



# A martingale decomposition of discrete Markov chains



Peter Reinhard Hansen\*

European University Institute, Italy  
CREATES, Denmark

## HIGHLIGHTS

- We consider a multivariate time series given from a discrete Markov chain.
- Its martingale decomposition is derived, with all terms given in closed form.
- The decomposition is analogous to the Beveridge–Nelson decomposition.
- Decomposition has three terms: a persistent, a transitory, and a deterministic trend.
- The autocovariance structure across all terms is fully characterized.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 24 October 2014

Received in revised form

7 April 2015

Accepted 26 April 2015

Available online 30 April 2015

### JEL classification:

C10

C22

C58

### Keywords:

Markov chain

Martingale

Beveridge–Nelson decomposition

## ABSTRACT

We consider a multivariate time series whose increments are given from a homogeneous Markov chain. We show that the martingale component of this process can be extracted by a filtering method and establish the corresponding martingale decomposition in closed-form. This representation is useful for the analysis of time series that are confined to a grid, such as financial high frequency data.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

We consider a  $d$ -dimensional time series,  $\{X_t\}$ , whose increments,  $\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-1}$ , follow a homogeneous ergodic Markov chain with a countable state space. Thus,  $X_t = X_0 + \sum_{j=1}^t \Delta X_j$ , which makes  $X_t$  a (possibly non-stationary) Markov chain on a countable state space. We consider,  $E(X_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_t, X_{t-1}, \dots)$ , is the natural filtration. The limit, as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ , is particularly interesting, because it leads to a martingale decomposition,

$$X_t = Y_t + \mu_t + U_t,$$

where  $\mu_t$  is a linear deterministic trend,  $\{Y_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}$  is a martingale with  $Y_t = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} E(X_{t+h} - \mu_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t)$ , and  $U_t$  is a bounded stationary process. We derive closed-form expressions for all terms in the representation of  $X_t$ .

The martingale decomposition of finite Markov chains is akin to the Beveridge–Nelson decomposition for ARIMA processes, see [Beveridge and Nelson \(1981\)](#)<sup>1</sup> and the Granger representation for vector autoregressive processes, see [Johansen \(1991\)](#). The decomposition has many applications, as the long-run properties of  $X_t$  are governed by the persistent component,  $Y_t$ , while  $U_t$  characterizes the transitory component of  $X_t$ . In macro-econometrics  $Y_t$  and  $U_t$  are often called “trend” and “cycle”, respectively, with  $Y_t$  being interpreted as the long run growth while  $U_t$  defines the fluctuations around the growth path, see, e.g. [Low and Anderson \(2008\)](#). A martingale decomposition of a stochastic discount process can be used to disentangle economic components with long term and short run impact on asset valuation, see [Hansen \(2012\)](#). For the broader

<sup>1</sup> The result, known as Beveridge–Nelson decomposition, appeared earlier in the statistics literature, e.g. [Fuller \(1976, Theorem 8.5.1\)](#). See [Phillips and Solo \(1992\)](#) for further discussion. The martingale decomposition is also key for the central limit theorem for stationary processes by [Gordin \(1969\)](#).

\* Correspondence to: Department of Economics, European University Institute, Villa San Paolo, Via Della Piazzuola 43, 50133 FI Fiesole, Italy.  
E-mail address: [peter.hansen@eui.eu](mailto:peter.hansen@eui.eu).

concept of signal extraction of the “trend”, see [Harvey and Koopman \(2002\)](#).

In the context with high-frequency financial data (which often are confined to a grid),  $Y_t$  and  $U_t$  may be labelled the efficient price and market microstructure noise, respectively. One could use the decomposition to estimate the quadratic variation of the latent efficient price  $Y_t$ , as in [Large \(2011\)](#) and [Hansen and Horel \(2009\)](#), and the framework could be adapted to study market information share, see e.g. [Hasbrouck \(1995\)](#). Markov processes are often used to approximate autoregressive processes in dynamic optimization problems, see [Tauchen \(1986\)](#) and [Adda and Cooper \(2000\)](#), and the decomposition could be used to compare the long-run properties of the approximating Markov process with those of the autoregressive process.

