Accepted Manuscript

Valuation of Diversified Banks: New Evidence

Nicolas Guerry, Martin Wallmeier

PII: S0378-4266(17)30082-1

DOI: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2017.04.004

Reference: JBF 5128

To appear in: Journal of Banking and Finance

Received date: 20 July 2015 Revised date: 31 March 2017 Accepted date: 3 April 2017



Please cite this article as: Nicolas Guerry, Martin Wallmeier, Valuation of Diversified Banks: New Evidence, *Journal of Banking and Finance* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2017.04.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Valuation of Diversified Banks: New Evidence

March 2017

Nicolas Guerry

University of Fribourg, Bd de Pérolles 90, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland. Email: nicolas.guerry@unifr.ch

Martin Wallmeier

University of Fribourg, Bd de Pérolles 90, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland. Email: martin.wallmeier@unifr.ch

Abstract

This paper reconsiders the effect of diversification on bank valuation. Our objective is to provide new evidence based on a unified estimation framework that places particular emphasis on separating the effects of diversification (specialised banks vs. diversified banks) from those of bank type (investment banks vs. commercial banks). Consistent with prior studies, we find a significant diversification discount at the end of the 1990s. Our main finding is that it decreases over time and practically vanishes after the financial crisis. We do not find support for the hypothesis that the diversification effect is influenced by geographical or regulatory factors. The valuation impact of bank characteristics varies over time, particularly in the financial crisis, but this structural break does not explain the observed decrease of the diversification discount. We show that the pre-crisis discount is considerably smaller in a robust regression, which in part is driven by banks with a large share of non-interest income.

Keywords: Banking, Firm valuation, Diversification, Conglomerate discount, Universal banking, Economies of scope, Agency costs

JEL: G21, G24, G28, G34, L22, L25

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5088100

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5088100

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>