sup>e</sup> MRC Unit on Anxiety and Stress Disorders, Center of Excellence for Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, North-West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa

<sup>f</sup> Bereich Experimentelle Psychiatrie, Klinik und Poliklinik für Psychiatrie und Psychotherapie, Universitätsklinikum Carl Gustav Carus an der Technischen Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 15 December 2015

Received in revised form 22 April 2016

Accepted 26 April 2016

Available online 7 May 2016

### Keywords:

Quinpirole

Security motivation system

Animal model

Nucleus accumbens core

Obsessive-compulsive disorder

Orbitofrontal cortex

Striatum

Basal ganglia

Deer mouse

Endophenotypes

## ABSTRACT

Research with animal models of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) shows the following: (1) Optogenetic studies in mice provide evidence for a plausible cause-effect relation between increased activity in cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical (CBGTC) circuits and OCD by demonstrating the induction of compulsive behavior with the experimental manipulation of the CBGTC circuit. (2) Parallel use of several animal models is a fruitful paradigm to examine the mechanisms of treatment effects of deep brain stimulation in distinct OCD endophenotypes. (3) Features of spontaneous behavior in deer mice constitute a rich platform to investigate the neurobiology of OCD, social ramifications of a compulsive phenotype, and test novel drugs. (4) Studies in animal models for psychiatric disorders comorbid with OCD suggest comorbidity may involve shared neural circuits controlling expression of compulsive behavior. (5) Analysis of compulsive behavior into its constitutive components provides evidence from an animal model for a motivational perspective on OCD. (6) Methods of behavioral analysis in an animal model translate to dissection of compulsive rituals in OCD patients, leading to diagnostic tests.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	255
2. Insights into OCD from optogenetics in mice: using new technologies to build bridges between mice and humans.....	256
2.1. CBGTC circuits in OCD .....	256

**Abbreviations:** 5-HT, serotonin; ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; AAV, adenovirus-associated vector; DBS, deep brain stimulation; BLA, basolateral amygdala; BNST, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; cAMP, cyclic adenosine-monophosphate; CBGTC, cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical; ChR2, channelrhodopsin; DA, dopamine; DPAT, 8-hydroxy-2-(di-n-propylamino) tetralin hydrochloride; EP, entopeduncular nucleus; EWMN, Eshkol-Wachman Movement Notation; EYFP, enhanced yellow fluorescent protein; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; FT, fixed time; GABA,  $\gamma$ -amino butyric acid; GSH, glutathione; GP, globus pallidus; GPI, internal segment of the globus pallidus; H, high stereotypic (deer mice); LGP, lateral globus pallidus; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; N, non-stereotypic (deer mice); NAc, nucleus accumbens; NB, nest-building; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; OC, obsessive-compulsive; OCD, obsessive-compulsive disorder; OFC, orbitofrontal cortex; PDE, phosphodiesterase; PFC, prefrontal cortex; QNP, quinpirole; SA, signal attenuation; Schizo-OCD, comorbid schizophrenia and obsessive-compulsive disorder; SERT, serotonin transporter; SIP, schedule-induced polydipsia; SSRI, serotonin-selective reuptake inhibitor; STN, subthalamic nucleus; VI, variable interval; VMS, ventromedial striatum.

<sup>☆</sup> Based on a symposium with the same title (co-chairs: HS, RJB) at the International Behavioral Neuroscience Society (IBNS) meeting held in Victoria, BC, Canada. Each major section describes the work in the laboratories of the investigators; the sequence of sections in the paper is conceptual. All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper.

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, 62 Arch Street, Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada.

E-mail addresses: [szechtma@mcmaster.ca](mailto:szechtma@mcmaster.ca) (H. Szechtman), [ahmarise@upmc.edu](mailto:ahmarise@upmc.edu) (S.E. Ahmari), [beninger@queensu.ca](mailto:beninger@queensu.ca) (R.J. Beninger), [eilam@post.tau.ac.il](mailto:eilam@post.tau.ac.il) (D. Eilam), [Brian.Harvey@nvwu.ac.za](mailto:Brian.Harvey@nvwu.ac.za) (B.H. Harvey), [henriette-edemann.calleesen@charite.de](mailto:henriette-edemann.calleesen@charite.de) (H. Edemann-Calleesen), [Christine.Winter@uniklinikum-dresden.de](mailto:Christine.Winter@uniklinikum-dresden.de) (C. Winter).