The paper is organized as follows: We establish an expression for the filtered process within the Markov chain framework, in Section 2, which leads to the martingale decomposition. Concluding remarks with discussion of various extensions are given in Section 3, and all proofs are given in the [Appendix](#).

## 2. Theoretical framework

In this section we show how the observed process,  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n$ , can be filtered in a Markov chain framework, using the natural filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_t, X_{t-1}, \dots)$ . This leads to a martingale decomposition for  $X_t$  that is useful for a number of things.

Initially we seek the filtered price,  $E(X_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t)$ , and we use the limit, as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ , to define the process,

$$Y_t = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} E(X_{t+h} - \mu_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t),$$

where  $\mu_t = t\mu$  with  $\mu = E(\Delta X_t)$ . We will show that  $\{Y_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}$  is a martingale, in fact,  $Y_t$  is the martingale component of  $X_t$  that, in turn, reveals a martingale representation theorem for finite Markov processes.

Note that the one step increments of  $E(X_{t+h} - \mu_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t)$  are, in general, autocorrelated at all order (including those lower than  $h$ ), however all autocorrelations vanish as  $h \rightarrow \infty$  and the martingale property of  $Y$  emerges. This filtering argument can be applied to any  $I(1)$  process for which  $E(\Delta X_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(\Delta X_t)$  as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ , and this is the basic principle that [Beveridge and Nelson \(1981\)](#) used to extract the (stochastic) trend component of ARIMA processes.

### 2.1. Notation and assumptions

In this section we review the Markov terminology and present our notation that largely follows that in [Brémaud \(1999, Chapter 6\)](#). The following assumption is the only assumption we need to make.

**Assumption 1.** The increments  $\{\Delta X_t\}_{t=1}^n$  are ergodic and distributed as a homogeneous Markov chain of order  $k < \infty$ , with  $S < \infty$  states.

The assumption that  $S$  is finite can be dispensed with, which we detail in Section 3. For now we will assume  $S$  to be finite because it greatly simplifies the exposition. The transition matrix for price increments is denoted by  $P$ . For a Markov chain of order  $k$  with  $S$  basic states,  $P$  will be an  $S^k \times S^k$  matrix. We use  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{S^k}$  to denote the stationary distribution associated with  $P$ , which is uniquely defined by  $\pi'P = \pi'$ . The *fundamental matrix* is defined by<sup>2</sup>

$$Z = (I - P + \Pi)^{-1},$$

where  $\Pi = \iota\pi'$  is a square matrix and  $\iota = (1, \dots, 1)'$ , (so all rows of  $\Pi$  are simply  $\pi'$ ). We use  $e_r$  to denote the  $r$ -th unit vector, so that  $e_r'A$  is the  $r$ -th row of a matrix  $A$  of proper dimensions.

<sup>2</sup> The matrix,  $I - P + \Pi$ , is invertible since the largest eigenvalue of  $P - \Pi$  is less than one under [Assumption 1](#).

Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_S\}$  be the support for  $\Delta X_t$ , with  $x_s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We will index the possible realizations for the  $k$ -tuple,  $\Delta \mathcal{X}_t = (\Delta X_{t-k+1}, \dots, \Delta X_t)$ , by  $\mathbf{x}_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, S^k$ , which includes all the perturbations,  $(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$ ,  $i_1, \dots, i_k = 1, \dots, S$ . The transition matrix,  $P$ , is given by

$$P_{r,s} = \Pr(\Delta \mathcal{X}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_s | \Delta \mathcal{X}_t = \mathbf{x}_r).$$

This matrix will be sparse when  $k > 1$ , because at most  $S$  transitions from any state have non-zero probability, regardless of the order of the Markov chain.

