Accepted Manuscript

Do small businesses still prefer community banks?

Allen N. Berger, William Goulding, Tara Rice

PII: S0378-4266(14)00099-5

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2014.03.016

Reference: JBF 4390

To appear in: Journal of Banking & Finance

Received Date: 19 June 2013 Accepted Date: 10 March 2014



Please cite this article as: Berger, A.N., Goulding, W., Rice, T., Do small businesses still prefer community banks?, *Journal of Banking & Finance* (2014), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2014.03.016

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Do small businesses still prefer community banks?

Allen N. Berger University of South Carolina Wharton Financial Institutions Center CentER – Tilburg University aberger@moore.sc.edu

William Goulding
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Sloan School of Management
bill.goulding@sloan.mit.edu

Tara Rice
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
<u>tara.rice@frb.gov</u>

February 2014

Abstract

We formulate and test hypotheses about the role of bank type – small versus large, single-market versus multimarket, and local versus nonlocal banks – in banking relationships. The conventional paradigm suggests that "community banks" – small, single-market, local institutions – are better able to form strong relationships with informationally opaque small businesses, while "megabanks" – large, multimarket, nonlocal institutions – tend to serve more transparent firms. Using the 2003 Survey of Small Business Finance (SSBF), we conduct two sets of tests. First, we test for the type of bank serving as the "main" relationship bank for small businesses with different firm and owner characteristics. Second, we test for the strength of these main relationships by examining the probability of an exclusive relationship and main bank relationship length as functions of main bank type and financial fragility, as well as firm and owner characteristics. The results are often not consistent with the conventional paradigm, perhaps because of changes in lending technologies and deregulation of the banking industry.

JEL Classification Numbers: G21, G28, G34

Keywords: Banks, Relationships, Small business, Government policy.

The authors thank an anonymous referee, Rebel Cole, Bob DeYoung, Leora Klapper, Sole Martinez Peria, Paula Tkac, and participants in the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta conference on Small Business, Entrepreneurship and Economic Recovery and the CEPR/ECB/Kelley School of Business/ Review of Finance Conference on Small Business Financing for helpful comments and suggestions and Michael Carlson, Michael Donnelly, Michael Levere, and Raluca Roman for valuable research assistance.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors only, and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, its staff, or the Federal Reserve System.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5088976

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5088976

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>