



Information disclosure and asymmetric speed of learning in booms and busts[☆]



Francesco Palazzo^a, Min Zhang^{b,*}

^a Bank of Italy, via Nazionale 91, 00184, Rome, Italy

^b School of Economics and Finance, University of St Andrews, KY16 9AR, United Kingdom

HIGHLIGHTS

- We analyze how information disclosure affects learning speed in booms and busts.
- We give a formal condition on what type of information leads to asymmetric learning.
- Learning is faster in a bust when only the winning bids are disclosed.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 January 2017

Received in revised form 8 June 2017

Accepted 16 June 2017

Available online 24 June 2017

JEL classification:

D82

D83

Keywords:

Asymmetric learning

Information disclosure

ABSTRACT

We consider a model in which agents gradually learn about the aggregate market conditions – ‘boom’ or ‘bust’ – from the information disclosed after a trading round. The disclosure rules can generate asymmetric learning and affect the degree of asymmetry. In particular, when only winning bids are publicly disclosed, learning is more rapid in a bust.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In many markets there is limited disclosure of price offers and it is common to only observe the final transaction prices; for example, a real-estate buyer may collect information on past prices but he may not have any information on unsuccessful offers. The existence of informational limitations may come from different origins: the absence of an organized trading platform, practical limitations on information disclosure, legal restrictions on transparency, etc.

This paper considers a model where agents gradually learn about the aggregate market conditions – ‘boom’ or ‘bust’ – from the information publicly disclosed after a trading round. We show

how the type of information disclosed may not be equally informative when the aggregate market is in a ‘boom’ or in a ‘bust’. Our main result provides a relationship between the statistical properties of the disclosed information and the different speeds of learning in booms and busts. In particular, when only the winning offers are disclosed – a common feature in many markets – beliefs tend to adjust more rapidly when the aggregate state is low, i.e., in a ‘bust’. Indeed, the winning offer discloses the highest private valuation among the bidders, and a low realization of it provides strong evidence in favor of the low state because it implies that all bidders have low private valuations. On the contrary, a high realization may merely come from a single outlier with a particularly high valuation, hence bringing weaker evidence in favor of the high state. In other words, competition among buyers introduces orderedness in the formation of trading prices, and in turn generates asymmetric informativeness about the underlying states.

This learning pattern is likely to affect the resulting price dynamics in relevant markets, especially their responsiveness to cyclical changes. For instance, in Palazzo and Zhang (2016) we present an auction model with resale in which bids endogenously

[☆] We are grateful for the comments and suggestions by the editor and an anonymous referee. Thanks also to various discussants and seminar participants at the University of St Andrews. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not involve the responsibility of the Bank of Italy. All errors remain ours.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: francesco.palazzo@bancaditalia.it (F. Palazzo), mz47@st-andrews.ac.uk (M. Zhang).

depend on bidders' beliefs over the aggregate market conditions. Asymmetric learning implies a more rapid decline of prices during a bust relative to the corresponding rise during a boom.

Our model shares the feature of learning about underlying states from public observables with the literature on observational learning. The literature mainly studies whether public beliefs converge to the truth, *i.e.*, complete learning, which is not an issue here with trading prices as observables.¹ We instead focus on the *relative* speed of learning between the underlying states, and how it depends on the type of information disclosed by the public observables.² In this respect, our work is also related to the literature on procyclical learning as a source of business cycles. Van Nieuwerburgh and Veldkamp (2006), Chalkley and Lee (1998) and Veldkamp (2005) share the idea that agents enjoy more precise signals during a boom: in the former paper learning is faster in a boom because of an increase in the signal precision; in the latter two papers the precision of public signals changes along the cycle due to differences in the endogenous composition of informed agents. In these models the dynamics of beliefs and aggregate activities are characterized by fast declines and slow recoveries. Relative to this strand of literature, the asymmetric speed of learning in this paper arises from the orderedness of the information disclosed to the public. On the other hand, information disclosure in our model is determined exogenously by the trading mechanism, as opposed to the literature on information revelation in auctions where disclosure is endogenous and strategic.³ Similarly, our work also differs from the literature on learning in decentralized markets where information revelation again is through strategic trading behavior of sellers and/or buyers.⁴

Section 2 provides the main result on asymmetric learning. Section 3 concludes. All proofs are in the Appendix.

2. The model

2.1. Setup

Consider a sequential market for a durable object. Time is discrete, $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and there is an unobservable state $\theta \in \{H, L\}$. $\pi_0 \equiv \mathbb{P}_0(\theta = H) = \frac{1}{2}$ denotes the common prior, and $\pi_t \equiv \mathbb{P}_t(\theta = H)$ denotes the public posterior at the beginning of period t .

In every period t an identical object is offered on sale and $N \geq 2$ buyers are randomly drawn from a population of infinitely many agents. The object is sold according to a trading mechanism $\mathcal{M}_t$ and each buyer reports a message m_{it} to the mechanism based on her private valuation v_{it} of the object. The private valuations generated in each period are identically and independently distributed according to a cumulative distribution function (cdf) F_θ across the

N buyers and over time. Both F_H and F_L are absolutely continuous on the common support $[0, 1]$, and continuously differentiable with probability density functions (pdf) f_H and f_L that satisfy strict monotone likelihood ratio (MLR) property.

