Economics Letters 132 (2015) 13-17

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Economics Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolet

Testing for linear and nonlinear Granger causality in the real exchange rate-consumption relation



Department of Economics, Lancaster University Management School, LA1 4YX, UK

HIGHLIGHTS

- IRBC models predict a relationship between real exchange rates and consumption.
- This theoretical prediction is not supported by the empirical literature.
- We apply linear and nonlinear Granger-causality tests to data for 14 OECD countries.
- The null of no-Granger causality is rejected for the majority of countries.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 September 2014 Received in revised form 8 April 2015 Accepted 9 April 2015 Available online 18 April 2015

JEL classification: C2 F31

Keywords: Nonlinear Granger causality Real exchange rates Consumption Heteroskedasticity robust test

1. Introduction

International Real Business Cycle (IRBC) models predict a relationship between the real exchange rate and real consumption. With unrestricted trading in complete asset markets and with time-separable and iso-elastic preferences, international risk sharing implies that relative prices are proportional to the ratio of marginal utilities of consumption (Backus and Smith, 1993). As a consequence, a depreciation of the real exchange is associated with an increase in real consumption in the home country relative to the foreign.¹

⁶ Corresponding author.

Despite the strong theoretical foundations of IRBC models, the empirical evidence in favor of a relationship between real exchange rate movements and changes in real consumption is only limited (Head et al., 2004). This finding implies the lack of international risk sharing across countries and is considered as one of the major puzzles of international economics (Obstfeld and Rogoff, 2000).

A common feature of most of the empirical IRBC studies to date is the linear modeling framework. An alternative strand of literature demonstrates how the presence of trade costs in equilibrium models of real exchange rate determination can induce nonlinear but mean reverting adjustment toward the equilibrium value (Dumas, 1992). In a number of early empirical contributions this type of nonlinear behavior has been parsimoniously captured by threshold and smooth transition autoregressive models (see, e.g., Sollis, 2005). These earlier studies assume the equilibrium real exchange rate to be constant. More recent studies extend the nonlinear analysis to allow for a time-varying equilibrium given by economic fundamentals (Lothian and Taylor, 2008).





economics letters



International real business cycle models predict a relationship between real exchange rates and consumption. This prediction is not supported by the empirical literature. In a new approach, we apply nonlinear Granger-causality tests to data for 14 OECD countries.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: e.pavlidis@lancaster.ac.uk (E.G. Pavlidis).

¹ A relationship between the real exchange rate and consumption series exists even if the assumptions of standard IRBC models are relaxed, and other features are introduced into the model, such as incomplete capital markets, sticky prices in local currency pricing, and habits (Benigno and Thoenissen, 2008; Chari et al., 2002; Head et al., 2004).

In the spirit of Lothian and Taylor (2008), this letter extends the empirical IRBC literature by applying a nonlinear Grangercausality test to real exchange rate and real consumption data. An appealing feature of the test is that it is powerful under a variety of nonlinear data generating processes. Hence, there is no need for the researcher to specify the exact type of nonlinearity. Moreover, heteroskedasticity-robust versions of the test have been proposed in the literature which exhibit good size and power properties (Pavlidis et al., 2013).

2. Causality tests

Let $\{y_t\}$ and $\{x_t\}$ denote two stationary and ergodic time series processes. We are interested in examining whether there is a Granger-causal relationship between the two series without restricting the analysis to a specific functional form. For this purpose, we employ a testing framework which is encompassed within Godfrey's (1988, Ch. 4.3) general framework for testing for incorrect functional form.²

Consider the following regression equation as a general representation of the Granger-causal relation between $\{y_t\}$ and $\{x_t\}$:

$$y_t = f(y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-p_1}, x_{t-1}, \dots, x_{t-q_1}; \theta) + e_t$$
(1)

where θ is a vector of parameters, e is a vector of serially uncorrelated and homoskedastic normal errors, and $f(\cdot)$ is a continuously differentiable function. To ease notation, let $q_1 \leq k$ and $p_1 \leq k$. Taking a *k*-order Taylor series expansion of $f(\cdot)$ yields:

$$y_{t} = \phi_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{p_{1}} \phi_{j} y_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{q_{1}} \psi_{j} x_{t-j}$$

$$+ \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{p_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=j_{1}}^{p_{1}} \phi_{j_{1}j_{2}} y_{t-j_{1}} y_{t-j_{2}} + \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{p_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{q_{1}} \delta_{j_{1}j_{2}} y_{t-j_{1}} x_{t-j_{2}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{q_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=j_{1}}^{q_{1}} \psi_{j_{1}j_{2}} x_{t-j_{1}} x_{t-j_{2}} + \cdots$$

