



The role of the Chinese economy in the world economy: A U.S. perspective



John B. Taylor¹

Professor of Economics, Stanford University, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 July 2016

Accepted 26 July 2016

Available online 30 July 2016

Keywords:

Economic freedom

Exchange rates

Economic reform

ABSTRACT

In this paper I first review the amazing progress that free market economic reforms have brought to the Chinese people after years of central control and suppression of markets. Thanks to greater economic freedom, economic growth increased sharply and hundreds of millions of people have been removed from poverty. By all accounts, however, economic freedom in China has a long way to go. The U.S. perspective should be that these market reforms continue. These further reforms toward economic freedom and economic growth in China will be beneficial to the United States. Such reforms would include a greater transparency about the monetary policy strategies, more openness of the capital accounts and less exchange rate and stock market intervention. Such economic reforms should be welcomed and encouraged by the United States and other countries.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There is a wide range of perspectives in the United States on the role of Chinese economy in the world economy. Americans—both inside and outside of the U.S. government—express their opinions about China often, and these perspectives change over time. Here I give the perspective of an American economist who has served several times in the U.S. government and who has had the opportunity to engage directly with the Chinese people from students to business leaders and government leaders on economic issues over the years.²

2. An economic miracle, but not an economic mystery

No credible perspective on the Chinese economy can ignore the amazing economic gains over the past 35 years during which the growth of the economy increased sharply creating hundreds of millions of jobs and rising incomes throughout the country. According to the World Bank, 700 million people were removed from poverty during this period. What a contrast to the decades of stagnation in China in the decades before this miracle. China represented only 1.8% of world GDP in 1979 and it's over 10% today. In 1996 per capita income in the United States was 15 times greater than in China; now it is 4 times greater, and the gap is getting smaller every year.

¹ Mary and Robert Raymond Professor of Economics at Stanford University and George P. Shultz Senior Fellow in Economics at the Hoover Institution; this is a written version of remarks presented at the International Conference on “The Role of the Chinese Economy in the World Economy” held in Beijing, China on December 5, 2015.

² For example, thirty years ago on my first visit to China, I taught an economics course at the People's University of China where students from around China attended, including David Daokui Li who is now a professor of economics at Tsinghua University. Much later as Under Secretary of Treasury in the United States I interacted with Chinese officials in the Chinese Finance Ministry and the People's Bank of China including Governor Zhou Xiaochuan regarding exchange rates and other financial issues.

Some say that this amazing “Economic Miracle” is an “Economic Mystery.” But it is not a mystery to an economist. It is the predictable effect of introducing greater economic freedom in China beginning in earnest in 1979. More attention began to be paid to incentives, to the use of markets, to limiting the role of government, to more predictable policy, and to a greater emphasis on the rule of law. On the international side China began to open up to foreign trade and eventually unified its currency. And as China made these changes, three long decades of economic boom of 10% annual growth came. It was a demonstration of how basic economics works.

There are, of course, many other such demonstrations in history. Earlier economic reforms brought amazing benefits to other parts of emerging Asia, including Hong Kong and South Korea, and more recently to countries—such as Brazil, Poland, South Africa—on other continents. The same principles of economic freedom benefitted the United States over its history and remain essential to U.S. economic prosperity today. In fact, the United States had a revival of reforms in the 1980s which benefitted the economy. It is no coincidence that while Deng Xiaoping was bringing economic reform to China and Margaret Thatcher was bringing economic reform to the United Kingdom, Ronald Reagan was bringing reform to the United States.

Some say that the approach to economic reform in China is different than in the United States, and they stress that difference when discussing the growth miracle. They use the term “Beijing Consensus” to describe the Chinese reforms, and they contrast the reforms with the standard economic freedom reforms which they label the “Washington Consensus.” But the direction of movement toward economic freedom is much the same in China as in other success stories; if anything the three-decade long introduction of markets in China has been faster than during the 240-year history of economic freedom in the United States.

Clearly these economic successes in many parts of the world—including the United States—encouraged China and its leaders to move toward economic reforms. Indeed, the first considerations of reform likely trace back to the 1970s when the U.S. administration “went to China” and brought attention to the advantages of a market economy. These connections are often downplayed or even denied by those who are uncomfortable with the simple and straightforward concept of basic economic freedom.

3. The need for continuing the reforms

In my view, China still has the potential to remove millions more from poverty by growing very rapidly, even if somewhat below the thirty-year 10% annual pace. But to move the miracle forward, the reforms must move forward. The Chinese economy and its growing middle class need to integrate more into the world economy. Economic reform must continue if these benefits are to be fulfilled. On the domestic side, there needs to be an improvement on such reform indicators as the World Bank’s “doing business” indicator. China needs to achieve its stated goals of reducing corruption and creating greater adherence to the rule of law.

In international policy, China needs to transition from a “wall” country, as its restrictions on capital markets have been classified, to an “open” country as United States international policies have been classified. It should set up rules for eventually removing these capital controls. Currently, 36 countries now have open capital accounts, but 48 are classified as “gate” countries, and 16 countries—including China—are classified as “wall” countries with varying degrees of capital controls (see [Fernández, Klein, Rebucci, Schindler, and Uribe \(2015\)](#)). Such a reform could occur with a transition period and should be accompanied by adequate enforcement of safety and soundness regulations on financial institutions.

Though controversial, the reform would be conceptually similar to the agreement in the late 1990s to begin removing such controls by the International Monetary Fund (see [International Monetary Fund \(1997\)](#)). In this regard important progress has been made with the incorporation of the RMB into the Special Drawing Right by the International Monetary Fund. Continued economic reforms along these lines will also benefit the world economy and alleviate concerns expressed in the United States and elsewhere about a lack of transparency and unfairness. Such reforms should be welcomed by the United States.

Indeed, some key international reforms could be approached jointly by China and the United States, perhaps with China and US leading other countries in a global reform. [Volcker \(2014\)](#) has recently called for global reforms noting that “the absence of an official, rules-based, cooperatively managed monetary system has not been a great success.” Let me now consider, by way of example, how such a reform might take place and the important role that China could play.

4. International reform jointly led by China and the United States

I start by summarizing the challenges now facing financial and currency markets in the international economy. Following the 2008–2009 global financial crisis and the start of the recovery, the Japanese yen significantly appreciated against the dollar. The appreciation of the yen and the corresponding depreciation of the dollar was likely due to the Fed’s repeatedly extending its large scale asset purchases—quantitative easing—along with its zero interest rate policy, while there was little or no change in policy at the Bank of Japan. This large appreciation of the Japanese yen is shown in the first part of [Fig. 1](#).

However, the adverse economic effects of this sharp currency appreciation on exports from Japan became a key issue in the 2012 election in Japan, and when the Abe government won and came into power it urged the Bank of Japan to implement its own massive quantitative easing. With a new governor, Haruhiko Kuroda, appointed at the Bank of Japan, this is exactly what happened. As a result of this change in policy the yen fully reversed its course and depreciated to levels before the financial crisis of 2008 as shown in the second part of [Fig. 1](#). In this way the monetary policy of one central bank—the Fed—appeared to affect monetary policy at another central bank—the BOJ—in currency-war like fashion.

The moves of the ECB toward quantitative easing in the past two years seem to have similar motivations, and were likely influenced by the impacts on the exchange rate of quantitative easing in Japan. An appreciating euro was, in the view of the ECB, a

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5047206>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5047206>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)