By the *revelation principle* (Myerson, 1979), there exists a direct mechanism to which all buyers in period t truthfully report their valuations, $V_t \equiv (v_{1t}, v_{2t}, \dots, v_{Nt})$. We abstract from modeling any specific informational limitations, and directly characterize the information publicly revealed by the (direct) trading mechanism with a reduced-form disclosure rule (a measurable statistic), $T : [0, 1]^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$, $M \leq N$. For instance, if trades take place through a sequence of first-price auctions, public disclosure of the winning bid is equivalent to public disclosure of the highest valuation in V_t .

2.2. Asymmetric learning

Denote with S_T the support of disclosed information $T(V)$, and f_θ^T the pdf of $T(V)$ under state θ .⁵ We describe the evolution of the public beliefs in terms of log-likelihood ratio, updated with a new disclosure $y_t \in S_T$ in period t :

$$l_{t+1}(l_t, y_t) = \ln \frac{\pi_{t+1}}{1 - \pi_{t+1}} = \ln \frac{\pi_t}{1 - \pi_t} \frac{f_H^T(y_t)}{f_L^T(y_t)} = l_t + \ln \frac{f_H^T(y_t)}{f_L^T(y_t)}. \quad (1)$$

We add a superscript T to the log-likelihood ratios in Eq. (1) to stress their dependence on the disclosure rule T , and simply denote the belief update from period t to $t + 1$ by $\Delta l_{t+1}^T(y_t) \equiv l_{t+1}^T - l_t^T = \ln \frac{f_H^T(y_t)}{f_L^T(y_t)}$. The information generated by T is assumed bounded but non-trivial:

$$\exists M > 0 \text{ s.t. } 0 < |\Delta l^T(y)| < M \text{ for almost every } y \in S_T. \quad (2)$$

For any integer $q \geq 1$, Eq. (1) generalizes into:

$$l_{t+q}^T = l_t^T + \sum_{m=1}^q \Delta l_{t+m}^T(y_{t+m-1}). \quad (3)$$

Taking the expected value:

$$\mathbb{E}_{t,\theta}[l_{t+q}^T] = l_t^T + \sum_{m=1}^q \mathbb{E}_{t,\theta}[\Delta l_{t+m}^T(y_{t+m-1})] = l_t^T + q \mathbb{E}_\theta[\Delta l^T]. \quad (4)$$

The last equation exploits the fact that, conditional on θ , samples are i.i.d. across all periods.

Proposition 1 links the information revealed by the trading mechanism to the relative speed of convergence of public beliefs to the high or low state.

Proposition 1. *Let:*

$$\tau_H^\epsilon \equiv \inf\{t \geq 0 : \pi_t \geq 1 - \epsilon\}, \tau_L^\epsilon \equiv \inf\{t \geq 0 : \pi_t \leq \epsilon\}. \quad (5)$$

For a measurable statistic $T : [0, 1]^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_H[\tau_H^\epsilon]}{\mathbb{E}_L[\tau_L^\epsilon]} \geq \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_H[\Delta l^T]}{\mathbb{E}_L[\Delta l^T]} \right| > 1 \text{ if } \mathbb{E}_H[\Delta l^T] + \mathbb{E}_L[\Delta l^T] < 0; \quad (6)$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_L[\tau_L^\epsilon]}{\mathbb{E}_H[\tau_H^\epsilon]} \geq \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_H[\Delta l^T]}{\mathbb{E}_L[\Delta l^T]} \right| > 1 \text{ if } \mathbb{E}_H[\Delta l^T] + \mathbb{E}_L[\Delta l^T] > 0. \quad (7)$$

The ratio $\left| \frac{\mathbb{E}_H[\Delta l^T]}{\mathbb{E}_L[\Delta l^T]} \right|$ determines, in every period, whether the information revealed by T is more or less informative between the two states. **Proposition 1** highlights that, in our dynamic setup with

⁵ With a slight abuse of notation, time subscript for V is omitted due to its i.i.d. property over time.

⁶ τ_H^ϵ denotes the time to get within ϵ distance to the truth, and thus approximates the time for learning when ϵ is arbitrarily small.

¹ As Lee (1993) shows, learning is complete with sufficiently 'rich' action spaces, (*e.g.*, prices), and nondegenerate payoffs. See also earlier work by Milgrom (1979) on information aggregation in auctions.

² A related work by Acemoglu et al. (2009) studies the rate of convergence of learning when agents observe either the most recent action or a random action from the past.

³ The focus there is often on the revelation of seller's information for the purpose of revenue maximization. The seminal paper by Milgrom and Weber (1982) shows that full revelation is optimal, known as the 'linkage principle'. More recent papers by Benoît and Dubra (2006) and Tan (2016) consider buyers' information revelation in auctions with both private and common values.

⁴ This literature studies whether trading process implies full information revelation when the decentralized market becomes approximately frictionless. Wolinsky (1990) and Blouin and Serrano (2001) propose negative answers in either stationary or non-stationary settings, while Serrano and Yosha (1993) confirm the existence of fully revealing equilibria under one-sided informational asymmetry in the stationary setting. In a setting with finitely many sellers and a continuum of buyers, Gottardi and Serrano (2005) show that information is fully and immediately revealed under intense competition among sufficiently many sellers.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5057660>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5057660>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)