



Fiscal adjustment in EU countries: A balance sheet approach [☆]

Gian Maria Milesi-Ferretti ^{*}, Kenji Moriyama

*International Monetary Fund, Research Department, HQ1-10-548, 700, 19th Street NW,
Washington, DC 20431, United States*

Available online 25 September 2006

Abstract

Several European Union countries have recently implemented or are envisaging fiscal operations which improve budgetary figures but have no structural impact on government finances. We evaluate some of these measures using a balance sheet approach. In particular, we examine the degree to which reductions in government debt in EU countries has been accompanied by a decumulation of government assets. In the runup to Maastricht we find a strong correlation between changes in government liabilities and government assets, and larger declines in government assets in countries starting from higher public debt levels.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

JEL classification: H62; H63

Keywords: Fiscal balance; Government debt; Government assets; Net worth; Creative accounting

[☆] We thank Carlo Cottarelli, Jorge Cunha, Philippe de Rougemont, Paul Wachtel, an anonymous referee, and participants to the 2005 Dubrovnik conference, an IMF seminar, and the Bank of Italy conference on Public Debt for useful suggestions. Several IMF colleagues provided valuable insights on individual countries' fiscal operations. The paper reflects the views of the authors, and not necessarily those of the International Monetary Fund.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 202 623 7441; fax: +1 202 589 7441.

E-mail address: gmilesiferretti@imf.org (G.M. Milesi-Ferretti).

1. Introduction

Several European Union countries have recently implemented or are envisaging fiscal operations which improve budgetary figures but have no structural impact on government finances. Anecdotal evidence suggests that these ‘nonstructural’ measures, ranging from securitization of government assets to the transfer of expenditures off-budget, have not been used so actively since the runup to Maastricht of 1997, and have cast doubts on the effectiveness of the fiscal constraints inherent in the Stability and Growth Pact (SGP).¹

This paper evaluates the evolution of public finances in EU countries using a balance sheet approach, which reconciles budgetary flows with changes in the underlying stocks of government assets and liabilities. Because a number of ‘nonstructural’ fiscal operations adopted in EU countries involve asset transactions, their proper evaluation requires tracking the evolution of government assets in parallel to the evolution of liabilities. More generally, this approach allows to investigate the degree to which changes in the size of public debt in EU countries over the last decade reflect corresponding changes in net worth. A privatization operation for example, reduces the size of the public sector and can be efficiency-enhancing. However, an improvement in net worth, and not just a symmetric reduction of both public assets and liabilities, is needed if the objective is to finance a reduction in future taxation or make room for an increase in future spending needs. Distinguishing between these two types of debt reduction is clearly important in order to assess the sustainability of public finances.

Economists have debated for a long time advantages and shortcomings of various indicators of government accounts, as well as the appropriate definition of the public sector.² In particular, the literature has emphasized that ‘traditional’ fiscal indicators, such as the fiscal balance and general government debt, may offer an incomplete picture of government fiscal operations because they do not reflect the evolution of government assets (in addition to government liabilities); fiscal and quasi-fiscal operations taking place outside the domain of the general government; future contractual and noncontractual obligations of the government (such as pension liabilities); and contingent liabilities. More generally, the intertemporal budget constraint, by explicitly taking into account policy measures that affect future tax receipts or spending would clearly provide the most comprehensive measure of public accounts. A balance sheet approach that takes into account future government obligations has been recommended, among others, by [Buiter \(1983\)](#). While it can potentially address issues arising from all of the ‘critical areas’ mentioned above, the approach adopted in this paper focuses more modestly on the current government balance sheet, rather than on its future spending commitments.

The public finance literature has emphasized that the incentive to use ‘nonstructural’ fiscal measures – often described as ‘creative accounting’ – may increase in the presence of fiscal rules ([Milesi-Ferretti, 2003](#)), but empirical work in this area is hampered by mea-

¹ [Buti et al. \(2003\)](#) discuss this issue and put forward proposals to increase transparency. [Eurostat \(1998\)](#) contains a detailed country-by-country list of deficit- or debt-reduction measures adopted in 1997 whose classification was doubtful.

² See, for example, [Buiter \(1990\)](#) and [Blejer and Cheasty \(1991\)](#) and references therein.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5091265>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5091265>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)