2.2.	Optogenetic activation within CBGTC circuits in mice produces increased grooming .....	256
2.3.	Insights from the results of optogenetic studies in mice: potential involvement of plasticity mechanisms.....	257
2.4.	Summary and conclusions .....	258
3.	Insights into OCD from animal models of enhanced schedule-induced polydipsia.....	258
3.1.	The SIP paradigm .....	258
3.2.	SIP as a compulsive behavior .....	258
3.2.1.	Animal models of polydipsia.....	259
3.3.	Animal models of enhanced SIP .....	259
3.4.	Striatal vs. hippocampal phenotypes .....	260
3.5.	Brain structures associated with SIP .....	260
3.6.	Conclusions .....	261
4.	Insights into OCD from the deer mouse: a platform for research in neurobiology, behavior and drug discovery.....	261
4.1.	Spontaneous stereotypy in the deer mouse .....	261
4.2.	Relating neurochemistry to treatment response.....	263
4.2.1.	The question of serotonin involvement .....	263
4.2.2.	How a naturalistic model reveals more about OCD neurocircuitry .....	263
4.3.	The need for an animal model presenting with multiple OCD phenotypes .....	264
4.3.1.	Are deer mice anxious? .....	264
4.3.2.	Social behavior in deer mice, what does it reveal about OCD? .....	264
4.3.3.	Does deer mouse behavior present with different OC behavioral phenotypes? .....	265
4.4.	Concluding remarks .....	265
5.	Insights from analysis and synthesis of compulsive checking in rats: indications that OCD is a disturbance of motivation.....	265
5.1.	Description of the quinpirole sensitization rat model of OCD .....	265
5.2.	Is “compulsive” behavior a unitary phenomenon? .....	267
5.2.1.	Different lesions impact different checking measures .....	267
5.2.2.	Synthesis by experiment of compulsive checking from components .....	268
5.3.	Diminished negative feedback in compulsive checking.....	268
5.4.	Compulsive checking in the rat suggests a motivational disturbance in OCD .....	268
6.	Insights into OCD from neuromodulation in the QNP and signal attenuation (SA) models.....	269
6.1.	Endophenotypes in OCD .....	269
6.2.	Using two different animal models of compulsive behavior in parallel .....	269
6.3.	DBS in the QNP and SA models .....	269
6.3.1.	DBS of the GP, EP or STN .....	269
6.3.2.	DBS of nucleus accumbens and the possible role of DA and 5-HT .....	270
6.4.	Inactivation of CBGTC circuit targets .....	270
6.5.	Conclusions .....	270
7.	From the quinpirole rat model for OCD to clinical OCD patients: translating an animal model into practice .....	270
7.1.	Translational research .....	270
7.2.	Compulsive behavior as a set of trajectories bounded by sets of acts .....	271
7.3.	Compulsive OCD rituals: predominance of idiosyncrasy and repetitions .....	271
7.4.	Summary: bench to bedside .....	273
8.	Conclusions .....	273
	Conflict of interests .....	274
	Acknowledgements .....	274
	References .....	274

## 1. Introduction

Animal models of psychiatric disorders simulate signs or symptoms of a psychiatric disorder to provide a preparation for testing specific etiological theories and underlying mechanisms of the disorder as well as for conducting preclinical drug evaluations (Eilam and Szechtman, 2005a; Jones et al., 2011; Lazar et al., 2011; McKinney, 1988; Szechtman and Eilam, 2005; Willner, 1984). The use of animal models in psychiatry has had a stormy history in part because of the need to work out their proper place in the context of psychiatry as a scientific discipline (Szechtman and Eilam, 2005). One challenge often levelled at animal models is scepticism that the model fully replicates the clinical condition or bears relevance for the mechanisms of the human condition. Attempts at dealing with this challenge led to influential formulations of criteria to evaluate animal models in psychiatry (Abramson and Seligman, 1977; Belzung and Lemoine, 2011; Geyer and Markou, 1995; Hoffman, 2016b; McKinney and Bunney, 1969; Willner, 1984, 2005; Willner et al., 1992). While the use of animal models in psychiatry is accepted as proper today, it is worthwhile to reiterate briefly what constitutes a “model.”

A scholarly exposition regarding what a “model” is and the “tortuous” history of models in psychology was provided by Chapanis (1961). Of relevance to the present review using animal models of OCD, Chapanis (1961) pointed out that a model is “...only an analogy, a statement that in some ways the thing modeled behaves ‘like this’ (p. 188). Indeed, “...the worst error committed in the name of models is to forget that at best a model represents only a part – and usually only a small part – of the thing being modeled” (Chapanis, 1961 p. 126). The same notion had been echoed by McKinney (1988), in *Models of Mental Disorders: A New Comparative Psychiatry*, who admonished against the quest for comprehensive animal models of psychiatric disorders because no model can be a miniature replica of the entire human condition. Unfortunately, even today this crucial point is not always remembered. Chapanis (1961) has argued that because of their inherently limited scope, models should be evaluated differently from theories: “Models, in a word, are judged by criteria of usefulness; theories, by criteria of truthfulness” (p. 119). In other words, good models generate novel insights and new research. Of course, models designed to test particular theory regarding an aspect of the human disorder are evaluated by criteria of both usefulness and truthfulness.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5043718>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5043718>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)