For notational reasons it is convenient to introduce the sequence  $\{s_t\}$  that is defined by  $\Delta \mathcal{X}_t = \mathbf{x}_{s_t}$ , so that  $s_t$  denotes the observed state at time  $t$ . We also define the matrix  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{S^k \times d}$  whose  $s$ -th row, denoted  $f_s = e'_s f$ , is the realization of  $\Delta X'$  in state  $s$ . It follows that  $\Delta X_t = f' e_{s_t}$  and that the expected value of the increments is given by  $\mu = E(\Delta X_t) = f' \pi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

The auxiliary vector process,  $e_{s_t}$ , is such that  $E(e_{s_{t+1}}|\mathcal{F}_t) = P'e_{s_t}$ , so that  $e_{s_t}$  can be expressed as a vector autoregressive process of order one with martingale difference innovations, see e.g. [Hamilton \(1994, p. 679\)](#).

### 2.2. Markov chain Filtering

The filtered process  $E(X_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t)$ , is simple to compute in the Markov setting, because  $E(X_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t) = E(X_{t+h}|\Delta \mathcal{X}_t)$  and  $X_{t+h} = X_t + \sum_{j=1}^h \Delta X_{t+j}$  with  $E(\Delta X'_{t+1}|\Delta \mathcal{X}_t = \mathbf{x}_r) = \sum_{s=1}^{S^k} P_{r,s} f'_s = e'_r P f$ . More generally we have  $E(\Delta X'_{t+h}|\Delta \mathcal{X}_t) = e'_{s_t} P^h f$ , which shows that

$$E(X'_{t+h}|\Delta \mathcal{X}_t) = X'_t + e'_{s_t} \sum_{j=1}^h P^j f.$$

After subtracting the deterministic trend,  $\mu_{t+h}$ , we let  $h \rightarrow \infty$  and define

$$Y_t = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} E(X_{t+h} - \mu_{t+h}|\mathcal{F}_t),$$

which we label the filtered process of  $X_t$ . The process,  $Y_t$  is well defined and adapted to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . We are now ready to formulate our main result.

**Theorem 1.** The process and  $\{Y_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}$  is a martingale with initial value,  $Y_0 = X_0 + f'(Z' - I)e_{s_0}$  and its increments are given by  $\Delta Y'_t = e'_{s_t} Z f - e'_{s_{t-1}} P Z f$ . Moreover, we have

$$X_t = Y_t + \mu_t + U_t, \tag{1}$$

where  $U'_t = e'_{s_t} (I - Z) f$  is a bounded, stationary, and ergodic process with mean zero.

All terms of the expression are given in closed-form, analogous to the Granger representation theorem by [Hansen \(2005\)](#).

It can be shown that  $\Delta Y_t$  is a Markov process with  $S^{k+1}$  possible states values. Analogous to  $P$  and  $f$ , let  $Q$  and  $g$  denote the transition matrix for  $\Delta Y_t$  and its matrix of state values, respectively. The martingale property dictates that  $Qg = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{S^{k+1} \times d}$ . Note that  $\Delta Y_t$  is typically conditionally heterogeneous, as  $Q$  is not a matrix of rank one, which would be the structure corresponding to the case where  $\Delta Y_t$  is independent and identically distributed.

The autocovariance structure of the terms in the martingale decomposition is stated next.

**Theorem 2.** We have  $\text{var}(\Delta Y_t) = f'Z'(\Lambda_\pi - P'\Lambda_\pi P)Zf$  where  $\Lambda_\pi = \text{diag}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{S^k})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(U_t, U_{t+j}) &= f'(I - Z)'\Lambda_\pi P^{j|}(I - Z)f \\ &= f'Z'P'\Lambda_\pi P(P^{j|} - \Pi)Zf, \end{aligned}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5058611>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5058611>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)