Accepted Manuscript

"Risk Model-at-Risk"

Christophe M. Boucher, Jón Daníelsson, Patrick S. Kouontchou, Bertrand B. Maillet

PII:	\$0378-4266(14)00102-2
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2014.03.019
Reference:	JBF 4393
To appear in:	Journal of Banking & Finance
Received Date:	24 July 2012
Accepted Date:	10 March 2014



Please cite this article as: Boucher, C.M., Daníelsson, J., Kouontchou, P.S., Maillet, B.B., "Risk Model-at-Risk", *Journal of Banking & Finance* (2014), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2014.03.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

"Risk Model−at−Risk" ☆

Christophe M. Boucher^a, Jón Daníelsson^b, Patrick S. Kouontchou^c, Bertrand B. Maillet^{d,*}

^aA.A.Advisors-QCG (ABN AMRO), Variances and Univ. Lorraine (CEREFIGE) ^bSystemic Risk Centre and London School of Economics ^cVariances and Univ. Lorraine (CEREFIGE) ^dA.A.Advisors-QCG (ABN AMRO), Variances, Univ. La Reunion and Orleans (CEMOI, LEO/CNRS and LBI)

Abstract

The experience from the global financial crisis has raised serious concerns about the accuracy of standard risk measures as tools for the quantification of extreme downward risk. A key reason for this is that risk measures are subject to a model risk due, e.g. to specification and estimation uncertainty. While regulators have proposed that financial institutions assess the model risk, there is no accepted approach for computing such a risk. We propose a remedy for this by a general framework for the computation of risk measures robust to model risk by empirically adjusting the imperfect risk forecasts by outcomes from backtesting frameworks, considering the desirable quality of VaR models such as the frequency, independence and magnitude of violations. We also provide a fair comparison between the main risk models using the same metric that corresponds to model risk required corrections.

Keywords: Model Risk, Value–at–Risk, Backtesting. **J.E.L. Classification:** C50, G11, G32.

^{*}We thank Carol Alexander, Arie Gozluklu, Monica Billio, Thomas Breuer, Massimiliano Caporin, Rama Cont, Christophe Hurlin, Christophe Pérignon, Michaël Rockinger, Thierry Roncalli and Jean–Michel Zakoïan for suggestions when preparing this article, as well as Benjamin Hamidi for research assistance and joint collaborations on collateral subjects. Authors thank the Global Risk Institute for support; the second author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Economic and Social Research Council (UK) [grant number: ES/K002309/1] and the fourth author the support of the Risk Foundation Chair Dauphine–ENSAE– Groupama "Behavioral and Household Finance, Individual and Collective Risk Attitudes" (Louis Bachelier Institute). Some extra materials related to this article can be found at: www.riskresearch.org. The usual disclaimer applies.

*Corresponding author: Pr. Bertrand B. Maillet, University La Reunion, CEMOI, 15 avenue Réné Cassin - CS 92003 - 97744 Saint-Denis Cedex 9 France. Email: bertrand.maillet@univ-reunion.fr.

Email addresses: christophe.boucher@univ-lorraine.fr (Christophe M. Boucher),

j.danielsson@lse.ac.uk (Jón Daníelsson), patrick.kouontchou@univ-lorraine.fr (Patrick S. Kouontchou), bertrand.maillet@univ-reunion.fr (Bertrand B. Maillet) Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5088964

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5088964

Daneshyari.com