Accepted Manuscript

Idiosyncratic Risk, Costly Arbitrage, and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns

Jie Cao, Bing Han

 PII:
 S0378-4266(16)30143-1

 DOI:
 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.08.004

 Reference:
 JBF 5001

To appear in:

Journal of Banking and Finance

Received date:26 December 2014Revised date:22 June 2016Accepted date:10 August 2016

Please cite this article as: Jie Cao, Bing Han, Idiosyncratic Risk, Costly Arbitrage, and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns, *Journal of Banking and Finance* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.08.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Highlights

- We test a limits to arbitrage hypothesis that the cross-sectional relation between expected stock return and idiosyncratic risk will depend on the direction of mispricing.
- We use an exponential GARCH model to forecast idiosyncratic volatility and combine several well-known anomalies to measure a stock's relative mispricing.
- Average stock returns monotonically increase (decrease) with idiosyncratic risk for relatively undervalued (overvalued) stocks.
- For stocks which are neither undervalued nor overvalued, returns are unrelated to idiosyncratic risk.
- Systematic risk exposures, firm characteristics and other arbitrage cost measures cannot account for the role of idiosyncratic risk.
- Overall, the results support limits to arbitrage theory and idiosyncratic risk as an important arbitrage cost.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5088122

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5088122

Daneshyari.com