

Accepted Manuscript

Neoclassical Finance, Behavioural Finance and Noise Traders: A Review and Assessment of the Literature

Vikash Ramiah, Xiaoming Xu, Imad A. Moosa

PII: S1057-5219(15)00103-9
DOI: doi: [10.1016/j.irfa.2015.05.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2015.05.021)
Reference: FINANA 860

To appear in: *International Review of Financial Analysis*

Received date: 4 February 2015
Revised date: 4 May 2015
Accepted date: 31 May 2015



Please cite this article as: Ramiah, V., Xu, X. & Moosa, I.A., Neoclassical Finance, Behavioural Finance and Noise Traders: A Review and Assessment of the Literature, *International Review of Financial Analysis* (2015), doi: [10.1016/j.irfa.2015.05.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2015.05.021)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Neoclassical Finance, Behavioural Finance and Noise Traders: A Review and
Assessment of the Literature**

Vikash Ramiah¹, Xiaoming Xu² and Imad A. Moosa^{3*}

¹School of Commerce, University of South Australia, 37-44 North Terrace, Adelaide,
South Australia, 5000, Australia.

²Beijing Technology and Business University, Lab Center of Business and Law, Liang-
Xiang-Gao-Jiao-Yuan-Qu, Fang-Shan Dist, Beijing, P.R. China, 102488

³School of Economics, Finance and Marketing, RMIT University, 445 Swanston Street,
Melbourne, Victoria, 3000, Australia.

Abstract

While mainstream neoclassical finance ignores the role played by noise traders, a significant amount of empirical evidence is available to show that noise traders are active market participants and that their participation gives rise to market anomalies. Unlike neoclassical finance, behavioural finance allows for market inefficiency on the grounds that market participants are subject to common human errors that arise from heuristics and biases. In this paper we review the literature on the behaviour of noise traders and analyse the consequences of their presence in the market, starting with a distinction between neoclassical finance and behavioural finance. We identify the market anomalies that provide evidence for the tendency of markets to trade at irrational levels, demonstrate how noise trading is related to some market fundamentals, and describe the models used to quantify noise trader risk.

* We would like to thank the editor of this journal and an anonymous referee for useful comments. We are grateful to Afaf Moosa for drawing Figure 1 and Petko Kalev for his help with the revision of the paper.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5084542>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5084542>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)