$$+ \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{p_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=j_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=j_{k-1}}^{p_{1}} \phi_{j_{1}\cdots j_{k}} y_{t-j_{1}} \cdots y_{t-j_{k}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{q_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=j_{1}}^{q_{1}} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=j_{k-1}}^{q_{1}} \psi_{j_{1}\cdots j_{k}} x_{t-j_{1}} \cdots x_{t-j_{k}} + u_{t}, \qquad (2)$$

where $u_t = e_t + R_k(y_t, x_t)$ with $R_k(\cdot)$ denoting the remainder term of the Taylor series expansion. The above regression equation is particularly appealing because it can approximate a variety of nonlinear modes, such as smooth transition and bilinear models, and it also nests the equation for its linear counterpart. It is easy to see that Eq. (2) includes all combinations between lagged *ys* and *xs*. Under the null hypothesis that *x* fails to Granger cause *y*, $x \xrightarrow{\text{N.G.C.}} y$, all coefficients on the terms that include lagged *xs* are zero:

$$H_0: \begin{cases} \psi_j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, q_1, \\ \delta_{j_1 j_2} = 0, & j_1 = 1, \dots, p_1, & j_2 = 1, \dots, q_1 \\ \psi_{j_1 j_2} = 0, & j_1 = 1, \dots, q_1, & j_2 = j_1, \dots, q_1 \\ & \vdots \\ \psi_{j_1 \dots j_2} = 0, & j_1 = 1, \dots, q_1, & j_2 = j_1, \dots, q_1, \dots, j_k = j_{k-1}, \dots, q_1 \end{cases}$$

Given the assumption of homoskedasticity, this null hypothesis can be examined by using standard Wald *F*-tests. However, if the homoskedasticity assumption is not satisfied then standard *F*-tests can frequently lead to false rejections of the null (Vilasuso, 2001).

Pavlidis et al. (2013) examine the performance of several heteroskedasticity robustification methods for both linear and nonlinear Granger-causality tests. Their findings show that the Heteroskedasticity-Consistent-Covariance-Matrix Estimator (HC-CME) of MacKinnon and White (1985) and the Fixed Design Wild Bootstrap (FDWB) perform well both in terms of size and power. Hence, these methods constitute a feasible solution for drawing valid statistical inference. The FDWB method is particularly attractive because, in addition to heteroskedastic errors, it also allows for deviations from normality such as excess kurtosis, commonly found in real exchange rate regression errors. For a detailed description of the HCCME and FDWB methods, we refer the interested reader to Pavlidis et al. (2013).

3. Empirical results

Our dataset consists of quarterly data for nominal exchange rates, *S*, consumer prices, *P*, and nominal private consumption series, *NC*, for 14 OECD countries: Austria, Australia, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Italy, Japan, Norway, Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom (UK), and the United States (US), with the latter acting as the reference country. All data are obtained from the International Financial Statistics database.

The sample period differs across countries (as can be seen from Table 1) with the differences being due to data availability. The longest period is from 1957Q1 to 2010Q4 and the shortest from 1977Q1 to 1998Q4. We note that, for many country pairs, the data start before the collapse of the Bretton Woods system in the early 1970s. Thus, the period under examination spans both a fixed and a floating exchange rate regime. Following Mussa (1986), several studies have shown that changes in exchange rate regimes are associated with substantial changes in real exchange rate volatility. In particular, real exchange rates, similarly to nominal rates, are more volatile during floating than during fixed regimes. In our context, the well-documented increase in the volatility of real exchange rates after the collapse of the Bretton Woods system highlights the importance of using heteroskedasticity-robust Granger-causality tests.

We follow Backus and Smith (1993) and work with first differences of the log real exchange rate, log real consumption, and relative consumption. The log real exchange rate, log real consumption, and relative consumption for country *j* are defined as $q_i = \log(S_i \times P_i/P_{\text{US}}), c_i = \log(NC_i/P_i), \text{ and } \tilde{c}_i = c_{\text{US}} - c_i,$ respectively. Table 1 presents the results of the linear and nonlinear Granger-causality tests based on LS, HCCME, and FDWB. Overall, the results are supportive of a Granger-causal relationship between real exchange rates and real consumption. For the majority of country pairs (10 out of 13) the null of no-Granger causality is rejected by a heteroskedasticity-robust test at least once at the 10% significance level. The results do not reveal a clear pattern of the direction of Granger causality. For Austria and Norway, Granger causality runs from consumption and/or relative consumption series to the real exchange rate. For Finland, Japan, and Netherlands, it runs in the opposite direction. Finally, for Canada, Denmark, Sweden, Switzerland, and the UK, there is evidence in favor of bidirectional Granger causality.

Turning to the presence of nonlinearities in the data, we observe cases where the nonlinear test can detect Granger causality, while its linear counterpart fails to do so (e.g., Austria, Norway). However, in other cases the opposite is true (e.g., Japan). What is important is that the application of the nonlinear test substantially increases

² We are grateful to the referee for drawing our attention to the work of Godfrey (1988).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5058481

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5058481

Daneshyari.com